Appendix Five

In The Matter Of:

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

Bray Reporting
P.O. Box 125
Laurel, MT 59044
(406) 670-9533
vonni.bray@yahoo.com

Original File 11-22-10 Alar Kent_SCOPED.txt Min-U-Script® wit 1 Word Index

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 1 Page 3 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT INDEX TO WITNESSES 2 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING PAGE 3 3 ALAN KENT 4 4 TRICIA WACHSMUTH. Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman5 5 5 Plaintiff, 6 NO. 10-CV-041J vs. 7 CITY OF POWELL, AND IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, TIM FEATHERS, CHAD MINER, MIKE CHRETIEN, ROY ECKERDT, DAVE BROWN, MIKE HALL, BRETT LARA, MATT MCCASLIN, ALAN KENT, MATT ANCER, OFFICER BRILARIS, LEE BLACKMORE, CODY BRADLEY, KIRK CHAPMAN, JOHN DOES #1-#4, 8 8 EXHIBITS 9 9 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 10 10 11 10 Notes of Wachsmuth Warrant90 12 12 13 Search and Seizure Affidavit67 Defendants. 13 13 14 Affidavit of Probable Cause180 14 14 DEPOSITION OF ALAN KENT 15 11:22 a.m., Monday, November 22, 2010 15 15 16 PPD Supplement 7 by Mike Chretien90 16 16 20 PPD Narrative by Chad Miner69 17 17 21 PPD Supplement 3 by Dave Brown180 18 18 Pursuant to notice, the deposition of ALAN 26 Cody Police Department Person's55 19 KENT was taken in behalf of Plaintiff in accordance 19 28 Countermeasure Tactical Institute17
Immediate Action for Patrol 20 with the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at 20 270 North Clark, Powell, Wyoming, before Vonni R. Bray, 21 29 Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public of 22 31 23 the State of Montana. 23 35 PPD Patrol Friday Training21 24 24 36 25 25 51 Drawing by Alan Kent11 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 4 1 APPEARANCES 1 EXHIBITS (Cont.) PAGE 2 FOR PLAINTIFF: EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION Mr. Jeffrey C. Gosman Gosman Law Office 125 W 2nd Street P.O. Box 51267 Casper, WY 82601-2431 Telephone: (307)265-3082 - Fax: (307)265-6715 3 3 PPD Policies and Procedures Manual26 4 53 5 Drawing by Alan Kent149 Telephone: (307)265-3082 - Fax: (307) E-mail: jeff@gosmanlawoffices.com 6 6 7 7 8 FOR INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS: 8 q 9 Ms. Misha Westby Senior Assistant Attorney General 2424 Pioneer Avenue, 2nd Floor Cheyenne, WY 82002 Telephone: (307)777-:477 Fax: (307)777-8920 10 10 11 11 E-mail: mwestb@stata.wy.us 12 12 13 FOR CITY OF POWELL & OFFICERS IN THEIR OFFICIAL 13 CAPACITY: 14 14 Mr. Tom Thompson 15 MacPerson, Kelly & Thompson 616 West Buffalo P.O. Box 999 Rawlins, WY 82301-0199 Telephone: (307)324-2713 - Fax: (307)324-7348 16 16 17 17 18 E-mail: tthompson@wvomingattorneys.net 18 19 19 20 20 Also Present: Tim Feathers 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 4 of 67 Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 7 Page 5 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman ALAN KENT, A. No. 1 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: Q. Have you ever been arrested for any crime 2 other than a traffic violation? DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 3 MS. WESTBY; You know, this is another one of BY MR. GOSMAN: 4 Q. Officer, before we begin today, there's some those ones that it's got to be a felony or a truth --5 5 general instructions that I would like to visit with 6 MR. GOSMAN: This is a discovery deposition, 6 you about. Let me ask you first: Have you given a 7 7 Ann. 8 deposition before? 8 MS. WESTBY: And, again, those are the questions that you're entitled to ask that has some 9 A. No. 9 basis and relevance. And you did it all last -- the Q. Have you discussed with your attorneys the 10 10 procedure that we'll be using today? last depositions, but you've gone back again. 11 11 A. Yes. 12 MR. GOSMAN: Okay. Well, I'm going to ask 12 Q. I will be asking you questions and you will the question. 13 13 BY MR. GOSMAN: be required to answer the questions unless you're 14 14 instructed by your counsel not to answer them; do you Q. Have you ever been arrested for any crime 15 15 understand that? other than a traffic violation? 16 16 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. And you will be required to answer the MS. WESTBY: And, again, my objection is that 18 18 questions truthfully because they are given under oath; you are entitled to felonies or crimes of dishonesty 19 do you understand that? 20 within the last ten years. That's what you're entitled 20 A. Yes. to. Those are the questions that you need to ask. 21 21 MR. GOSMAN: Well, that's evidence that may 22 Q. And if you have any problems with a question 22 I ask, I would appreciate it if you would ask for 23 be used to impeach the witness, but it doesn't limit 23 clarification so that we can get matters cleared up the questions that I'm entitled to ask. And we've 24 24 before you give an answer and we go on. Would that be already gotten an answer to the question. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 6 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 8 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN: okay? 1 Q. Why don't you go ahead and tell me what other A. Okay. 2 crimes that you've been arrested for other than a Q. And if you will allow me to finish my 3 questions before you begin your answer, that will also 4 traffic violation and when that occurred. We'll get 4 be helpful. And there's one of her small problem that this out of the way and move on. 5 5 does come up from time to time, and that is that the 6 MS. WESTBY: And -- I guess go ahead. 6 reporter is unable to really decipher on the record 7 THE WITNESS: In 1975, petty theft. 7 BY MR. GOSMAN: what an uh-huh means or huh-uh means. So we try to use 8 8 just yes or no for answers to those questions, okay? 9 O. And were you convicted of that? 9 10 A. Okay. 10 A. Yes, I pled guilty. Q. And anything else? Q. And if we -- if you would like to take a 11 break at any time, you're free to do so; however, we 12 12 will not take a break while a question is pending. Q. All right. Have you ever been accused of a 13 13 crime involving dishonesty? A. Okav. 14 14 Q. What is your full name, Officer? A. Petty theft. 15 15 A. Lynn Alan Kent. Q. Okay. All right. Have you ever had a 16 16 O. And what is your current address? restraining order placed against you? 17 17 A. 250 North Clark Street. Powell. 18 A. No. 18 Q. Are you currently on any medication that O. Let's go ahead and start with your 19 19 20 would impair your ability to give truthful answers here 20 educational background, Officer Kent. today? 21 Could you tell me where you went to high 21 22 school? A. No. 22 A. I graduated from Thomas Downey High School in 23 Q. Do you have any medical problems or illnesses 23

24

25

Modesto, California, in 1975.

Q. Okay. And did you have any education after

answers to this deposition?

24

25

that might interfere with your ability to give truthful

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 5 of 67 Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 11 Page 9 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman you received your high school diploma? (Exhibit 51 identified) A. Some college. BY MR. GOSMAN: 2 2 Q. Okay. And you did receive a high school Q. You've listed three sergeants and a 3 3 diploma; is that correct? communication supervisor directly underneath the chief; 4 4 A. Yes. 5 is that correct? Q. And where did you attend college? A. Yes. 6 6 A. 1 attended some college at Modesto Junior Q. And then you have citizens of Powell over the 7 College in Modesto, California. And also attended some Chief. I think I understand what you mean by that, 8 R college here at Northwest in Powell. Chief Feathers does not report to the citizens of 9 9 Q. When did you move to Powell? Powell; is that correct? 10 10 A. In July or August of 1990. A. He doesn't report to them, but he answers to 11 11 Q. And did you go to work for the Powell Police them. 12 12 Department at that time? Q. I understand. 13 13 All right. Who are the other sergeants 14 A. No. 14 Q. What did you move to Powell for? involved in the Powell Police Department? 15 15 A. To get out of California. A. Sergeant Mike Chretien and Sergeant Roy 16 16 Q. Okay. And you worked -- when did you go to Eckerdt. 17 17 work for the Powell Police Department? Q. And who is the communications supervisor? 18 18 A. February 1st, 1995. 19 A. Teri Cozzens . 19 Q. And was Teri Cozzens the communications Q. And after arriving in Powell and before you 20 20 supervisor in February of 2009? went to work for the Powell Police Department, what 21 21 jobs did you hold here in the Powell area? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. Immediately before the Powell Police Q. And do you report directly to the Chief? 23 23 Department, I worked for Dick Iones Trucking for three A. Yes, I do. 24 25 years. 25 Q. And who are your reports? Which Powell ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 10 Page 12 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Q. What did you do for them? police officers? 1 A. Drove truck. And before that, I worked as A. All of them. 2 2 the warehouse manager for Schwan's. Q. All of them, all right. 3 3 Q. And have you worked for the Powell Police So all of the Powell Police Department 4 4 Department since 1995? officers report to each of the sergeants? 5 5 A. Yes. 6 A. It's broke down into squads. 6 Q. And what was the position that you held when 7 7 you started with the Powell Police Department? 8 A. Right now my squad includes Officer Miner and A. Police officer. Officer Brilakis. I'm also the direct supervisor for q 9 Q. And so what position do you hold now? the community service officer, Anna Paris. 10 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. Sergeant. 11 Q. And you were a sergeant at the time of the 12 A. But I have authority over all officers in the 12 execution of the Wachsmuth search warrant, which was department. 13 13 February 24, 2009 ---14 Q. All right. And that would be true of the 14 15 A. Yes. 15 other sergeants as well? -- is that correct? A. Yes. 16 16 Q. All right As a law enforcement officer, do 17

Would you take a minute for me and draw on 17 this piece of paper the chain of command at the Powell 18 Police Department? I don't think I actually have that.

19 This will be Exhibit 51. 20

If you could pass that pen back to me, I'd 21 appreciate it. It's the only one I've got. 22

A. Oh, I'm sorry.

24 25

23

a year minimum. 24 25 Q. And do you know if the in-service training

Q. Do you know what they are?

you have certain minimum training requirements?

A. You're required, I believe -- the basic is to

graduate from the academy. And then you have to

maintain your training with -- I believe it's 20 hours

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Yes.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 13

- that's offered by the Powell Police Department
- qualifies for those training hours, those 20 training
- hours that you're required to have a year? 3
- If the requirements are met. 4
- Q. Do you know what the requirements are? 5
- 6 A. I'm not a training officer, but -- per se --
- but you have to submit a lesson plan. It has to be
- approved by POST. And then there's some recordkeeping
- 9 that goes along with that before POST approves the
- 10
- O. Do you know what recerdkeeping is involved? 11
- A. It's a class roster attendance. 12
- Q. Okay. Have you ever had any discipline, 13
- including verbal discipline, within the last five 14
- 15 years --
- MS. WESTBY: That's not the question. 16
- MR. GOSMAN: lsn't i:? 17
- MS. WESTBY: Nope. 18
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 19
- 20 Q. All right. Have you ever been disciplined
- for something within the last five years that is not 21
- reflected in your personnel fi e? 22
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Has your standing as a peace officer ever
- been challenged or under investigation?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 15

- roster generated for the training that occurred on
- those dates?
- 3 A. The POST sends out a summary of your training
- that -- on that POST hours list that would be
- 5 recognized.
- 6 (Exhibit 31 identified)
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 7
 - Q. Let's go ahead and turn to Exhibit 31.
- A. I'm assuming in this big book? 9
- 10 Q. Yes. And I believe that your POST training
- records are at the documents that are identified 1038 11
- 12 and 1039. It's near the -- it's towards the back, 1
- think. No, actually it's towards the front. Do you 13
- see that? 14

8

- A. Yes. 15
- O. Does this document, these two pages, Bates 16
- Number 1038 and 1039, accurately reflect your training 17
- history as a law enforcement officer, your POST 18
- training? 19
- 20 A. Through September 1st of 2009.
- 21 Q. And I noticed that you received your basic
- law enforcement training in 1982; is that correct? 22
- A. That was the first time I went to the 23
- 24 academy.
- 25 Q. Okay. And how long did you work for law

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 14

1 A. No.

- Q. When you meet your 20 hours of required 2
- training each year to remain certified as a police 3
- 4 officer, do you include in-service training or do you
- take POST recognized training courses? 5
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 6 7 question.
- 8
 - MR. GOSMAN: That is -- strike that.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 9
- Q. How do you get your training every year? 10
- A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking. 11
- Q. Let's do this: When you train -- when you 12
- apply for credits with your -- when you apply for 13
- credits for training with the State, do you use -- do 14
- you use in-service training that's supplied by the 15
- Powell Police Department? 16
- A. If it's recognized by PCST, that goes to 17
- count towards your total for the year, yes. 18
- Q. Do you know if that's happened in your case? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So you have had in-service training with the 21
- Powell Police Department that has been recognized as 22
- POST training? 23
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 Q. All right. And so there would have been a

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 16

- enforcement in California?
- 2 A. Less than a year.
- Q. Why did you leave? 3
- A. I became self-employed.
- Q. What was your position with the Modesto --5
- was it the Modesto, California Police Department? 6
- A. Sonora Police Department. Sonora. 7
- 8 California.
- Q. And what was your position with them? 9
- Police officer. 10
- 11 Q. Would you take a moment and go through this
- 12 record and identify for me all the training that you've
- 13 had relative to dynamic entry?
- A. Shall I start at the top and just work my way 14
- down? 15
- 16 Q. Yes, sir.
- 17 A. 2/9/1995 -- or 5/4/1995, Interior Tactics.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- A. There was some training involved in 19
- 20 9/21/2001, 40 hours of basic drug investigation. There
- was training 3/8/2002, DEA Basic Narcotics Course. 21
- 22 6/13/2003, Patrol Interdiction Emergency Response.
- 10/5/2005, Patrol Tactical Response. That's all I can 23
- 24 see.
- 25 The basic drug investigation course consisted

Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City	of Powell, et al.		November 22, 201
	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 17 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 19 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	generally of what? That was the course that you took	1	MR. GOSMAN: Give me a minute. I'm going to
2	in September of 2001.	2	take just a minute and see if I can find that. I think
3	A. That was a course taught by DCl.	3	I've got that outside.
4	Q. Okay.	4	(Recess taken 11:43 a.m.,
5	A. And week-long course in Sheridan. Basic drug	5	November 22, 2010)
6	investigation, including surveillance, covert drug	6	BY MR. GOSMAN:
7	buys. There was some entry hostage situations in	7	Q. Okay. Officer, I'm going to hand you a sheaf
8	there, scenarios that we worked on.	8	of documents, which we're not going to mark at this
9	Q. About what part of the class would you say	9	time, at least. And I'll represent to you that those
10	those scenarios involved? What percentage?	10	are documents that were produced in connection with
11	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	11	your training.
12	question.	12	And why don't you take just a moment and
13	THE WITNESS: Probably less than 10 percent.	13	identify the beginning and ending page numbers and the
14	BY MR. GOSMAN:	14	document Bates stamp, it will be on that first page
15	Q. Okay. Let's go through that same question	15	there.
16	with regard to the DEA basic narcotics course.	16	A. This one here?
17	MS. WESTBY: Same objection.	17	Q. Yes.
18	BY MR. GOSMAN:	18	A. The 1036?
19	Q. Approximately, how much of that course was	19	Q. Okay. And it's L what is the
20	centered on dynamic entry?	20	A. LGLP Wachsmuth.
21	A. There was two days of training, I believe, on	21	Q. Okay. 1036. And what's the last number?
22	that.	22	A. 1161.
23	(Exhibit 28 identified)	23	Q. Okay. Go through those documents, if you
24	BY MR. GOSMAN:	24	could, and tell me if you could see any of those
25	Q. Let's take a look at Ext ibit 28 for a moment.	25	training manuals that we were talking about with
ALA	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 18 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 20
1	Is this a course manual that you received in connection	1	respect to your courses in 2003 and 2005.
2	with your Countermeasures Technical Institute Course?	2	MS. WESTBY: Those aren't the Kent-Training
3	I believe it was in 2003.	3	documents.
4	A. I don't know.	. 4	MR. GOSMAN: Those are the Kent-Training
5	Q. Why don't you take a minute and look at it.	5	documents I have.
6	A. Well, I'm looking at the copyright and it	6	MS. WESTBY: Well, no, we submitted documents
o	74. 44 cm, 1 m rooking at the copyright and it	, 0	1415. 11 LS LD L. Well, no, we submitted documents

7

MS. WESTBY: Well, no, we submitted documents to you that are Bates stamped Kent-Training-number.

8 MR. GOSMAN: They were submitted to me under what cover? 9

The documents that I pulled out -- we're on 10 11 the record. The documents that I pulled out are documents that were produced pursuant to the 12 defendant's responses to plaintiff's first discovery 13 request directed to all defendants. 14

15 MS. WESTBY: And these are the responses that 16 we submitted to you on your first discovery.

17 MR. GOSMAN: Would you mind showing me the cover on that? 18

MS. WESTBY: The cover of the pleading?

MR. GOSMAN: Well, just the first page that 20 identifies what you're sending me. 21

22 MS. WESTBY: But are you talking about the cover of the pleading or --23

24 MR. GOSMAN: Yes. Maybe I've got it and I'm just in the wrong place.

- A. Well, I'm looking at the copyright and it 6
- says 2008. And the course was in 2005. 7
- Q. Yeah, the copyright is 2008, that's correct. 8
- Take a minute and look at that and tell me if 9 that -- do you have that manual? Let me ask that 10 question.
- 11 A. I did at one time. I bel eve I submitted it. 12
- O. Okay. Take a look at the manual and tell me 13 if that is, in fact, a reflection of the course that 14
- you took in 2003. 15
- MS. WESTBY: I'm going to object to the form 16 of the question. What are the Bates numbers at the 17 bottom of that document? 18
- MR. GOSMAN: Which one? 19
- MS. WESTBY: The one that you're having him 20 21 look at.
- MR. GOSMAN: Thirty-seven. Miner, this is 22 Miner's training. 23
- MS. WESTBY: The documents produced relevant 24 25 to this witness are listed Kent-Training.

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 8 of 67 Tricia Wachsmuth v. Alan Kent City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 23 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 21 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman MR. THOMPSON: At d, Counsel, so that -- we self-protection measures that police officers take in a host of scenarios? 2 can go off? MR. GOSMAN: Yes. MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 3 3 (Discussion held off the question. 4 4 record.) 5 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 5 MR. GOSMAN: All right. We're going to THE WITNESS: I would agree to that, but I 6 6 continue the deposition for a few minutes until lunch 7 would agree that it would also apply to dynamic 7 and then I'll see if I can get that straightened out. 8 8 Thank you. BY MR. GOSMAN: 9 9 MS. WESTBY: All of ours are for each Q. How so? 10 10 individual officer, the officer's last A. You're liable to get shot while making a 11 11 name-training-documents. dynamic entry. 12 12 (Exhibit 35 identified) 13 Q. So -- all right. So that's the relationship 13 BY MR. GOSMAN: that this course had to dynamic entries? 14 14 Q. Okay, let's now turn to Exhibit 35. And I'll A. In my opinion, yes. 15 15 tell you that this exhibit is a compilation of the Q. All right. Go ahead. 16 16 in-service training records that have been kept by the Do you remember that course, by the way? 17 17 Powell Police Department for, I believe, a 5-year 18 A. No. 18 period going back to 2009. 19 Q. Okay. Go ahead. 19 And what I'd like you to do is go through A. Probably 1209, search warrants. 20 20 these for a moment and tell me which of these programs Q. It doesn't say anything specifically about 21 21 that you have participated in that dealt specifically 22 the use of dynamic entry or no-knock warrants or 22 anything like that, does it? with dynamic entry. 23 23 A. The ones that I personally attended? MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 24 24 O. Yes, sir. question. 25 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 22 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 24 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. I have no idea which ones I personally THE WITNESS: Not specifically. 1 1 2 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. You do not? Q. All right. Now, I'm not talking about things 3 3 A. If I was not sick or on vacation, I would that could apply to dynamic entry. I'm talking about 4 5 have been at any training that our department offered. things that it's clear did involve dynamic entry But specific date, I... training. 6 6 Q. Okay. Why don't you take a minute and go 7 7 A. Okay. through that group of documents and tell me which MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 8 8 9

- courses are specifically related to dynamic entry. 9
- A. I would assume -- do you want the number at 10
- the bottom of the page? 11
- Q. Yes. Well, we need to identify where you're 12 at in the document, so go ahead. 13
- A. So you do want the number at the bottom of 14 the page? 15
- O. Go ahead. Yes, let's do that. 16
- A. On the first one, it's numbered 1814. 17
- Q. Uh-huh. 18
- A. I'm identifying, what, again? 19
- Q. The in-service training hat would have 20
- related specifically to dynamic entry. 21
- A. At the very top of the list is 10/21 roll 22
- call video, "Your Vest Won't Stop This Bullet." 23
- Q. Right. Would you agree with me that that 24
- 25 training could apply generally to self-defense,

question.

- MR. THOMPSON: Join.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can answer that. 11
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 12
- Q. Well, let's just do the best you can. If 13
- 14 there's anything in this list that deals specifically
- with dynamic entry, call it to my attention. 15
- A. So I'm assuming you're wanting something in 16
- 17 the title?
- Q. Well, you know, either dynamic entry or room 18
- 19 entry or Israeli Lean or flashbang devices or something
- that is clearly associated with dynamic entry. 20
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 21
- 22 question. Your question lists some of the things but
- not all of the things --23
- MR. GOSMAN: I didn't pretend to list all of 24

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman MS. WESTBY: Yes, but then whenever he brings 1 up other items, you tell him that those are not 2 appropriate items. I object to the form of the 3 question. 4 MR. THOMPSON: Jo.n. 5 THE WITNESS: Again, in June of '06, search 6 7 warrants is listed. BY MR. GOSMAN: 8 Q. June of '06, would that be -- oh, I see, Q 10 search warrants. Yes, there's also high-risk warrant service, correct? 11 A. Yes, I missed that one 12 O. Okay. I've got a copy of the policy and 13 procedures manual. Let's go ahead and turn to that 14 15 section. You'll notice in the column on the right-hand 16 side of the page there that it refers to a section of 17 the policy and procedures manual; is that correct? 18 19 A. Yes. Q. All right. Well, let's go ahead and take a 20 look at 3.6.16. 21 THE REPORTER: Is that is date? 22 MR. GOSMAN: No, that is a policy number 23 24 3.6.16. And I think we will go ahead and -- we'll mark that as an exhibit. We'll mark it as Exhibit 52. 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 26 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman (Exhibit 52 identified) 1 THE WITNESS: 3.6.16? 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: 3 O. Yes. 4 A. It has confidential info mant. 5 Q. Okay. Well, do you -- can you tell me why --6 or what the entry on the right-hand column, next to 7 search warrants that says policy, page 3.6.16 refers 8 to? 9 A. I can't tell you. I didn't make this list. 10 11 Q. Okay. Let me ask this question: Is it your understanding that the materi: Is that are discussed in 12 the in-service training are identified in that third 13 column there to the right? 14 MS. WESTBY: I object to the form of the 15 question. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 17 THE WITNESS: They appear to be, since it 18 says policy. 19 BY MR. GOSMAN: 20 Q. Okay. Now, do you know for a fact that when 21 you had these in-service trainings that occasionally 22

they will pull out a policy from the policy and

procedures manual and review that policy as the

November 22, 2010 Page 27 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Yes. All right. So that certainly isn't inconsistent with what you've experienced, what you see 3 4 on the page here? A. Well, I know that there has been updates to the policy manual, so numbers may move down the line or 6 7 move up the line. Q. I see, okay. All right. 8 Let's see if you can find in the index there 9 10 a reference to search warrants, to the policy on search warrants. 11 12 I'm sorry, you're looking at the wrong document. 13 A. No, there was two different ones. You talked 14 about search warrants and then there was --15 Q. High-risk warrant search. 16 A. -- high risk. Which ones do you want me to 17 18 look for? Q. Well, right now I want you to just go into 19 the index and look for search warrants and let's see if 20 we can figure out whether we've got the correct 21 numbering format for this training. 22 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 23 question. 24 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 28 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN:

- 2 Q. While you're looking, do you have a copy of
- this policy and procedures manual, personal copy? 3
- A. Not with me, no. 4
 - Do you have one, though?
- A. In my office, yes. 6
 - I found it.
- Q. All right. What is the policy number? 8
- 3.6.09. 9

5

7

10

11

13

15

Q. And that's for search warrants.

Okay. Well, 3.6.09 is the policy that

references search warrants in the document that I've 12 handed you, which is Exhibit 52. And that's a copy of

the policy and procedures manual, correct? 14

- A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And is there anything in that policy on

search warrants that deals specifically with training 17 for dynamic entry? 18

19

MS. WESTBY: With training for dynamic entry? MR. GOSMAN: Yes.

20

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 21 22 question.

THE WITNESS: What was the question again? 23 BY MR. GOSMAN: 24

Q. Do you find anything in that policy on search

in-service training?

23

24

25

City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 29 Page 31 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman warrants that deals specifically with training for high-risk warrant service? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 2 dynamic entry? 2 MS. WESTBY: Same objection. MS. WESTBY: Join. 3 3 THE WITNESS: I find on the second page of THE WITNESS: What was the number? 4 4 BY MR. GOSMAN: the policy under letter six, "Safety of personnel 5 Q. 3.7.23. conducting the search in terms of potential resistance 6 6 to the search and possible presence of others who may 7 A. There is no 3.7.23. 7 be endangered by resistance to the search warrant may 8 O. I was aware that there was one of them Я require the use of an entry team or special weapons missing and that may be it. 9 9 Maybe we could check during lunch to see if team." 10 10 there is a policy 3.7.23. And I think -- where does it 11 MR. GOSMAN: Did you get that? 11 BY MR. GOSMAN: end there in that manual that you're looking at? 12 12 A. The end of this section? 13 Q. All right. Well, that has to do with the 13 14 policy about when to use a special entry team and not 14 Q. Yes. 3.7.15 or something, or 6? training in the tactics that would be deployed by a A. Oh, you're looking at the page number. 15 15 special entry team; wouldn't you agree with me there? 3.7.23 is the page number. The policy number would be 16 16 3.7.13. A. Yes. 17 17 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. Is it there, 18 18 question. the high-risk warrant service policy? 19 19 BY MR. GOSMAN: A. Yeah. 20 20 21 Q. All right. Now, let's just make sure that 21 O. All right. we're -- we've got our bases covered here. 22 A. What was the question again? 22 Under search warrants on the June 6 Q. The question is: Is there anything specific 23 23 in-service training, we have policy page 3.6.16, and to training for dynamic entry that is contained in the 24 25 you've indicated that's the policy that relates to policy on high-risk warrant service that you just ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 30 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 32 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman confidential informants. looked at? I want you to take a lock at that and tell me MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 2 2 if there's anything in that policy relating to question. confidential informants that deals specifically with MR. THOMPSON: Join. 4 4 training for dynamic entry. THE WITNESS: Not specific, but it alludes to 5 5 MS. WESTBY: Are you asking him if the policy it. 6 6 refers to training for dynamic entry? MR. GOSMAN: Okay. All right. Okay. Let's 7 7 8 MR. GOSMAN: Uh-huh. go ahead and have lunch and we can be back here in an THE WITNESS: What was the question again? hour and 15 minutes. 9 9 BY MR. GOSMAN: 10 (Recess taken 12:12 p.m., 10 Q. Do you see anything in that policy regarding 11 November 22, 2010) 11 confidential informants that relates specifically to 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 12 training for dynamic entry? Q. Officer, we were going through and -- I'm 13 13 A. No. 14 sorry, Sergeant Kent. We were going through the 14 15 Q. And before we go for It nch, I'll just have 15 in-service training records, and we sort of finished up one other little exercise here. Let's look at the before lunch on the June 6th high-risk warrant service 16 16 and search warrants and in-service training. 17 17

policy that's identified as 3.7.23. That's the

high-risk warrant service. 18

MS. WESTBY: It's .23, right? 19

MR. GOSMAN: Yes.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 21

22 Q. And I'll give you a prev ew of the question 23

here. The question is going to be: Does that policy

describe the policies for initiating high-risk warrant 24

25 service or does it identify specific training for

Bray Reporting - (406) 670-9533

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

title?

And I'd like you to go ahead and continue on

from there. And, again, calling attention to any

training that specifically deals with dynamic entry.

Q. Well, no, they don't, because we know that

training, such as room entry, room clearing, breaching,

there are different components in dynamic entry

A. So the words dynamic entry need to be in the

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 33

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 35

- flashbang devices, and there are others. So, you know,
- I'm referring specifically to things that are directly
- relevant to dynamic entry training. 3
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 4
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 5
- THE WITNESS: On July of '06, less lethal ĸ
- 7 munitions.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 8
- Q. Just out of curiosity, do you know what less 9
- lethal munitions were involved in the event of the 10
- 24th of February involving the Wachsmuth warrant? 11
- A. Do you mean carried or used? 12
- O. Were there any carried? 13
- A. Yeah -- yes, excuse mc. 14
- Q. Let's take just a minute and talk about that. 15
- What less lethal munit ons were involved in 16
- that warrant service that night? 17
- A. All officers in the department are issued 18
- tasers that we carry on our belts at all times. 19
- 20 O. And a taser can be used in a host of
- circumstances, so it's not directly relevant to dynamic 21
- 22 entry.
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 23
- 24 question.
- MR. THOMPSON: Jo n. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

- device can be used in different occasions. A taser can
- be used in multiple occasions. I mean, they are tools
- 3 at our disposal.
 - Q. Let's go to policy page 3.3.5. And, again,
- that's the page. I'm not sure which policy it is. 5
- 6 A. 3.3.5?

4

- Q. Yes, I believe so. It's the policy regarding 7
- less lethal munitions. 8
- 9 A. 3.3.07.
- 10 Q. Is it?
- A. Uh-huh. 11
- Q. How long is that? 12
- A. About half page, total. 13
- Q. Okay. Go ahead and take a look at it. 14
- A. Okay. 15
- Q. Okay. Does it deal specifically with dynamic 16
- entry in any way? 17
- A. It deals with if there are shotgun rounds. 18
- 19 O. Shotgun?
- 20 A. They are impact weapons, beambag rounds.
- 21 Q. Yes. And what does it say about them? I
- don't have my copy of it. Just read it into the 22
- 23 record.

Page 34

- 24 A. The whole policy?
- Q. No, just that that pertains to the shotgun. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

THE WITNESS: It can be. 1

- BY MR. GOSMAN: 2
- Q. Well, you can use a taser in a dynamic entry, 3
- correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. The same that you can use a handgun? 6
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. So if you were -- if your in-service training
- involved handgun or firearms training, that would cover
- a host of circumstances in which the officer might find 10
- 11 himself, correct?
- A. I'm not sure I understand where you're going 12
- 13
- Q. Well, there's a difference between a firearms 14
- training program and, for instance, a flashbang 15
- 16 development program, because the flashbang is used
- almost exclusively in this kind of situation, whereas, 17
- firearms are used in a host of other situations. 18
- They -- used in chase -- police chases. They can be 19
- used in running down suspects. They can be used in 20 making arrests on the street; nd so on. 21
- Do you see the difference I'm getting at 22
- A. Not really because you can -- a firearm can 24
- be used in a -- different occasions. A diversionary 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 36

- A. The whole policy pertains to less lethal 1
- 2 shotgun munitions.
- Q. Less lethal munitions, shotgun munitions. 3
- Does it describe when they are to be deployed? 4
- 5 A. At one point it says subdue a subject
- 6 displaying a level of aggression that is at or above
- 7 being assaultive without a weapon.
- Q. Okay. All right. And does it describe the
- procedures for deploying this device? 9
- 10 A. The question you're asking is what I just
- 11 basically said, if I'm understanding the question
- 12 right.
- 13 Q. Does it mention anything about taser in that
- policy? 14 15
- A. Not in that section. There's a separate
- 16 taser policy.
- 17 Q. Do you know if there were less lethal
- munitions described in that policy section that were in 18
- use the night of the Wachsmuth warrant service? 19
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 20 21 question.
- 22 MR. THOMPSON: Join.
- THE WITNESS: There was none used. 23
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 24
 - Q. Okay. All right. Let's continue on our

there?

Tricia Wachsmuth v. Alan Kent November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 37

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 39

- search through Exhibit 31, the in-service records.
- A. November of '06, active threat response. 2
- Q. Okay. And let's go ahead and turn to the 3
- 4 policy section that's referenced there.

Do you understand what the term active threat 5

- means in police terminology? 6
- A. I know what it means to me. 7
- 8 Q. Okay. What does it mean?
- A. It means that there's somebody you're going 9
- to engage that could do you harm. 10
- O. Okay, very good. 11
- A. Okay. 12
- Q. Okay. Take a minute and read that. 13

And by the way, you don't have to read it 14 word for word, just generally familiarize yourself with 15 that document if you can. 16

- A. I'd feel more comfortable reading it word for 17 word if you're going to ask me questions about it. 18
- Q. Okay. And the question I'm going to ask you 19 is, is there anything specific in that active threat 20 response policy that relates to training for dynamic 21
- entry? 22
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 24
- 25 THE WITNESS: What was the question again?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

- of rapid deployment compared to the gain of potentially
- saving lives?" 2
- Q. Those are policy considerations, are they 3
- 4 not?
- 5
- Q. All right. They don't have anything to do 6
- 7 with training you how to respond in that situation,
- 8

9

- MS. WESTBY: Objection as to form.
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 10
- THE WITNESS: The training we get helps us to 11 respond to these situations. 12
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 13
- Q. And the training that you get may be relevant 14
- to that. But it's not contained in that policy. 15
- 16 You're not receiving any training if you attend
- in-service on such and such a date and review this 17
- 18 policy; you're not actually receiving training for dynamic entry. 19
- MR. THOMPSON: Object to the form of the 20 question. 21
- 22 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. You're simply reviewing the policy, correct? 23 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 24
 - question.

25

2

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 38

- BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 2 O. Is there anything in the policy that you
- just read regarding active threat response that 3
- specifically relates to training for a dynamic entry? 4
- A. The whole policy goes towards the training 5
- we've had to dynamic entry. I mean, it talks about 6
- rapid deployment, safe approach routes, number of 7
- suspects, types of weapons. I mean, that all goes back 8
- to the training that we received. 9
- Q. Okay. Well, I'm talking about something 10
- different than an announcement of the policy regarding 11 active threat and what resources are available for --12
- to respond to active threat. Im talking about 13
- specific training. 14

In other words, is there anything in that policy that specifically identifies how you would breach a door or how you would enter a room or how you would respond to a shooter?

MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 19 20

THE WITNESS: It talks about rapid deployment. 21

- 22 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 23 Q. What does it say?
- A. "Is rapid deployment necessary or are there 24
- 25 other reasonable options available? What is the risk

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 40

- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 1
 - THE WITNESS: I told you I didn't remember
- the exact -- this -- our training involves where we
- would do hands-on training. And we would also at times 4
- 5 review policies at the same time.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 6
- Q. Let's separate which is which at this point, 7
- and we can talk about the hands-on training later.
- This in-service training deals simply with studying the 9 10 policy, correct?
- 11 A. I don't remember what this training was. You asked me to go through and list the ones --12
- Q. Thank you. That is a fair statement. 13

14 Nevertheless, the policy itself that is 15 referred to next to the entry Active Threat Response is

16 the policy that defines when an active threat response is appropriate and the considerations to be taken, 17

- correct? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. All right Now, you mentioned that there was 20 21 actual hands-on training that you do in connection with
- 22 these activities. Why don't you tell me about that.
- A. Which one? I mean, I've had training --23 ongoing training since I started in 1995. 24
 - Q. And would that be the courses we talked about

115 16

17

Page 43

Page 44

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 41

- previously that are relevant to dynamic entry? Your --
- A. The courses that are mentioned on the POST 2
- 3 hours?
- Q. Yes. 4
- A. Or the stuff that we've done as a department, 5
- as a team together? 6
- Q. That's what I want to know about. I want to 7
- know about the stuff that you've done together as a 8
- department that's not reflected in your POST records. 9
- 10 And it's not apparently reflected in the in-service training. 11
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 12 question. Misstates the documents. 13
- MR. THOMPSON: Jo n. 14
- THE WITNESS: Well for instance, at the 15
- beginning of my POST record, it mentioned interior 16
- tactics. That was when I firs: started. That was my 17
- first introduction to diversion ary devices in 1995. 18
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 19
- Q. And that's on your POS Γ record, and we talked 20
- 21 about that, correct?
- No. I haven't talked about that one. 22
- Q. I believe you did. 23
- 24 But anyway, what are we missing from the POST
- records that you can refer to specifically that involve 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

- Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. Yes.
- Q. Where? 2
- A. The time I used it was when we went through
- the countermeasures training at the fairgrounds. And I
- threw one at the range in Cody. 5
- Q. All right. Let's take the first -- your 6
- 7 first statement first. The countermeasures program,
- which countermeasures program was it that involved the 8
- use of a diversionary device? 9
 - A. The one I can remember was in 2005.
- Q. All right. So you threw a diversionary 11
- device in 2005? 12
- A. Yes. 13
- 14 Q. Then you mentioned something else, something
- in Cody? 15

10

- That was part of that training.
- Q. That same training? 17
- A. Yes. 18
- O. So those two events are actually one event? 19
- A. Yeah, we had to use the range in Cody because 20
- our range was too sloppy with mud. 21
- Q. All right. So have you had any other 22
- training with regard to diversionary devices from the 23
- Powell Police Department? 24
- A. Yes. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 42

1

10

- training in dynamic entry that you have received from
- the Powell Police Department? 2
- A. We've done Simunitions training. We've done 3
- training at the range on impact munitions, on 4
- diversionary devices. I mean. I can't give you a date 5
- based on these because I don't know what they are. 6
- O. Was there just one date that you did these 7
- things? 8
- A. We've done them since 1995. I've trained 9
- since 1995. 10
- Q. All right. Well, let's take diversionary 11
- devices. When have you trained on diversionary devices 12
- with the PoweII Police Department? I think you 13
- mentioned that you may have done that --14
- A. My first time was in 1995 when I first 15
- started on that interior tactics course. 16
- Q. All right. Was that with the Powell Police 17
- Department? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- Q. And then you did it again here this -- just 20
- within the last few months, d dn't you? 21
- A. Well, the last time we did it was last month, 22
- I believe. 23
- Q. And between 1995 and last month, had you ever 24
- trained using a diversionary cevice? 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Q. When?
- 2 A. Since -- ongoing since 1995. I mean, I -- I don't have a specific date. But we've trained with
- live ones. We've trained with simulated ones where we 4
- throw an empty body. 5
- Q. Do you have a record of any of those training 6
- days, or do you guys just grab a diversionary device 7
- out of the locker room and go out and toss it? 8
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9
 - MS. WESTBY: Join.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not 11
- 12 responsible for keeping the records.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 13
- Q. Well, tell me about how we can know that 14
- these training events with the diversionary device 15
- 16 actually occurred.
- A. Because I'm under oath and I told you they 17
- did. 18
- 19 Q. And you're telling me they have occurred on
- more occasions than the ones that we've talked about 20
- that actually have some record? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And how about room entry as an 23
- element of dynamic entry? 24
- 25 A. Have we trained more than once on that?

Alan Kent

City	of Powell, et al.		November 22, 2010
ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 45 et Examination by Mr. Gosman		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 47 et Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	Q. Yeah.	1	abandoned house and so on and so forth?
2	A. Yes.	2	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
3	Q. When and where?	3	question.
4	A. We've trained at the police department.	4	MR. THOMPSON: Join.
5	We've trained at the fairgrounds. We've trained in a	5	THE WITNESS: As far as 1 know.
6	deserted house north of my house that I procured for	6	BY MR. GOSMAN:
7	the training.	7	Q. And I suppose that Chief Feathers would also
8	Q. All right	8	be aware of his responsibilities in this regard?
9	A. We trained at the school, at the high school.	9	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
10	We've trained at the old high school, the new high	10	MS. WESTBY: Same objection.
11	school. We've trained at Soi thside School.	11	THE WITNESS: That the Chief would know what
12	Q. All right. And when did these how do you	12	the sergeant's duties are?
13	document these events? Or who documents these events?	13	BY MR. GOSMAN:
14	A. I don't know if usually I'm assuming	14	Q. That the chief would know if the sergeant's
15	it's the training officer.	15	duties specifically included keeping records of these
16	Q. Who was the training officer for room entry	16	training programs?
17	for Powell Police Department?	17	A. I'm assuming the chief would know, yes.
18	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	18	Q. When you say that the team meets, which team
19	question.	19	are you referring to?
20	MR. THOMPSON: Join.	20	A. The team is every officer of the Powell
21	BY MR. GOSMAN:	21	Police Department.
22	Q. Who was the training officer?	22	Q. Okay. Does the Powell Police Department have
23	A. I'm not sure. We get together and train as a	23	a, quote, unquote, special operations team?
24	team. There's no specific training officer. There's a	24	A. No.
25	supervisor that keeps records of the training.	25	Q. Does the Powell Police Department train as a
ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 46 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 48 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	BY MR. GOSMAN:	1	team on a regular basis to perform dynamic entry?
2	Q. Well, you're a sergeam, right?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Where are the records for that training,
4	Q. And you'd be a logical person to at least	4	Sergeant?
5	know who is responsible for keeping records of the	5	A. I think I answered that I don't know.
6	training, correct?	6	Q. Are there records for that training?
7	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	7	A. I don't know. I don't keep the records.
8	question, Jeff. This is becoming fairly argumentative	8	There should be.
9	and a little over the top.	9	Q. With regard to these meetings that we've been
10	MR. GOSMAN: Okay. All right, I'll keep	10	talking about, these training sessions, have you
11	that in mind.	11	observed all or nearly all of the Powell Police
12	BY MR. GOSMAN:	12	Department officers at these trainings?
13	Q. So, my question was: You are a sergeant with	13	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
14	the Powell Police Department, and you should be in a	14	MS. WESTBY: Join.
15	position to at least know who keeps track of these	15	THE WITNESS: At one time or another every
16	records, correct?	16	officer has attended the trainings.
17	A. I know who keeps records.	17	BY MR. GOSMAN:
18	Q. Who is it?	18	Q. Over a period of how many years?
19	A. Up until July of this year it was	19	A. Since I started here in 1995.
20	Sergeant Eckerdt. And then Sergeant Chretien took it	20	Q. Do you know the difference between a SWAT
21	over in July of this year.	21	team and a group of officers who get together to
22	Q. All right. And so has Sergeant Eckerdt been	22	performed at the Weeksmuth residence?
23	responsible for keeping records of these trainings that	23	performed at the Wachsmuth residence? MS_WESTRY: Object to the form of the
24	you talked about, and specifically room entry at the	24	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the

old high school and the new high school and in an

25

question.

Alan Kent City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

MR. THOMPSON: Jo n.

THE WITNESS: We don't have a SWAT team. So 2

I guess that would put us in the group of the officers 3

4 that get together to perform a dynamic entry.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 5

O. Okay. Do you know what a SWAT team is?

A. Yes. 7

6

8 Q. What's the difference between a SWAT team and

the group that assembled that night to perform the

Wachsmuth entry? 10

11 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the

question. 12

MR. THOMPSON: Jo.n. 13

BY MR. GOSMAN: 14

Q. Based on what you know. 15

16 A. A SWAT team is a specialized unit that

17 doesn't do anything but serve warrants and make

entries. They are a dedicated team that that's their 18

19 sole function in the police department.

Q. How about a special operations team, do you 20

understand the difference between a special operations 21

22 team and a SWAT team?

MS. WESTBY: Objec: to the form of the 23

24 question.

MR. THOMPSON: Join. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 49 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 51

THE WITNESS: I talked to him on the phone

when he told me he was going home. 2

3 BY MR. GOSMAN:

Q. And did Officer Miner consult with 4

Lt. Patterson? 5

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 6

7 question.

8 THE WITNESS: Officer Miner was with

9 Lt. Patterson at the Park County Annex.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 10

11 Q. And the Park County SWAT team was invited to

12 participate or direct this operation, was it not?

A. Not by me. 13

Q. Was it? 14

A. I don't know what Officer Miner talked about. 15

I wasn't there. 16

21

Page 50

17 Q. And you still don't know to this day whether

18 the Park County SWAT team was asked to participate in

19 this warrant service?

20 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.

MS. WESTBY: Join.

22 THE WITNESS: All I can tell you is he called

me and said he talked to the sheriff's department. 23

Since it was inside the city limits, they weren't going 24

to be involved They were going home. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

THE WITNESS: I don't know what a special

operations team is. 2

BY MR. GOSMAN: 3

Q. Is there a SWAT team in Park County? 4

A. I believe the sheriff's department has one. 5

Q. Do you know if the sheriff's department was 6

consulted on the evening of the .:4th of November, 2009? 7

A. Yes, I do. 8

Q. And they were, correct? 9

10 A. Yeah.

15

O. And were they consulted, in part, for their 11

participation and their expertise as a SWAT team? 12

A. I was told by the commander of the SWAT team 13

that since it was in the city, they were going home. 14

That wasn't my question at all, Officer.

My question was: Were they consulted based 16 on their expertise? 17

A. Well, I couldn't consult them if they went 18 home. 19

20 Q. Well, actually, you were consulting them,

though, because Lt. Patterson I ad been communicating 21

with Officer Miner and you that evening; isn't that --22

23 MS. WESTBY: Objection to the form of the question. 24

MR. THOMPSON: Join. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 52

BY MR. GOSMAN:

Q. Does the City of Powell have an agreement 2

with any law enforcement agency to provide SWAT 3

4 support?

5 A. We have a mutual aid agreement with Park

County and Cody PD, I believe. 6

7 Q. Okay.

A. I don't know if it has anything to do with 8

the SWAT. 9

Q. Is it in writing? It's a mutual agreement? 10

A. I don't handle that, so -- that's one of 11

Chief Feathers' duties. So I believe there's something 12

in writing. 13

Q. Does the City of Powell, as far as you know, 14

15 have a specially trained unit for narcotics

investigation or felony apprehension? 16

17 A. A special unit?

Q. Yes, a specially trained unit.

A. Not a unit. We have officers that have been 19

20 trained in that.

Q. Does the City of Powell have a P.I.E.R. 21

trained response team? 22

23 A. The Powell Police Department has officers

that have been through the P.I.E.R. training. 24

25 Q. Were you involved in the selection of the

Page 55

Page 56

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 53 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman officers for the service of the Wachsmuth warrant? investigating, no. Q. Who would it have been? 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. Let's go ahead and talk about the evening of MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 3 3 the 24th of November, 2009 4 4 question. 5 When did you first become aware that a 5 MR. THOMPSON: Join. THE WITNESS: I have no idea who would have warrant might be obtained in the Wachsmuth case? 6 6 A. When I first became aware of the incident and 7 been investigating him. 7 the warrant are two different things. I first became BY MR. GOSMAN: 8 Я aware of the incident of the reported grow operation 9 Q. Well, it would have been somebody that was 9 involved in narcotics, wouldn't it? 10 sometime right around the beginning of my shift, which 10 would have been at 2:00 in the afternoon. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 11 11 Q. All right. And who did you learn this THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. 12 12 information from? (Exhibit 26 identified) 13 13 A. Officer Miner. 14 14 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. And what did he tell you? Q. All right. I want you to go ahead and take a 15 15 A. That he had information on a grow operation look at Exhibit 26. And I want you to go to page 5 of 16 16 this report. And if you could, there -- at the very in Powell. 17 17 Q. Okay. Did he tell you where he got the last entry on 2/24 of '09, there is an acronym. It 18 18 information? says RP. Do you know what RP means? 19 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 20 O. What did he say? O. What does it mean? 21 21 A. He got it from a confidential informant. A. Reporting party. 22 22 Q. Did you know the con idential informant? Q. Reporting party. 23 23 Personally? And then next to that it's the Powell Police 24 Α. 24 Q. Yes. 25 25 Department.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 54

A. No.

2

Q. Had you heard of him before?

MS. WESTBY: And before we go further into 3

- this, we're not disclosing the name of the confidential
- informant, so just refer to him as the confidential 5
- informant if you know his name. 6
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 7
- O. Did you know of him before? 8
- A. I believe I'd heard his name before. 9
- Q. In what regard? 10
- A. General police work. 11
- Q. Did you know that he had an extensive 12
- criminal record? 13
- A. No. 14

1

- Q. Did you know that he was being investigated 15
- that day for felony possession and delivery and 16
- manufacture of methamphetamine? 17
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the testi mony or records. 19
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 20
- THE WITNESS: Being investigated at that 21
- 22 moment?
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 23
- Q. Yes. 24
- 25 A. I have no idea if some one else was

8

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

MS. WESTBY: Jeff, object to the form of the 1

question. This exhibit violates the Court's ruling, so

I don't know ---3

MR. GOSMAN: No, this exhibit does not 4

5 violate any court ruling. This exhibit was produced by

6 me months before the Court even ventured into the area.

MS. WESTBY: Please be professional. 7

MR. GOSMAN: Yes --

9 MR. THOMPSON: And, Counsel, for the record,

I think what the Court's -- we can pull it up -- but I 10

11 believe what the Court's ruling was is that the

12 identity of the confidential informant not be

disclosed. 13

14 MR. GOSMAN: All right. That's fine, and

I'll agree with that. I won't agree with it, but I'm 15

willing to work on this assumption to get this 16

17 deposition done.

MS. WESTBY: Then this exhibit is part of the 18

deposition. If you're planning on sealing this 19

deposition, it needs to be --20

MR. GOSMAN: We can certainly seal this 21

22 exhibit. And I'd agree to that right now.

MR. THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. GOSMAN: Okay. So Exhibit 26 will be 24

25 sealed.

Alan Kent Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- BY MR. GOSMAN:
- O. Do you agree with me that on the 24th of 2
- February, 2009, there is a reference to the Powell 3
- Police Department either filing charges or referring
- charges or something with regard to this confidential
- informant for the manufacture, Jelivery, and possession 6
- of methamphetamine? 7
- A. Well, actually, what this is is this is 8
- the -- would be -- Case 09-223 is the Wachsmuth case. 9
- 10 He was the reporting party.
- Q. Okay. All right. Very good. So how did we 11 get meth manufacture, delivery, and possession out of 12 growing a couple of marijuana plants? 13
- MR. THOMPSON: Object to the form of the 14 question. 15
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 16
- MR. THOMPSON: Go ahead. 17
- THE WITNESS: Part of that may be the way 18
- it's listed in our recordkeeping system. That 19
- 35-7-1031 is a fairly large state statute that 20
- encompasses a whole different range of narcotics, 21
- drugs, controlled substances. 22
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 23
- Q. And what is the entry just above that?
- It's -- under the "Nature" column, it has an S. Can

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 57

Page 59

- Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. Would this document contain the same 2
- information that a criminal report would contain if it 3
- 4 were run on this individual?
- 5 A. What do you mean by a criminal report? You
- mean criminal history? 6
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. No.
- O. What would be the difference? 9
- 10 A. Criminal history would show somebody that was
- charged with a crime and convicted. If you go through 11
- 12 this -- like right above the one you were talking about
- with the meth, manufacture. The one right above it, 13
- Powell Police Department, it says S for suspect. If
- they are arrested for it, it would be SA, subject
- arrested. And there wouldn't be any dispositions in 16
- this. 17
- Q. What does the letter M mean? 18
- Mentioned. 19
- O. Mentioned. How about W? 20
- A. Witness. 21
- Q. And S is suspect? 22
- A. Yes. 23
- Q. And what does CHG mean? 24
- A. Change. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- you tell me what that is? 1
- 2 A. Suspect.
- Q. And do you know what those charges, 3
- 12-6-101-a, 12-6-101-b --4
- Those are underage drinking offenses.
- Q. And on 2/18 of '09 there was apparently a 6
- warrant that was issued. 7
- A. Appears to be a Cody PD warrant. 8
- Q. Okay. And why don't you take just a minute 9
- and go ahead and go through this. And I'm going to ask 10
- you some questions about this man's criminal record. 11
- And I'll go ahead and start w th the questions, and 12
- then you can -- we'll see if we can get together here 13
- on where I'm referring to. 14
- MS. WESTBY: Objec to the form of the 15 16 question, your use of the word criminal record.
- MR. GOSMAN: Okay. Thank you. 17
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 18
- Q. It's the person record, is it not? This 19
- document is actually called a person record? 20
- A. Yeah, it appears to be a Cody Police 21
- Department person record. 22
- MS. WESTBY: And, again, it's out of the Cody 23
- Police Department. 24

Page 58

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 60

- BY MR. GOSMAN: 1
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you know whether this suspect had
- any warrants out for his arrest at the time he was 3
- providing information in the Wachsmuth case --4
- 5 A. No.
- O. This confidential informant? 6
- A. I don't know. 7
- O. You don't know that? 8
- 9 A. I don't know.
- Q. Would this document provide that information? 10
- A. If he had warrants out? 11
- 12 Q. Yes.
- If that's what W-A-R-R means. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. Looks like 1/27 he had a warrant for
- possession of drug -- I don't know whether it's drug 15
- 16 paraphernalia or drugs. But there is a reference to a
- municipal code, I think, though. 17
- And on 1/28, there was a warrant for 18
- 19 violation of protection order. Do you see that?
- 20
- Q. So there are two warrants that appear to have 21
- 22 been outstanding just before he provided testimony in
- the Wachsmuth case. Would you agree? 23
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 24
- 25 question.

Alan Kent 010

	cia Wachsmuth v. y of Powell, et al.		Alan Kent November 22, 2010
r- •	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 61	ΔιΔ	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 63
	ct Examination by Mr. Gosman	Dire	ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	MR. THOMPSON: Join.	1	A. Working relationship. We weren't friends.
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know. One is Cody PD	2	Q. Did you have any reason to believe that Tom
3	and the other is Park County SO.	3	Wachsmuth would not fully cooperate with you if he were
4	BY MR. GOSMAN:	4	asked to assist in serving this warrant?
5	Q. On 12/27 of 2007, this confidential informant	5	A. I don't know how to answer that. I guess I
6	apparently was mentioned in connection with falsely	6	didn't really want to put him in that position.
7	reporting a crime.	7	Q. All right. I'm not interested in that.
8	Would that have shown up on a criminal	8	though. What I want to know is, was there any reason
9	history report? This incident?	9	why he couldn't have been consulted in terms of his
10	A. When a criminal history you mean through	10	reliability or his ability to help diffuse the
11	the national statewide compt ter?	11	situation to get Mr. Wachsmuth out of the house without
12	Q. Yes.	12	having to break in the door and enter the home with 11
13	A. No.	13	police officers?
14	Q. And what does W mean again? Witness?	14	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
15	A. Witness.	15	question.
16	Q. Were you aware on the evening of the 24th of	16	MR. THOMPSON: Join.
17	February, 2009 whether Josh Bessler had been arrested	17	Go ahead.
18	and charged with any felony crimes involving	18	THE WITNESS: Was there any reason?
19	dishonesty, such as forgery	19	BY MR. GOSMAN:
20	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.	20	Q. Yeah.
21	BY MR. GOSMAN:	21	A. Yeah.
22	Q obtaining property by false pretenses?	22	Q. What?
23	MS. WESTBY: Join.	23	A. That it was his son. I didn't want him to
24	MR. THOMPSON: First of all, it's a violation	24	confuse his job or have to choose between his job
25	of the Court's order.	25	and his son.
	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 62 ect Examination by Mr. Gosman		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 64 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	BY MR. GOSMAN:	1	Q. All right. But that doesn't have anything to
2	Q. Go ahead.	2	do with whether or not Tom Wachsmuth could have
3	A. What was the question again?	3	performed that service, correct?
4	Q. Yeah, were you aware on the 24th of	4	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
5	November February 2009, that the confidential	5	question. Asked and answered.
6	informant had been arrested and charged with felonies	6	MR. THOMPSON: Join.
7	involving dishonesty, such as forgery and obtaining	7	
0		'	THE WITNESS: I guess he could have.
8	property by false pretenses?	8	THE WITNESS: I guess he could have. BY MR. GOSMAN:
9			_
1	property by false pretenses?	8	BY MR. GOSMAN:
9	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.	8	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your
9 10	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join.	8 9 10	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to
9 10 11	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No.	8 9 10 11	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or
9 10 11 12	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN:	8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner,
9 10 11 12 13	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth	8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of.
9 10 11 12 13	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in connection with this matter? A. We had a meeting with Chief Feathers. Q. What time was that?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name. Q. Did you know that he was related to Tom	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in connection with this matter? A. We had a meeting with Chief Feathers.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name. Q. Did you know that he was related to Tom Wachsmuth?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in connection with this matter? A. We had a meeting with Chief Feathers. Q. What time was that?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name. Q. Did you know that he was related to Tom Wachsmuth? A. When Officer Miner told me, I did.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Parameter of the process of the proc
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name. Q. Did you know that he was related to Tom Wachsmuth? A. When Officer Miner told me, I did. Q. Do you know Tom Wachsmuth?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in connection with this matter? A. We had a meeting with Chief Feathers. Q. What time was that? A. Within a few minutes after Officer Miner told me.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property by false pretenses? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did you recognize the name Bret Wachsmuth when Officer Miner told you that he was working on a marijuana grow operation that day? A. I recognized the last name. Q. Did you know that he was related to Tom Wachsmuth? A. When Officer Miner told me, I did. Q. Do you know Tom Wachsmuth? A. Yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. There was nothing in his character or your knowledge of his history that would have led you to believe that he wasn't capable of doing that or wouldn't have cooperated fully with you? A. Not that I was aware of. Q. After you first spoke with Officer Miner, what was the next event that occurred that afternoon in connection with this matter? A. We had a meeting with Chief Feathers. Q. What time was that? A. Within a few minutes after Officer Miner told me. Q. All right. And what did you discuss with

25

Q. And what was that?

A. That we had a suspected grow operation and

Q. What kind of a relationship did you have with 24

Tom Wachsmuth at that time?

24

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 67 Page 65 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman that it involved Tom's son. There's THC in the roots. O. Did you have a discussion, then, about Q. And you weren't aware that a marijuana grow 2 2 whether or not you should in volve Tom in trying to operation was not a felony in Wyoming? 3 3 serve this warrant? A. Not at the time, no. 4 4 A. Not at that point. 5 O. But if you had checked the statutes, you Q. What else was discussed with Officer Feathers would have discovered that, correct? 6 A. But if there's more than 3 ounces, it would at that time? 7 7 A. It's Chief Feathers. 8 have been a felony possession. 8 Q. Chief Feathers, I'm sorry. 9 (Exhibit 13 identified) 9 A. Told us we would handle it like we would BY MR. GOSMAN: 10 10 handle any other criminal vic lation. Q. Well, let's take a look at Exhibit -- I 11 11 Q. What did that mean? Did you understand -believe it's 13. 12 12 13 A. That we're not going to play favorites. Okay, have you seen this document before? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. All right. And have you ever used a dynamic 14 entry to execute a misdemeano search warrant before? Q. Did you assist in its preparation? 15 15 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the A. No. 16 16 Q. When did you see it first? question. 17 17 MR. THOMPSON: Join. A. When Officer Miner had it signed by 18 18 MS. WESTBY: Misstates the evidence. Judge Waters and brought it back to the police 19 THE WITNESS: I've t sed dynamic entries to department. 20 20 execute search warrants, yes Q. Well, all right. Of course, Judge Walters 21 21 (sic) didn't sign the affidavit, correct? BY MR. GOSMAN: 22 22 Q. In what circumstances' MR. THOMPSON: Waters, I believe he said. 23 23 A. Well, when I was assigned to DCI, we served BY MR. GOSMAN: 24 search warrants in Lovell, Casper, Riverton -- drug 25 Q. Waters, I'm sorry. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 66 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 68 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman THE WITNESS: Judge Waters wouldn't have offenses. 1 1 Q. They weren't misdeme mor drug possession signed the affidavit, he would have signed the search 2 2 offenses, though, were they? warrant. 3 3 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 4 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you saw this search and question. 5 5 THE WITNESS: At the time we didn't know this seizure affidavit when the warrant was returned from 6 6 Judge Waters' office? was a misdemeanor. 7 7 A. Yes. 8 BY MR. GOSMAN: 8 Q. You thought this was a felony marijuana grow Q. Did you look at it? 9 9 operation? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And did you notice what the confidential 11 Q. Based on what? 12 informant reported to Officer Miner about the marijuana 12 A. Several things. There's weight. I didn't grow operation in the house? 13 13 realize until later that a grow operation is a 14 A. At the time I'm sure I did. I can look 14 15 misdemeanor in the state of Wyoming. But if you take 15 now -weight, it's a felony, felony possession. Q. Yeah, go ahead. I think it's actually 16 16 Q. Do you know what the weight is that's probably contained on page -- it's page 4 of the 17 17 required to upgrade the possession to a felony? affidavit. 18 18 A. Yes. First question I'd like to ask you is: Is 19 19 20 O. What is it? 20 there any reference in this affidavit that Bret or

Tricia Wachsmuth sold marijuana, ever, or any other

Q. Yes. 24

drugs?

A. Not in this one.

21 22

23

24 25 A. Three ounces.

O. Three ounces.

potting soil, though, does it?

That doesn't include the roots and the

A. It includes the plant, reots and everything.

21

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

other drugs?

informant?

A. No.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

1

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

others, which is a delivery.

Page 69

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 71

- Q. All right. Well, was there ever any evidence to another person in a park, that person is guilty of
 - 2 delivery of marijuana?
 - A. Yes. 3
 - Q. Have you ever charged anybody for that crime? 4
 - 5 A. Yes. It's a state statute. It's a delivery.
 - Money doesn't have to change hands. 6
 - 7 Q. All right. Well, you certainly understood
 - 8 that this operation at the Wachsmuths' did not involve
 - the sale of drugs from the home, correct? 9
 - A. I didn't know that for sure. But, no. 10
 - O. You had no reason to believe that that was 11
 - the case? 12
 - 13 I had no reason to believe it, no.
 - Q. All right. Let's go back to the affidavit, 14
 - which is Exhibit 13. 15
 - How many plants are referenced in the 16
 - affidavit? 17
 - A. Say that again. 18
 - Q. How many marijuana plants are referenced in 19
 - the affidavit? 20
 - I don't believe there's a number given. 21
 - Q. Let's go to page 4. 22
 - What was the number of the affidavit again, 23
 - I'm sorry? Thirteen? 24
 - Q. It's -- yeah, 13. 25

16 persons? A. If I remember correctly, it's in

Officer Miner's report. 18

Q. Where is that evidence --

question. Argumentative.

BY MR. GOSMAN:

- A. Where is that at? 20
- (Exhibit 20 iden:ified) 21
- BY BY MR. GOSMAN: 22
- Q. It's Exhibit 20. 23
- 24 By the way, did you read -- you did read this

that Bret or Tricia Wachsmuth sold marijuana or any

A. There was evidence they provided it to

A. From the confidential informant.

O. And where did that evidence come from?

O. Really? Did you speal, to the confidential

MS. WESTBY: Objec to the form of the

O. -- that Mr. Wachsmuth provided drugs to other

Q. Well, let's go ahead and take a look at that.

report, didn't you; you signed it? 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Page 70 I approved it, yes.
- 2 Q. Did you read it?
- A. Yeah. 3
- Q. All right. Where is the reference in there
- 5 about --
- A. "CI-2009-02 has smoked marijuana with Tricia 6
- and Bret frequently up until approximately one month 7 ago." 8
- 9 Q. Well, how do we know that Bret and Tricia provided it to him or delivered it to him? 10
- A. Because he was living there and Bret was 11 12
- growing it in the basement.
- O. So that's it, then, huh? That he smoked 13
- marijuana with the Wachsmuths? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- Q. And that's the only evidence that you have 16
- that there was delivery of drugs by Tricia and Bret 17
- Wachsmuth? 18
- MS. WESTBY: Objec. to the form of the 19 question. Argumentative. 20
- MR. THOMPSON: Jo.n. 21
- 22 THE WITNESS: If somebody provides drugs to
- somebody else, it's a delivery. 23
- 24 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. So if one person passes a marijuana cigarette 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 72

- Okay. I'm on page 4 of Exhibit 13, and I'm 1
- going to read this to you: "CI has also stated that he 2
- has smoked marijuana with both Bret and Tricia on 3 numerous occasions during his stay in the residence." 4
- 5 Are you telling me that's your evidence that
- Bret and Tricia Wachsmuth were delivering drugs to 6
- 7 other persons?
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 8
- 9 question.

10

17

- MR. THOMPSON: Join.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. 11
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 12
- O. All right. That's fine. And then it says: 13
- "The CI then stated that when he first moved into the 14
- residence, he noticed an already harvested marijuana 15
- plant," correct? 16
 - I don't know where you're at.
- Q. Just the next sentence there on page 4. 18
- 19 A. Is it like the --
- Q. I'm sorry. It's in the second paragraph. 20
- It's towards the bottom of the second paragraph, 21
- 22
- MR. THOMPSON: Is there a question? 23
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 24
 - Q. Yes. So there is a reference to one

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 73 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. marijuana plant in this affidavit, correct? A. An already harvested one, yes. MS. WESTBY: Join. 2 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: 3 Q. Let's see here. 3 4 Okay. And then on page 3 of this affidavit, 4 Q. Correct? there's the statement in the -- it's the second 5 Back in December, yes. 5 paragraph of paragraph number four. And it's the last Q. Pardon? 6 sentence there: "During December of 2008, CI A. Back in December, yes. 7 7 discovered approximately six marijuana plants that were Q. Yeah. All right. So what evidence is there 8 8 approximately 6 inches tall in the basement of the 9 9 residence." telling you -- let's look at the record as it exists. 10 10 A. Yes. What evidence is there in this affidavit for 11 11 Q. All right. Do you know of any other evidence search warrant that there was a large number of 12 12 that the confidential informant provided regarding the marijuana plants in the Wachsmuth residence that would 13 13 amount of marijuana that was in that basement? 14 14 Other than his statements, no. for sale, for delivery? 15 15 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 16 Q. All right. Did it appear to you that 16 MS. WESTBY: Join. Mr. Wachsmuth was growing this marijuana for personal 17 17 18 18 MS. WESTBY: Object to --19 the plants that he had currently in his basement. 19 BY MR. GOSMAN: BY MR. GOSMAN: 20 20 Q. -- from all the information that you had that 21 Q. And the same is true of Miner's report, isn't 21 afternoon? that true? And that's the report that you signed. I 22 22 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 23 believe it's Exhibit 20. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to the form. 24 question. 24 MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. THOMPSON: Join. 25 25 Page 74 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 76 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman THE WITNESS: I don t know if there's -- I 1 1 2 don't know how you would tell it somebody was growing 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: it for themselves or to sell. Q. Yeah. There isn't any evidence in Officer 3 3 BY MR. GOSMAN: Miner's report that he was growing marijuana plants in 4 4 a sufficient quantity that would indicate that he was Q. Okay. Well, how about the size of the 5 5 operation, Officer? growing them for sale? 6 6 A. Well, the initial information was that there 7 A. Not for sale, no. 7 was 12 to 15 plants. 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 8 Q. Where is that? I'm not doubting it, but just 9 BY MR. GOSMAN: 9 show me where that is. 10 10 A. That's what I recollect that was told to me 11 11 by Officer Miner. basement, correct? 12 12 13 Q. See if you can find that in the affidavit. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 13 14 A. Well, I can't find it there, but I can find 14 MS. WESTBY: Join. it in his grow log after we served the search warrant, 15

17

18

20

21

23

there was six to eight plants back in December of 2008.

Page 75

in this affidavit, other than what you remember Miner

lead anyone to believe that maybe he was growing them

THE WITNESS: There's no number listed for

where it said that he had seed ings plus three mature 16

Q. All right. Well, that's something that you

discovered after you reviewed the logs that were taken 19

in the search of the Wachsmuth residence, correct?

A. Yes. But it confirmed what the CI had told

22

Q. Well, what the CI told you, at least based on

what Officer Miner says in his affidavit, was that 24

THE WITNESS: The question again, please?

Q. So the objective evidence was that this was a small marijuana grow operation in Bret Wachsmuth's

THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd define it small if there was 11 to 15 plants. 16

17 BY MR. GOSMAN:

Q. How many plants were there? Hold it, back up 18 19 just a second. I'll strike that.

I think you just said 11 to 15 plants. Where 20 did that number come from, Officer? 21

22 A. I told you that's what Officer Miner had told

23 me.

Q. That's what Officer Miner told you. 24

25 A. Yes.

Alan Kent

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.			Alan Kent November 22, 2010		
	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 77	ΔΙΔ	N KENT · November 22, 2010 Page 79		
Direc	et Examination by Mr. Gosman		ct Examination by Mr. Gosman		
1	Q. Did you write that dov/n in any reports that	1	Q. All right. Were you no, you weren't. You		
2	you filed in this case?	2	were elk hunting, I think, when we took Officer Miner's		
3	A. I didn't file any reports in this case.	3	deposition. You didn't		
4	Q. Did you call out to Officer Miner when you	4	A. No, I was in North Carolina.		
5	approved his report, which is Exhibit 20, that, in	5	Q. Okay. Do you remember where it was that		
6	fact, he had told you that there were 11 to 15 plants	6	Miner when and where it was that Miner told you that		
7	in the house?	7	there were 11 to 15 plants in the Wachsmuth residence,		
8	A. He didn't put	8	based on what he'd heard from the confidential		
9	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	9	informant?		
10	question.	10	A. I believe it was in the garage.		
11	THE WITNESS: He didn't put a number of	11	Q. In the garage?		
12	plants in his report.	12	A. At the police department.		
13	BY MR. GOSMAN:	13	Q. All right. Well, Officer, did you make a		
14	Q. He put a number of plants in the affidavit	14	judgment that afternoon about whether or not you were		
15	for the search warrant, did he not?	15	dealing with a felony drug grow operation?		
16	A. From December. This is now February.	16	A. I made a judgment we were dealing with a		
17	Q. Well, and certainly, if there were if	17	felony.		
18	there was evidence of 11 to 15 plants, that would have	18	Q. You did?		
19	been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant,	19	A. Yes.		
20	correct?	20	Q. All right. And that was based on the		
21	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.	21	statement that Miner told you that there were 11 to 15		
22	MS. WESTBY: Join.	22	plants in the home?		
23	THE WITNESS: The evidence came from the	23	A. That was based on the statement that there		
24	what the CI had told Miner.	24	was a grow operation, yes.		
25		25	Q. And you're misunderstanding that the grow		
			Q. And you're misunderstanding that the grow		
		ļ			
	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 78	ALA	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80		
	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 78 Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN:	ALA	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman		
Direc	et Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALA Dire	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80		
Direct 1	et Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN:	ALA Dire	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony?		
1 2	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact,	ALA Dire 1 2	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.		
1 2 3	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were	ALA Dire 1 2 3	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead.		
1 2 3	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that	ALA Dire 1 2 3	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 80 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that		
1 2 3 4 5	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5	N KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no.		
1 2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct?	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6	NKENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it?		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	NKENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes.		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN:	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NKENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true?	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NKENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct?		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mear, there's not a	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join.		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NKENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of.		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20.	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN:		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mear, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	operation by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mear, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were six I believe it was six plants that were in the	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer Miner about the developing information on the Wachsmuth		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were six I believe it was six plants that were in the residence, correct?	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer Miner about the developing information on the Wachsmuth marijuana grow operation, correct?		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were six I believe it was six plants that were in the residence, correct? A. Yes.	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer Miner about the developing information on the Wachsmuth marijuana grow operation, correct? A. Yes.		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mear, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were six I believe it was six plants that were in the residence, correct? A. Yes. Q. And there's no reference to any of the number	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	operation by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer Miner about the developing information on the Wachsmuth marijuana grow operation, correct? A. Yes. Q. And how long did that meeting last?		
Direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. My question was that if he had, in fact, heard from the confidential informant that there were currently in the house 11 to 15 marijuana plants, that would certainly have been pertinent to the affidavit for the search warrant, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, it's not in there; sn't that true? A. It's not in there, but not necessarily it's a grow operation. I mean, there's not a difference defined by law for a grow operation between 1 and 20. Q. But he did place in his affidavit that in December of 2008 there were six to eight there were six I believe it was six plants that were in the residence, correct? A. Yes.	ALA Dire 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on KENT - November 22, 2010 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman operation was a felony? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: At the time, I didn't know that all grow operations are misdemeanors, no. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. It's a felony to sell marijuana, though, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And there was no evidence that Mr. Wachsmuth was engaged in selling marijuana, correct? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: Not that I knew of. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. All right. So you met with Chief Feathers and Miner shortly after you first talked with Officer Miner about the developing information on the Wachsmuth marijuana grow operation, correct? A. Yes.		

24

25

warrant?

the possibility of using a dynamic entry to serve the

24

25

issued, correct?

A. Not on that date, no.

Page 83

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 81

- A. We didn't even have a warrant then, so there
- was no discussion about that 2
- Q. All right. So what happened next? 3
- A. Officer Miner went ou; and met with the 4
- county attorney at the annex 5
- 6 Q. Okay. And did he take the confidential
- 7 informant with him?
- A. I don't know if they rode together, but 8
- eventually the confidential informant was there with q
- 10
- O. Okay. And do you know if Lt. Patterson was 11
- called to the office where Miner met with the 12
- confidential informant and the prosecutor? 13
- I don't know how he got there, but I knew 14
- eventually he was involved with the interview and 15
- the -- and writing the affidavit. 16
- Q. Was Miner reporting to you that afternoon? 17
- A. He was checking in periodically. 18
- Q. Okay. Were you his direct report that 19
- 20 afternoon? I mean, was he reporting to you, as his
- sergeant, the progress of this drug investigation? 21
- A. Yes. 22
- Q. All right. And did you ask him about the 23
- communications he was having with Lt. Patterson? 24
- He was keeping me informed of what was going 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- 1 question.
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 2
- THE WITNESS: It wasn't Officer Miner's 3
- decision to do a SWAT-type entry. 4
- 5 BY MR. GOSMAN:
 - Q. Okay. But that wasn't the question I asked.
- Did you know that he was considering that at 7
- that time? 8

6

- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Why would Lt. Patterson have been called in and have told you that his SWAT team was not going to 11
- 12 be involved if Officer Miner hadn't made some -- drawn
- 13 some conclusions about the use of a SWAT team in
- connection with this investigation? 14
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 15
- question. Misstates the testimony. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 17
- THE WITNESS: I don't know what they talked 18
- 19 about. I wasn't there.
- 20 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. All right. When did you first talk with 21
- Officer Miner about the use of a SWAT-type entry into 22
- the Wachsmuth home? 23
- A. I never talked to him about a SWAT-type 24
- 25 entry.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 82

1 on.

8

- Q. Well, what did he say: 2
- A. That Lt. Patterson, with his experience, was 3
- helping write the affidavit and interview the 4
- confidential informant. 5
- Q. He didn't tell you that ne'd invited 6
- Officer Patterson to participate with his SWAT team? 7
 - I don't recall that, no.
- Q. But you knew that because Officer Patterson 9
- said he wasn't going to do it, correct? 10
- A. When we talked on the phone, he said -- yeah, 11
- he said he was going home. 12
- Q. When did you speak with Officer Patterson --13
- Lt. Patterson? 14
- A. I don't know the exact time of day. I mean, 15
- it was later in the evening, I think. 16
- Q. Was it before dark? 17
- A. I don't recall. I mean, it was February, so 18
- it gets dark fairly early. 19
- Q. You only spoke to Lt. Patterson one time? 20
- That I remember. 21
- Q. And did you know at that time that you spoke 22
- with Lt. Patterson that Officer Miner at least was 23
- planning a SWAT-type entry into the Wachsmuth home? 24
 - MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 84

- Q. What did you talk to him about in relation to 1
- the entry? 2
- A. I don't think I talked to him about any of 3
- 4 it.
- Q. Officer Miner? 5
- A. Yeah. 6
- Well, you didn't just show up at the meeting 7
- later that evening where all the officers were
- assembled and learn for the first time that 9
- Officer Chretien had put together a plan for a dynamic 10
- entry, did you? 11
- 12 A. No. Sergeant Chretien was called in to plan
- 13 the entry.
- Q. Did you know about that? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 Q. And when did that happen?
- A. I don't have a time. I mean, he was one of 17
- the first phone calls I made. 18
- Q. Did you -- so you called Sergeant Chretien? 19
- 20
- Q. And did you tell him that you wanted him to
- 22 plan a dynamic entry into the Wachsmuth home?
- A. I told him, after conferring with the Chief, 23
- that we had a search warrant to serve and that he 24
- needed to put a plan together. Whether it was tactical

Alan Kent City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- or not was his call.
- Q. All right. So at this point in time that 2
- you'd spoken with Sergeant Chretien, you've talked 3
- 4 again with Chief Feathers?
- A. I talked to Chief Feathers through the course 5
- of the whole evening by phone or in person when he was 6
- 7 still at the office.
- 8 O. And did you discuss with Chief Feathers the
- 9 use of a dynamic entry in this case before you called
- Chretien? 10
- 11 A. Chief Feathers and I talked about all kinds
- of options. 12
- Q. And that would be one of them, correct? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. And why were you talking about a dynamic 15
- entry into the Wachsmuth home based on the information 16
- you had at that time? 17
- A. Based on the information we had from Bret 18
- that he was paranoid, he was always looking out the 19
- window. There was guns in he house. He carried a 20
- 21 gun. Also that we had information he was getting pills
- 22 from his mother-in-law, so I didn't know if he was
- going to be under the influence of something. Plus the 23
- part that scared me the most was that he knew we were 24
- 25 coming.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 85 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 87

- THE WITNESS: He would have had a few
- moments' notice, but not several hours like he had.
- 3 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- O. Several hours like he had? 4
- A. Yes. 5
- Q. So when did you first learn that the 6
- confidential informant had communicated with Bret 7
- Wachsmuth?
- A. He had told Officer Miner and Officer Miner 9
- told me. 10
- Q. When? 11
- A. One of the points we met during the afternoon
- or communicated during that -- the afternoon. 13
- Q. Okay. You considered that important 14
- 15 information, correct?
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. And certainly, that would have been
- information that would have been important for the --18
- in obtaining the search warrant, correct? 19
- 20 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. 21
- 22 MR. THOMPSON: Join.
 - THE WITNESS: I didn't write the search
- 24 warrant.

23

25

Page 86

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Q. Why did that scare you so bad? 1
- A. To know that we're going to go break down the 2
- door of somebody's house and they know we're coming? 3
- Q. Well, I think we've got an assumption going 4
- on there and that is that you were going to break down 5
- the door. Why did you feel that you had to break down 6
- the door? 7
- A. So that might have been a bad choice of 8
- words, but to do anything when they know we're coming 9
- isn't a good thing. 10
- Q. Well, this was a knock and-announce warrant, 11
- correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- O. And in a knock-and-announce warrant, you 14
- knock and announce your presence before you enter the 15
- home, correct? 16
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 17
- 18 question.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. 19
- 20 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. So even if everything had gone according to 21
- plan, Bret Wachsmuth would have known you were coming 22
- before he opened the door, correct? 23
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 88

- BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. Well, go to Exhibit 13 and tell me if
- Officer Miner says anything in there about the
- 4 confidential informant alerting Bret Wachsmuth that you
- were coming. 5
- 6 A. I don't think it's in there.
- Q. It's not in any -- is it in any of the 7
- reports? 8
- 9 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 10 Q. And let's go ahead and turn to Exhibit 20.
- This document was prepared by Chad Miner on 11
- 12 the 25th, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was a day after the incident,
- correct? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- O. And that was also after Bret Wachsmuth had 17
- been interviewed by Officer Brown and whoever clse was 18
- with him that day, correct? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know if Sergeant Chretien was alerted 21
- to the fact that the -- as you allege, the confidential 22
- 23 informant informed Officer Miner that he had contacted
- 24 Bret Wachsmuth and told him that you were coming?
- 25 A. I would have told him, yeah.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 89

- Q. Well, I'm not interested really in what you
- would have told him. If you remember telling him that,
- fine. And if you don't remember telling him that, 3
- that's fine, too. 4
 - Do you remember telling him that?
- A. I don't remember specifically. I told him 6
- all the information that I had 7
- O. And he was charged with devising the entry 8
- plan based on the information that you gave him, 9
- 10 correct?

5

- A. Yes. 11
- Q. Did he speak with Officer Miner, do you know? 12
- 13 A. Officer Miner, when he returned from Cody,
- came into the basement and spoke with Sergeant 14
- Chretien, yes. 15
- Q. And what time was that? 16
- A. When he got back from Cody. I don't know the 17
- time. 18
- Q. And do you know whether Officer Chretien was 19
- aware that the confidential it formant had spoken to 20
- Bret Wachsmuth earlier in the day and told him you were 21
- coming? 22
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form. 23
- 24 MR. THOMPSON: Join.
- THE WITNESS: I told Sergeant Chretien all 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 91

- the other concerns either.
- Q. Well, it does say handguns loaded everywhere 2
- in the house, doesn't it? 3
- That's one of the concerns we had, yes. 4
- O. Okay. So, Officer, when were the other 5
- police officers of the Powell Police Department 6
- contacted to come down to the police station? 7
- A. Sometime during that evening before we served 8
- 9 the search warrant.
- 10 Q. All right. And was that done after
- Sergeant Chretien had devised the plan to conduct the 11
- 12 entry into the home?
 - I think they were arriving at roughly the
- 14 same times that he was working on the plan.
- Q. All right. Well, let's go back for a minute. 15
- You instructed Officer Chretien to devise the plan, 16
- correct? 17

13

- I asked him to, yes. 18
- Q. Asked him to, all right. 19

And that was also based on information that 20 you had discussed with Chief Feathers, correct? 21

- A. Yes. 22
- Q. And so Chief Feathers was aware that 23
- Officer Chretien was being asked to devise a plan for
 - entry into the Wachsmuth residence, correct?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

the information I had. So I would have told him.

- 2 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. To the best of your recollection --3
- 4
- O. -- you would have told him that? 5
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 (Exhibit 16 identified)
- BY BY MR. GOSMAN: 8
- 9 Q. All right. Well, let's take a look at his
- report, which is Exhibit 16. want you to see if you 10
- can find any reference in that report to this 11
- knowledge. 12
- 13 A. I don't see it.
- (Exhibit 10 identified) 14
- BY BY MR. GOSMAN: 15
- Q. Let's take a quick look at Exhibit 10. Do 16
- you know what this document is? 17
- Yes. It's notes that were taken. 18
- O. And these were notes .hat were 19
- taken documenting the entry plan, correct? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right. Is there any reference in this
- document to the confidential informant alerting Bret 23
- Wachsmuth, allegedly, that he had turned him in? 24
- A. No, but I don't see any reference to any of 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 92

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And when did you speak to Chretien again --
- next? 3

Page 90

- I spoke to him off and on through the whole 4
- 5 evening.
- 6 Q. All right. And after you had made this -- or
- 7 given him this charge and asked him to prepare a plan,
- when did you learn that he had prepared a plan?
- A. When he was explaining to everybody in the basement. 10
- 11 Q. You didn't know before then what his plan
- 12 was?

16

- A. I don't think the specifics were worked out. 13
- Q. Well, all right. But did you know that he 14
- was planning a dynamic entry into the Wachsmuth home? 15
 - I knew he was planning an entry, yes.
- 17 Q. Well, you've been talking to him through the
 - evening, as you say, correct, before you actually met
- with him at the police station? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. And you're telling me that you didn't know he 21
- was planning a dynamic entry?
- A. I knew he was planning an entry. And at the 23
- end I knew it was a dynamic entry. When the plans were 24
- 25 finalized.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al.

Page 93

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Q. Did you communicate with Chief Feathers
- regarding the finalized plans that evening? 2
- 3
- Q. And what did you tell him? 4
- A. I don't recall specifically. But I laid out 5
- what we were going to do. 6
- Q. All right. And was that -- what you laid out 7
- 8 for him, was that the information that you had received
- from Chretien as to what the plan would be and how it 9
- would proceed? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- O. Did he have a veto power over the execution 12
- 13
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 14
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 15
- THE WITNESS: Yes. 16
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 17
- Q. Did he specifically approve this plan after 18
- you explained it to him? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- 21 Q. When did you visit with him about this and
- 22 attain his approval?
- A. Sometime during the evening before the 23
- 24 warrant was served.
- Q. Was it before the meet ng with the police

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Yes.
- 2 When I called him in.
- Q. And that was before -- that was to let him 3
- know that you wanted him to come down to the station? 4
- A. Yes. 5
- O. So Officer Eckerdt wasn't involved in the 6
- 7 planning of the search warrant, correct?
 - A. Well, I think he was involved.
- Q. How? 9
- 10 A. Well, I know for one thing, he informed us
- that there was a picture on the social networking site 11
- of Bret Wachsmuth with a M16, M4 military type and 12
- wearing a bullet resistant vest. I remember him making 13
- 14 us aware of that.
- Q. Okay. And did you think that was 15
- significant? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And what did he say about it? 18
- A. That he saw it on the website. And he talked 19
- 20 to Tom about it that -- that it probably wasn't
- 21 appropriate for a drug agent's son to be on a website
- with that. And I think it was taken down. 22
- 23 Q. Do you remember him telling you that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And I think you just told me a 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

Page 94 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- officers? 1
- A. It was ongoing through the whole evening. I 2
- 3 was in communication --
- Q. Yeah, but --4
- A. -- with the chief. 5
- 6 -- I didn't ask you that question.
- MS. WESTBY: You need to let him finish his 7
- 8 answer.
- 9 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. Did you communicate with Chief Feathers about 10
- the elements of the plan before the meeting where the 11 12
- police officers were all called down to the station?
- A. Yes. 13

20

it?

- Q. Let's go ahead and take a look at Exhibit 10 14
- for a moment. There is a list of officers on the upper 15
- left-hand corner and a description of their positions 16
- in this warrant service, the roles that they were going 17
- 18 to play. Is that list accurate as far as you remember 19
- A. As far as I remember it. 21
- O. Let me back up for just a second and ask you 22
- 23 this: Were you in communication with Sergeant Eckerdt
- that evening before you met at the police station? 24
- A. Before we met downstairs? 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 96

Page 95

- minute ago that you first talked to Sergeant Eckerdt
- when you called him to tell him to come down to the
- 3 police station, correct?
- A. Yes. 4
- O. All right. And so you didn't actually visit
- with Sergeant Eckerdt about this photo until after he
- arrived at the police station that night, along with 7
- the other officers, correct? 8
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Hadn't you already made up your mind that you 10
- were going to do a dynamic entry at that point? 11
 - A. No.

12

- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- 14 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 15 Q. What were the other options that were 16 considered?
- A. The chief and I talked about getting Tom 17
- involved. 18
- Q. And that was earlier in the afternoon, 19
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. It was ongoing through the whole evening.
- Q. Well, you first started talking about that 22
- earlier in the afternoon, correct? 23
- 24 A. When we first started, but it was ongoing
- 25 through the whole evening.

1

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 97

- Q. All right. You discussed with Chief Feathers
- 2 earlier in the afternoon the possibility of getting Tom
- 3 Wachsmuth involved in the case, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, along with other options.
- 5 Q. And he told you that he didn't want this case
- 6 to be treated any differently than any other case at
- 7 that time, correct?
- 8 A. Well, I know that he had conversations with
- 9 Tom's boss, Steve Hermann about it. And they made the
- 10 decision that Tom wasn't going to be involved.
- 11 Q. And he told you that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. And did he tell you that in the
- 14 afternoon?
- 15 A. He told me that some point during the
- 16 evening -- afternoon, evening -- somewhere in there
- 17 after he eontacted Agent Hermann.
- 18 Q. Okay. Who is Agent Hermann?
- A. He's the agent in charge -- he's the
- 20 northwest enforcement team leader for the local DCI
- office. He oversees this office and Riverton and Park,
- 22 Washakie, Big Horn and Hot Springs County and Fremont
- 23 County.
- Q. What's his name, agair.?
- 25 A. Steve Hermann.

Page 98

- ALAN KENT November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman
- 1 Q. Okay. Was he Bret Wachsmuth's supervisor, as
- 2 you know?
- 3 MR. THOMPSON: You mean Tom Wachsmuth?
- 4 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 5 Q. I'm sorry, Tom Wachs nuth's.
- 6 A. He is Tom Wachsmuth's supervisor.
- 7 Q. Okay. So what other alternatives were
- 8 discussed that night?
- **9** A. There was an alternative of maybe getting him
- out of the house on the pretense of having somebody go
- 11 meet him for a drink, dinner
- Q. Who was this conversation with?
- 13 A. The chief.
- 14 O. Okay.
- 15 A. There was talk of knock and talk.
- **Q.** Those all sound pretty reasonable to me.
- Why weren't any of those measures attempted
- first before we had the door rammed in and the dynamic entry?
- A. I think it was the -- the thing with Tom, he
- 21 wasn't involved because that was the decision made by
- 22 my boss and his boss.
- The knock and talk, I remember talking to the
- chief about that, where if we sent somebody to the door
- and a guy inside may be high, carrying a gun, is a

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 99

- 1 peeper, paranoid, has mental issues, it may be tough to
- 2 find a volunteer to go knock on the door, and I agreed
- 3 with that.
- 4 Q. All right. Well, let's stop there for a
- 5 second.
- 6 Did we have any objective evidence that Bret
- 7 Wachsmuth had ever committed a crime of violence in his
- 8 life?

11

18

19

- 9 A. I didn't know Bret Wachsmuth. So, no, I
- 10 don't have any --
 - Q. Well, you know, if you're talking about
- whether or not you're going to go to the door with an
- 13 officer and knock on it and just visit with the man,
- 14 and you're worried about the fact that he's been
- 15 reported as being paranoid, wouldn't it be important to
- find out whether he had any history of violence in his life?
 - MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question.
- MR. THOMPSON: Argumentative.
- 21 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. That's something you'd want to know before
- 23 you make a final decision about how to go about this
- 24 search warrant, correct?
- A. Well, I know that Officer Lara talked to Tom.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 100

- 1 and they discussed Bret's mental issues. That was a
- 2 concern
- **Q.** Well, but my question was: Did you -- or did
- 4 anybody bother to find out whether Bret Wachsmuth had
- 5 any history of violence?
- 6 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- 7 MS. WESTBY: Join.
- 8 THE WITNESS: By running a criminal history
- **9** or something?
- 10 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 11 Q. Yes.
- A. I mean, it's tough to talk to his dad since
- we weren't going to get him involved.
- 14 Q. Well, actually, was there any real reason why
- 15 you couldn't have talked to his dad and asked him about
- that? Asked him the kind of threat his son posed?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- MS. WESTBY: Join.
- THE WITNESS: I would think a trained drug officer would be curious of why the police are calling
- 21 to ask if his son's violent.
- 22 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. Why would that be so strange?
- **24** A. I know that ---
 - Q. You're going to serve a warrant on his house.

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 101

Page 103

Alan Kent

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

A. If somebody called me, a police officer, and

- asked me if my son was violen, I would want to know 2
- 3 why.
- Q. Sure. And what's wrong with telling him? 4
- A. That we're going to serve a search warrant on 5
- 6 his house?
- Q. Yeah, exactly. 7
- A. Because the decision was made not to get him R
- involved. 9
- 10 O. Well, that doesn't involve him necessarily in terms of having him come dow i to the house and get in 11
- 12
- 13 A. Well, what's to keep him from coming to the
- house? 14
- Q. All right. So you're telling me that rather 15
- than call Tom Wachsmuth, the boy's father, who you knew 16
- was a reputable peace officer in this area, to find out 17
- whether or not Bret Wachsmith posed a danger and 118
- whether there was anything he might be able to do to 19
- help diffuse the situation, you elected to completely 20
- 21 forego that possibility simply because you didn't want
- to have Tom Wachsmuth involved in the case? 22
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23
- 24 MS. WESTBY: Objection as to form of the
- question. That completely misstates the testimony. 25

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

question.

BY MR. GOSMAN:

- Q. Now, if we wait, what is the safest approach?
- A. We knocked the door down pursuant to a search 4
- warrant, yes.
- 6 Q. Yes. And you entered the house with loaded
- semiautomatic rifles. Correct? 7
- A. Correct. 8
- 9 Q. And a flashbang device was deployed into a
- bedroom window, and you were there when that happened, 10
- 11 correct?

19

Page 102

- A. Yes. 12
- 13 Q. And in your mind, it was a safer procedure to
- 14 go forward with that -- with what happened that night
- than it was to simply call Tom Wachsmuth or if it had
- been someone else, their father, and if you knew that 16
- 17 they were reliable and could be trusted and visit with
- them about helping you serve this warrant? 18
 - MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- 20 MS. WESTBY: Join.
- MR. THOMPSON: Asked and answered, misstates 21
- 22 the testimony. 23
 - MS. WESTBY: Join.
- 24 THE WITNESS: For the safety of the officers,
- for the residents, and because I had already talked to 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 1
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of the question 2
- you're asking. 3
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 4
- Q. You elected to forego a 1y communication with 5
- Tom Wachsmuth simply because he was a law enforcement 6
- 7 officer, correct?
- A. No. 8
- 9 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 10
- Q. What were the other reasons? 11
- A. He was Bret's father. 12
- Q. All right. He was Bret's father and he was a 13
- law enforcement officer. 14
- But let me ask this question: Would you have 15 consulted with the father of someone else in 16
- circumstances like this if it wasn't a police officer? 17
- A. No. 18
- Q. You would never do that? 19
- A. Not if we were going to serve a search 20
- warrant, no. 21
- 22 Q. All right. And so in lieu of that, you
- assembled 11 police officers and knocked the door down, 23
- correct? 24
- 25 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 104

- the chief, who was in communication with Tom's boss,
- that was the decision that was made, yes.
- BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. All right. Well, the safety of the officers
- is certainly important, correct? 5
- A. Uh-huh. 6
- Q. And the safety of the occupants of the house? 7
- A. Yes. 8
- Q. And certainly, the safety of all occupants is
- threatened in a normal situation when you enter a home 10
- with armed officers in a dynamic entry situation as 11
- opposed to just knocking on the door, unless there's a 12
- real reason why you don't just knock on the door. 13
- MS. WESTBY: Okay. Enough. Object to the 14
- form of the question. It -- I don't know if you haven't read the law, if you don't have any idea of 16
- 17 what it is that you're talking about. But you're
- misstating the law in your questions, which I guess I 18
- don't really care about. But you're also being 19
- absolutely, unreasonably argumentative and awful with 20
- 21 these witnesses. So please refrain.
- 22 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. Officer Kent ---23
- MR. THOMPSON: He needs to take a break. 24
- 25 MR. GOSMAN: Oh, sure.

Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 107 Page 105 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman (Recess taken 3:01 to 3:11 Q. Okay. p.m., November 22, 2010) All right. Now, were there any other options 2 2 MR. GOSMAN: Okay Go ahead and read the that you discussed, other than calling Mr. Wachsmuth, 3 3 Sr., and inviting him to call his son out or provide last question if you could, please. 4 4 (The record was read as 5 information about his actual threat status to the 5 officers? 6 requested.) 6 BY MR. GOSMAN: 7 Using a ruse to bring him out of the house 7 Q. That's a question to you, sir. and get him to a bar, for instance, or just going to 8 8 MR. THOMPSON: Object as to the form. the door and knocking on it, were there any other 9 9 options that were discussed? 10 MS. WESTBY: Join 10 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the THE WITNESS. We considered all the options 11 and with all the circumstances that we knew at the time question. Misstates the testimony. 12 12 that was the best option. 13 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 13 BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall, other than 14 O. And those were the circumstances that the 15 serving the warrant. 15 confidential informant had related to Officer Miner BY MR. GOSMAN: 16 16 about Bret Wachsmuth, correct? Q. Okay. And let's go to Exhibit 10 again for a 17 17 moment. And I want you to tell me if there's any 18 A. The concerns we had, yes. 18 Q. Is there some kind of rule as a police reference in Exhibit 10 to any of those alternatives 19 19 officer that you don't involve the public in assisting being discussed. 20 20 in relatives, family members et cetera, if it can be A. Not that I can see. 21 21 accomplished safely? 22 Q. Were they discussed at that meeting that 22 A. I don't know what you're trying to ask there. Marissa Torczon was at? 23 23 A. They were discussed between -- I don't know 24 I mean, is there a rule? 24 Q. Yeah — yes, is there a 'ule? if they were discussed at that meeting. They were 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 108 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 106 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. That we can't call the parents? discussed between myself and the Chief. 1 Q. All right. Let's go to Exhibit 16. And we 2 O. Yes. 2 A. Well, when my Chief and Tom's boss say not have officer -- Sergeant Chretien's report. And --3 4

- to, then I consider that a rule to me.
- O. All right. And I am in perfect agreement 5
- with that. I want to know if here's any other 6
- protocol that you're aware of as a law enforcement 7
- officer in your career that says you just don't do 8
- 9 that?
- 10 A. When you're serving a warrant, no.
- Q. When you're serving a warrant. What is your 11
- 12
- A. When you're serving a warrant, you're not 113
- going to call the parents or the relatives to tip off 14
- the people that you're serving the warrant on. 15
- Q. Okay. And that's based on your training as a 16
- 17 law enforcement officer?
- A. That and common sense. 18
- Q. Okay. One of the other thoughts that you had 19
- was just simply knocking on the -- or one of the 20
- thoughts that you had was seeing if you could get Bret 21
- 22 Wachsmuth out of the house. What happened to that
- idea? 23
- A. That was one of the options that was 24
- 25 discussed, and we decided to do it the way we did it. 25

- one, two, three, four -- four paragraphs down, it's
- just a very short paragraph, I think it's two 5
- sentences. Would you read that into the record, 6
- 7

10

11

15

16

17

- 8 A. Is that the one that starts "Based on the
- information received"? 9
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. "Based on the information received and my
- knowledge, training and experience on numerous narcotic 12
- search warrants, I decided to take extra precautions to 13
- minimize the chance of someone getting hurt. 14

These extra precautions included planning multiple breaches simultaneously, using as many officers I had available and introducing a noise flash

18

distraction device, NFDD, or flashbang." 19 Q. Okay. Can you tell me how many times the

- 20 Powell Police Department has trained for situations involving multiple breaches simultaneously using as 21 22 many officers as you have -- as were available and
- introducing a noise flash distraction device? 23
- A. We have trained on it. I can't give you a 24 number.

Alan Kent City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Q. All right. So is there any reference in
- there to other considerations being made or entering 2
- into the decision that Officer Chretien was involved 3
- with here? 4
- A. Not in Officer Chretien's report Sergeant 5
- 6 Chretien's report.
- Q. So those were things that you were weighing
- with the Chief before the decision was finally made to
- go ahead with this dynamic entry; is that correct? 9
- A. Sergeant Chretien would have been involved in 10 11 those considerations also.
- Q. He doesn't mention any of them in his report, 12
- though, does he? 13
- A. Not that I see, no. 14
- Q. Did you know that Lt. Patterson had suggested 15
- that Tom Wachsmuth perhaps be consulted in this case in 16
- 17 order to avoid having to use a SWAT team to go into his
- son's home? 18
- A. Not until later when I read his report. 19
- Q. Do you remember a discussion that Tom 20
- Wachsmuth was to be held at buy if he came down to the 21
- 22 police station that night?
- A. Be held at bay? 23
- Q. Or to be kept from what was going on? 24
- I think -- let's look at Exhibit 10 and see 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 109 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

(Exhibit 29 identified)

- BY MR. GOSMAN: 2
- 3 Q. Have you ever seen that document before?
- A. Yes. 4
- 5 Q. What is it?
- 6 A. It's a crisis response tactics training from
- 7 the Powell Police Department.
- Q. Have you taken it? 8
- A. Yeah. 9
- Q. Is it part of the Powell Police Department 10
- 11 policies regarding crisis response?
- 12 A. No, it's a training document.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go to page 18 of that document
- for a moment. See the heading there, "Dynamic"? 14
- 15 A. Yes.

13

- Q. And there's an introduction, do you see that? 16
- 17 Yes.
- Q. And in the introduction it describes the 18
- levels of response that are appropriate in a given 19
- 20 situation. Correct?
- Yes. 21 A.
- 22 Have you ever heard of the force continuum?
- 23
- 24 Q. What is it? What do you understand that term
- to mean?

Page 110

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- what it says exactly. 1
- "Tom Wachsmuth stays in lobby." Do you 2
- remember discussing that? 3
- A. Not specifically, no. 4
- Q. In fact, wasn't it Lt. Patterson that first 5
- 6 came up with the idea of involving Tom Wachsmuth?
- A. I said a minute ago I don't know what his --7
- conversation until I read his 'eport. 8
- Q. Well, how did the subject of Tom Wachsmuth 9
- come up as you remember it? 10
- A. What part of the subject are you talking 11
- 12 about?
- Q. About whether or not he should be consulted 13
- 14 in this case.
- A. That would be a standard question that --15
- when you're running all the scenarios through your 16
- 17
- Q. Well, why would it be a standard question if 18
- you never do something like that when you serve a 19
- 20
- A. Well, if we never do it, it doesn't mean we 21
- don't talk about it. 22
- 23 Q. Oh, I see.
- I want you to turn to Exhibit 29 for just a 24

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 112

Page 111

- A. Well, it's -- it's a level of force that we'd
- 2 use in a given instance for resistance or threats
- 3 against you.
- 4 Q. All right. And so it goes up as the threat
- increases, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And is it true that in every 7
- situation, if the force -- or if the threat is low, the
- 9 force should also be low?
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 10 11 question.
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 12
- MS. WESTBY: That completely misstates the 13
- 14 law.
- MR. GOSMAN: I'm not talking about the law. 15
- I'm talking about the force continuum. 16
- MS. WESTBY: And I'm objecting as to the fact 17
- 18 that your question --
- MR. GOSMAN: And that misstates the law. 19
- 20 That's your testimony here today?
- THE WITNESS: What is the question? 21
- 22 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- 23 Q. If the threat is low, then the force should
- also be low to meet the threat, correct? 24
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 25

Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 115 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 113 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman door and wait for somebody to answer it? question. MR. THOMPSON: Join. You can do a lot of things with it in a 2 2 THE WITNESS: Correct. matter of seconds. You can flush it down the toilet --3 3 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Oh, really? 4 4 Q. All right. And it's only when the threat A. -- there's all kinds of stuff. 5 5 O. You can flush -becomes higher that you would move into a situation 6 6 MS. WESTBY: Excuse me. The witness is where you would employ something like a dynamic entry? 7 7 Well, any amount of force can escalate and trying to answer your question. Please do not 8 R then de-escalate also. interrupt. 9 9 10 O. All right. Well, let me have the reporter 10 BY MR. GOSMAN: read my question back. Q. So it's your testimony that you could flush 11 11 to 15 plants down the toilet in a matter of seconds? (The record was read as 12 12 requested.) MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 13 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 question. 14 BY MR. GOSMAN: THE WITNESS: Before you make it to the 15 15 O. And in these training materials that we're 16 16 basement, yes. You can throw them in the furnace. You looking at right now from Exl ibit 29, under paragraph can run up the stairs and --17 17 B, it says "Why dynamic," and then it lists the reasons BY MR. GOSMAN: 18 18 why you would utilize a dynamic entry, correct? Q. Throw them in the furnace? How do you throw 19 19 A. Uh-huh. 20 11 to 15 marijuana plants in the typical home furnace? 20 O. If life is threatened? A. Well, I've got a wood stove at my house, so I 21 21 A. Yes. 22 can throw it in the wood stove. A lot of people in 22 O. Suicide or harm to others? Wyoming have wood stoves. So you can throw it in a 23 23 24 A. Yes. 24 wood stove type furnace. Q. And let's go ahead and talk about the second 25 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 114 Page 116 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman paragraph. If evidence may be destroyed or, for Q. All right. Was life threatened in the instance -- and then under supparagraph A, in a drug 2 service of the Wachsmuth warrant? arrest: was that a concern in this case? A. No. 3 3 A. It could have been a concern that the Q. Did you know whether Bret Wachsmuth had any 4 evidence was destroyed. prior criminal history whatsoever? 5 5 A. No. I think -- I believe I answered no when Q. I don't care about what it could have been. 6 6 Was it a concern in this case? you asked that earlier. 7 7 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 8 Q. No, I asked you if you were aware of whether 8 he had any history of violence. question. 9 9 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're arguing and A. Okay. No. 10 10 Q. And you didn't know whether he had any badgering the witness. 11 11 MR. GOSMAN: All right. I'll try to keep history of violence and you didn't know whether he had 12 12 any criminal history? 13 that in mind. I will. Thank you. 13 BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 A. No. 14

- Q. Was it a concern in this case, Officer? 15
- Yes, we were serving a drug warrant. 16
- 17 Q. Did anybody say that there was a threat to
- destruction of evidence in this case in any of these 18
- conversations that you had leading up to the actual 19
- service of this warrant? 20
- A. Did anybody say it specifically? 21
- 22 Q. Yeah.
- A. No. 23
- Q. How easy is it to dispose of 11 to 15 24
- marijuana plants in the time it takes to knock on the 25

- Q. Do you understand the difference between 15
- 16 being a violent person and being a depressed person?
- 17
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 18 question. 19
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 20
- 21 Q. And do you understand the difference between
- 22 being a paranoid person and being a violent person?
- A. Yes. 23
- Q. So what evidence was there that day that Bret 24
 - Wachsmuth was a violent person?

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 117

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 119

Alan Kent

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 2 question.

3 MR. THOMPSON: Join.

THE WITNESS: I've (lealt with depressed)

people that have been violent in my experience.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 6

Q. And you've probably cealt with Caucasians

8 that have been violent and Hispanics that have been

violent, too? 9

A. Yes. 10

7

Q. So that doesn't really -- the fact that he 11 was depressed does not mean he was violent, correct? 12

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 13 question. 14

MR. THOMPSON: Join. 15

16 THE WITNESS: All I can go by is my

experience. I've dealt with depressed people that have 17

been violent. 18

BY MR. GOSMAN: 19

Q. The question is, and I hink you've already 20

answered it: Depression is not synonymous with 21

violence, correct? 22

 Not synonymous, no. 23

Q. All right. And what you were interested in 24

25 and what you needed to know was whether Bret Wachsmuth

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

going off of.

2 Q. All right. Does the fact that a person looks

3 out his window mean that he's violent?

4 A. When he's looking out his window to see if

the cops are coming or if the bad guys are coming.

Q. Well, is there any evidence that that's why 6 7

Bret Wachsmuth looked out the windows? 8 A. That's the impression I got when they said he

was a peeper. 9

Q. But you didn't have any other information in 10 11 the fact that you were told that he was a peeper,

correct? 12

15

16

17

Page 118

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 13 question. 14

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure where you're going with this because, I mean, that was the information we got from the confidential informant.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 18

19 Q. That he was a peeper; that he looked out his

window? 20

A. Looking for people that were coming to his 21

22 house, such as the cops and the bad guys.

Q. All right. So did Bret Wachsmuth -- was 23

there any specific evidence that Bret Wachsmuth was 24

25 using large quantities of prescription medication?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

was a violent person, correct? 1

2 A. Yes.

4

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 3 question.

5 BY MR. GOSMAN:

Q. Because if he wasn't a violent person, he 6

probably wasn't a threat to the officers, correct? 7

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 8 question. 9

BY MR. GOSMAN: 10

Q. Unless there was some other special

circumstance that you were aware of, suicide or 12 something like that? 13

14 MS. WESTBY: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Based on what we knew, the 15 totality of the circumstances, the information we had 16

from the confidential informant --17

BY MR. GOSMAN: 18

Q. Was that he was depressed? 19

 Was that he was depressed, that he had guns, 20

that he was a peeper, that he was taking narcotics that 21

he was getting in the mail, that he knew that we were 22 23 coming.

The whole situation rolled into one big package, that's what we -- the information we were ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 120

MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 1

2 MS. WESTBY: Join.

THE WITNESS: The information that the Cl 3

4 provided.

5 BY MR. GOSMAN:

6 Q. Well -- and I'd prefer if we could, to go to

7 the record for that information. Why don't we take a

8 look at Exhibit 13. And I want you to tell me if

9 there's any reference in there to Mr. Wachsmuth

using -- okay, other drugs. And as a matter of fact, I 10

11 just found it myself. So it's on page 4.

The Wachsmuths would take these controlled substances, either by crushing the pills or snorting them or by injecting the controlled substances with needles. This is according to the Cl.

Now, is there anything about the fact that someone is taking a controlled substance that indicates 17 that they are violent and represent a threat to police 18 officers? 19

- 20 It depends on what they are taking.
- Q. Okay. Well, it looks like it's mostly 21
- oxycodone and morphine. 22
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. Do people who take oxycodone and 24
- morphine become violent towards police officers?

12

13

Page 121

City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- A. They can.
- Q. Well, if they took metnamphetamine, they 2
- might be more inclined to be violent; isn't that true? 3
- A. More inclined, yes. But any narcotic can
- trigger violent responses. 5
- Q. All right. So I've heard you say, and I've 6
- heard every officer in these depositions say over and 7
- R over again the totality of the circumstances, but I
- want to take each one of these circumstances 9
- individually. And I want you to tell me what it is 10
- about the fact that Bret Wachsmuth was known to take 11
- pills that's in and of itself incicated that he was a 12
- threat to the safety of anyone else in that home or to 13
- the police officers who might come to the door to 14
- execute a search warrant. 15
- A. It depends, I suppose, on the amount of pills 16
- he took. But taking narcotics can make you violent. 17
- Q. Well, if he took a lot of oxycodone or 18
- morphine, he certainly wouldn't be violent, he'd be 19
- lethargic, wouldn't he? 20
- 21 A. Probably be in a coma.
- 22 Q. Yeah. So the question remains: Is there
- anything in the evidence that Brot Wachsmuth was taking 23
- prescription medication that would support the 24
- 25 inference that he was a violen person and represented

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 123

- A. I don't remember if it's written down in a
- report or if I recall --
- 3 Q. All right. We're not going to waste time
- tracking that one down. I'm talking about specific 4
- instances where Bret Wachsmuth had, because of his
- narcotic use or because of the fact that he peeped out 6
- windows, had ever been violent or threatened violence 7
- to any person?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Bret Wachsmuth had loaded -- there was 10
- 11 information from the confidential informant that he had
- 12 loaded guns in his house, correct?
- 13
- Q. Do you have loaded guns in your house? 14
- 15 A. Yes. But I don't use drugs.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know whether having loaded guns
- 17 in your house in and of itself poses any objective
- danger to police officers who would be serving a
- 19 warrant?
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 20
- 21 question.

22

23

Page 122

- MR. THOMPSON: Join.
 - THE WITNESS: If there's loaded guns in the
- house and you're serving a search warrant, that would 24
- 25 be a danger to police officers? Certainly.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- a threat to police officers?
- MS. WESTBY: Other than the fact that he's 2
- committing a crime? 3
- 4 MR. GOSMAN: I'm asking the questions, thank
- you. 5
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Asked 6
- 7
- THE WITNESS: If you take narcotics, you can 8
- be violent. I don't know how else to answer that. 9
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 10
- O. All right. Was there any evidence that Bret 11
- Wachsmuth had ever taken narbotic medication and had 12
- been violent in the past? Any specific instance? 13
- A. I'd never met him befere. So, no, not to my 14 15 knowledge.
- 16
- Q. And the confidential informant had no specific information about Bret 'Wachsmuth being violent 17
- at any time; isn't that true? 18
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 19
- 20 MS. WESTBY: Join.
- THE WITNESS: I believe there was a statement 21
- somewhere that the confidential informant was afraid of 22
- 23 him.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 24
- 25 O. Where is that?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Page 124
- Q. Why?
- A. Because they could be used against you. 2
- Q. Well, of course they could be used against
- you, but there has to be some evidence that they may be
- used against you, isn't that true, in order for it to 5
- be a threat of violence? 6
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 7
- 8 question.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Other than somebody pointing it
- 10 at you, I don't know what you're going for here.
- Because if somebody has a gun in their house, you're
- 12 serving a search warrant, they can use that gun against
- 13 you.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 14
- 15 O. All right. Would that be the basis for a
- dynamic entry, the fact that they have a gun in their 16
- 17 house?
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 18
- 19 MS. WESTBY: Join.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Our entry was to serve a search
- warrant.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 22
- 23 Q. It was a dynamic entry?
- A. When nobody answered the door it became a 24
- dynamic entry, yes. But it was to serve a scarch

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 125 Page 127 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman warrant. A. Paragraph C, you said? 2 Q. I see. Well, it was a dynamic entry. It was 2 Q. Yes. planned as a dynamic entry, and the question is: Does 3 A. Okay. 3 the fact that someone has a gun in their house justify Q. Okay, the traditional slow methodical 4 4 5 that rising level of forcing the -- in the force approach has as its primary objective officer safety. continuum? 6 Do you agree with that? 6 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 7 7 A. Depending on the situation. question. Misstates his testimony. You can't just Q. Well, do you agree with that or not? я 8 change his testimony because you don't like it. A. Depending on the situation. 9 9 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 10 Q. As you move up the continuum, your primary 10 THE WITNESS: That he had guns in the house objective changes to apprehension of the suspect. 11 11 and with everything else, that was the reason the 12 12 Do you agree with that, we're talking about 13 decision was made to do what we did. 13 the force continuum? 14 BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 A. Yes. Q. And everything else included the fact that Q. At this point, you begin taking calculated 15 15 16 you knew that he was -- or you heard that he was risks, correct? 16 17 depressed, paranoid, and peeped out his windows? 17 A. At which point? A. And he had guns in the house, he used Q. At the point where you change the objective 18 18 narcotics, he knew we were coming. There's a picture 19 19 and move up the continuum of force. of him on the Internet of him wearing a vest carrying a I'm not sure I understand. 20 20 21 military style weapon. I mear, you take it all and add 21 Q. Any time you inject a dynamic entry into a it together and, yes, we were concerned. 22 22 situation and you are having officers involved with BY MR. GOSMAN: 23 23 semiautomatic weapons, flashbang devices, et cetera, 24 Q. What if we take away a couple of those 24 the overwhelming show of force, you are moving up the 25 things. What if we take away, for instance, the fact force continuum, yes? 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 126 Page 128 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman that Officer Lara testified concerning his knowledge of A. Yes. 1 2 Bret Wachsmuth, and that -- and Sergeant Eckerdt's 2 Q. And when you do that, you begin taking testimony regarding these photographs? 3 3 calculated risks. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. A. You take calculated risks that offset the 4 4 5 Relevance. 5 overall safety of the residents, the officers, and the BY MR. GOSMAN: destruction of evidence. б 6 Q. Is there any substantive difference in your 7 7 Q. So there must be some fairly significant mind between the scenario that would justify dynamic threat to the overall safety of the officers in order 8 9 entry --9 for you to move up this continuum of force? MR. THOMPSON: Object. 10 A. On this particular search warrant, yes. 10 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Okay. Now, let's go ahead and take a look at 11 11 Q. -- without those two pieces of evidence? page 19, "Principles of Dynamic Entry." 12 12 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 13 13 Why don't you read the first three items that MS. WESTBY: Join. are listed there. 14 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know how I could even A. Surprise, speed, violence of action. 15 15 16 speculate on that. I mean, I would have to guess. How 16 Q. Okay. And the violence of action is do I guess -- I mean, if he came down and turned 17 countering the threat, the realistic threat of violence 17 himself in at the police department, then we wouldn't 18 coming from the suspect, correct? 18 19 have had to worry about any of this. I mean, that 19 A. No. doesn't -- to me, that question doesn't make any sense. 20 Q. What is it? 20 BY MR. GOSMAN: 21 Violence of action would be like rapid

A. What page?

Q. Eighteen.

Q. All right. Okay. Let's go ahead and look at

subparagraph C on page 18 of Exhibit 29.

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

force?

A. Yes.

movement, noise, distractions.

Q. Right. As part of the overwhelming show of

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

Page 131

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 129

- Q. And those are designed to counter the threat
- from a suspect? 2
- A. Yes. 3
- Q. And we have, then, under "Dynamic Tactics,"
- the heading "Diversion Devices." And I want you to 5
- read paragraph A into the record for me, please. 6
- 7 A. "A diversion device should be used when you
- are sure or reasonably sure there is a subject in a 8
- building that you feel is abou, to take his or her own Q
- 10 life and other persons' lives."
- Q. Do you agree with that? 11
- A. At the time this training was current, yes. 12
- 13 Q. Okay.
- Since then it's been updated. 14
- Q. Well, when did you take this training? 15
- A. This particular training I took in 1995. 16
- Q. Okay. How has it been updated? 17
- A. Well, with the countermeasures training --18
- and the P.I.E.R. training that we had. 19
- Do you know whether the rules have changed 20
- for the deployment of a diversion device? 21
- A. This is a training manual. It's not a policy 22
- manual. So the training has changed. 23
- 24 Q. How so?
- A. On when to use a diversion device? 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

time." Q. Okay. And then read the first part of

- 2
- 3 paragraph 2.
- A. "However, if you look at some of these types 4
- of critical incidents, you will see that with 5
- 6 additional training in a few skilled areas our patrol
- 7 personnel would deal with it. Some of these are" --
- Q. Do you know what additional training was made B
- 9 available to the officers that were involved in this
- 10 dynamic entry that evening?
 - MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 12
- THE WITNESS: Additional training? 13
- 14 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- O. Yes. 15

11

- The countermeasures training. 16
- Q. Is that it? 17
- A. Plus the training that we do in-house. 18
- Q. Was it Sergeant Chretien that assigned you 19
- and Officer McCaslin to deploy the distraction device? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have any input in that? 22
- A. I agreed to it. 23
- Q. Did you know that Officer McCaslin had only 24
- deployed a device once during his training with

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 130

- Q. Yes. 1
- A. You use it -- in this case, on this 2
- particular instance, we used it as a distraction device
- in another room to keep people from going into that 4
- 5
- Q. How did you know the whole family wasn't in 6
- 7 that room?
- A. There was no lights on in there. All the 8
- lights were in the living room. 9
- Q. Well, but when you planned to use the 10
- diversion device, you didn't know that, correct? 11
- A. No, but the bedroom is where the threat was, 12
- 13 the weapons. O. Okay. Then on page 5 of this document, let's 14
- go ahead and go there for a moment. There's a list of 15
- critical incidents, correct? 16
- 17
- Q. And under paragraph B on that page there is a 18
- statement that's -- it's B1, why don't you read that 19
- into the record. 20
- A. "It would take a fully trained SWAT or 21
- 22 hostage rescue team to deal with many of these. As an
- agency, we cannot justify a SWAT team by these types of
- activity being commonly present here or by budgetary 24
- means as SWAT teams require: great amount of training

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 132

- Countermeasures Tactical Institute?
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
- question. Misstates the testimony.
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 4
- THE WITNESS: I don't know how many times 5
- Officer McCaslin --6
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 7
- 8 Q. Did you ask him?
- A. No. q
- Q. Why not? 10
- 11 A. Because nobody in the department has thrown
- 12 it more than two or three times total, or each officer.
- So I would assume that he had probably not deployed one 13
- more than two or three times. 14
- Q. I thought you told me that you deployed one 15
- numerous times over the course of the years with the 16
- 17 Powell Police Department?
 - I said I've been involved in the training.
- I've thrown durnmy ones. I've thrown live ones once. 19
- Q. When did you do that? 20
- A. At the countermeasures training at the range 21 22
- Q. That was probably the same time McCaslin did 23 it.

18

24

25

Do you know if he was there with you during

Alan Kent

	ity of Powell, et al.		November 22, 2010
Ā	LAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 133		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 135
[orect Examination by Mr. Gosman		t Examination by Mr. Gosman
	that training session?A. I don't know if he was present. Half the	1	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. Asked and answered.
	•	3	MS. WESTBY: Join.
		4	THE WITNESS: I know what I could see.
	Q. All right. So you've got a team that's beenassembled, and one of the functions of the team is to	5	BY MR. GOSMAN:
	6 deploy a distraction device and nobody has thrown one	6	Q. You were the one that broke out the window,
	7 inore than two or three times, and you've only thrown a	7	correct?
	8 live one once, correct?	8	A. Yes.
	9 A. Up until this point, yeah.	وا	Q. How tall are you?
Ι,	o Q. All right. And so was there any discussion	10	A. Six-foot.
	about how the diversionary device was to be deployed?	11	Q. Did you look in the window?
	2 A. Yes.	12	A. Yes.
	3 Q. What was that discussion?	13	Q. How did you do that?
	4 A. That it was to be placed inside that room.	14	A. I looked in the window.
	5 Q. Who said that?	15	Q. Okay. Who did you see?
	6 A. Sergeant Chretien.	16	A. I saw first I saw the glass and the shade.
	7 Q. And did you have any input in that?	17	When I broke it and ripped the shade down, then I could
	8 A. I didn't have any input to offer, no.	18	see the walls behind it.
- 1	9 Q. How was it to be placed inside that room?	19	Q. Okay. All right. Could you see the bed
2	a A. Dropped in the window.	20	underneath the window?
2	Q. And was there any discussion about looking to	21	A. No.
2	make sure that the device wasn t dropped on the head of	22	Q. Did you know the distraction device was being
2	the child that may be in the 100m?	23	placed in the middle of a bed in the bedroom?
2	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.	24	MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
2	MS. WESTBY: Join.	25	MS. WESTBY: Join.
		ļ	
	LAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 134		N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 136 et Examination by Mr. Gosman
ו	irect Examination by Mr. Gosman THE WITNESS: There was a discussion of	1	THE WITNESS: I didn't know it was under the
ı	2 looking in the window, yes.	2	window, no.
	3 BY MR. GOSMAN:	3	BY MR. GOSMAN:
Ì	4 Q. Okay. And, in fact, if the officer couldn't	4	Q. Because you couldn't see it, correct?
	5 see in the window, that would be a good reason for not	5	A. Not underneath the window, no.
	6 deploying the distraction device, wouldn't it?	6	Q. The window was about 6 feet off the ground,
	7 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	7	wasn't it?
	8 question.	8	A. I don't recall. It was about like this to
	9 MR. THOMPSON: Join.	9	me.
1	.o THE WITNESS: He could see in the window.	10	Q. Okay. You left the station that evening
1	.1 BY MR. GOSMAN:	11	after the decision had been made to forego all the
1	Q. I didn't say that, though. I said if you	12	other options that had been considered and to conduct
	3 could not see in the window, that would be a good	13	the dynamic entry; am I correct?
- 1	reason for not deploying the distraction device.	14	A. We left the station to serve a search
	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	15	warrant.
	6 question.	16	Q. You left the station to serve a search
	.7 MR. THOMPSON: Join.	17	warrant. And who were who did you go with to the
	.8 THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	Wachsmuth residence?
	9 BY MR. GOSMAN: O Q. So could McCaslin see in the window?	19	A. I drove my own car.Q. Was anyone with you?
		20	A. I believe McCaslin was with me.
	A. I don't know. You'd have to ask McCasin. Q. Well, you were there standing right next to	21	Q. Okay. Did you and he have any discussion
	him, correct?	23	about what you were going to do there that night?
	4 A. Yes.	24	A. No, we knew what we were going to do.
1			o i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

Q. And were you aware of the fact that you

25

Q. Could he see in the window?

	ia Wachsmuth v. of Powell, et al.	, ,		Alan Kent November 22, 2010
ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 137 et Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALAI	N KENT - et Examin	November 22, 2010 Page 139 ation by Mr. Gosman
1	simply do not put a distraction device somewhere where	1	Q. ´	That's fine. But go ahead and put your name
2	you don't know where it's going to go?	2		and also McCaslin's if he was with you.
3	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	3		(Witness complies.)
4	question.	4		Okay. Were you able to see the entry team?
5	MR. THOMPSON: Join.	5		Not while I was breaking the window, but they
6	BY MR. GOSMAN:	6		round the corner from me.
7	Q. Isn't that part of your training?	7		Did you hear a dog bark as you drove up?
8	A. No.	8	Α. `	
9	Q. So it's okay to throw a distraction device	9		How did you react to that?
10	blindly into a room?	10		It worried me that they knew we were coming.
11	MS. WESTBY: Objec: to the form of the	11		And, of course, this is a knock-and-announce
12	question.	12		nt, right?
13	MR. THOMPSON: Join.	13	Α. `	
14	THE WITNESS: Not to throw blindly. But	14		So you're going to knock on the door and
15	there may be circumstances where you don't know where	15		nce you're there?
16	it's going to end up.	16	A.]	
17	BY MR. GOSMAN:	17		So would have been, what, in another few
18	Q. All right. But the point is that all of the	18		s from the time the dog started barking, correct?
19	training materials for distraction devices indicate	19		MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
20	that you should look before you throw the device at	20	questic	
21	where it's going to go, understanding that there may be	21		MR. THOMPSON: Join.
22	circumstances where it won't end up where you thought	22		THE WITNESS: It would have been when the
23	it was going to go.	23	_	ot to the front door.
24	A. I don't know which training materials you're	24		R. GOSMAN:
25	talking about.	25	Q.	When did the dog start barking?
ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 138 et Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALAN	N KENT -	November 22, 2010 Page 140 ation by Mr. Gosman
1	Q. Well, is that true or no; based on the	1		As the team was approaching the door.
2	training materials that you've viewed?	2		So it was a matter of no more than a few
3	A. The only I don't remember seeing any	3	second	
4	training materials on a distraction device.	4]	MS. WESTBY: Objection.
5	Q. Okay. All right. Why don't we go ahead and	5		R. GOSMAN:
6	take a piece of paper, and let s make an exhibit and	6		before the team made it to the front door
7	identify this as Exhibit 53.	7		ne dog started barking?
8	(Exhibit 53 identified)	8	1	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
9	BY MR. GOSMAN:	9	questic	on.
10	Q. And I'd like you to put a perimeter around	10	,	THE WITNESS: I don't have an idea about the
11	the lot of the Wachsmuth residence and the street	11	time.	It wasn't very long.
12	perhaps, and where you were when you arrived and when	12	BY M	R. GOSMAN:
13	you began executing this warrant.	13	Q. (Okay. Did you see the entry team approaching
14	A. You want me to draw a picture of the house?	14		nt door after the dog barked?
15	Q. Yes. And there is a diagram there on	15		Yes, we were behind them.
16	Exhibit 10 that contains an outline of the house.	16	Q. I	Did they hurry up?

- A. (Witness complies.) 17
- 18
- Q. Okay. Have you located yourself on the diagram? 19
- 20
 - A. Oh, at which point?
- Q. That would be when you first arrived. 21
- 22 And when I say first arrived, I don't mean
- the moment, but as soon as you got out of the car and
- got set up for what you were about to do. 24
- 25 A. Just with an X?

- c
- g
- 17 A. They weren't running, but they were walking
- quickly. 18
- Q. Did they walk more quickly after they heard 19
- the dog bark? 20
- A. I don't know. 21
- 22 Q. Were you wearing protective armor that was
- different from the typical vest that you wear every day
- when you go out on patrol? 24
- A. I was wearing my raid vest, yes. 25

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 141

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 143

- Q. Okay. Was everyone else wearing those raid
- vests, as you call them? 2
- A. I don't know what everybody was wearing. 3
- They should have been.
- O. And that's not something that they wear 5
- normally, is that correct, on patrol? 6
- A. No. 7
- Q. And were you able to position yourself at the 8
- window before the officers arrived at the porch and 9
- announced their presence? 10
- 11 A. I believe we arrived right about the same
- 12
- Q. Did you hear them say "Police, search 13
- warrant"? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- Q. And what did you -- did you hear the door 16
- being rammed? 17
- A. Yes. 18
- Q. How much time elapsed? 19
- A. I don't know. 20
- Q. Were you surprised that the window was as 21
- 22 high as it was off the ground in the bedroom?
- A. Not really. That style of house is pretty 23
- common in Powell. 24
- Q. Did you try to get on your tiptoes and look 25

- ALAN KENT November 22, 2010
 - Q. All right. And, in fact, on Exhibit 10, it's
 - identified as a ten-year-old child. Do you see that? 2
 - MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 3
 - MS. WESTBY: And as to questioning this
 - 5 witness on this document.
 - THE WITNESS: That's what's written there, б
 - 7 yes.
 - 8 BY MR. GOSMAN:
 - Q. Did you remember discussing that? Was that 9
- mentioned at all in the plan to serve this search 10
- 11 warrant?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And so my question was, and is, then: Do you
- remember anyone saying where the child was located? 14
- A. We had no idea where the child was located. 15
- I do remember that in conversations with Officer Lara 16
- 17 and his conversations with Tom, he knew that Bret and
- Tricia didn't have children. 18
- 19 Q. All right. Let's take a look at the list on
- the second page of Exhibit 10 again. It says 20
- "Chretien, LG4; Danzer, LG3; Hall, LG2; Chapman, LG1." 21
- 22 Do you know what those numbers represent?
- A. I'm assuming that the numbers are where they 23
- line up. I'm not sure what LG stands for. 24
- Q. Was that discussed prior to leaving for the 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

Page 142

- in the window and look inside the window sill and down
- at the area where the device was going to be deployed?
- A. No. 3
- Q. Prior to your arrival at the house, did you 4
- have any information about where the child was located? 5
- MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 6
- MS. WESTBY: Join. 7
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure there was a child. 8
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 9
- O. Well, I think we understand now that there 10
- wasn't a child. But at the time, there was --11
- A. Officer Blackmore said there appeared to be a 12 child. 13
- Q. Well, I don't see where he says that there 14
- 15 appeared to be a child. He said he saw a child go into
- the residence and he didn't see a child come out. 16
- MS. WESTBY: Object to --17
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 118
- Q. Isn't that what Officer Blackmore said? 119
- 20 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. 21
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 22
- 23 THE WITNESS: I remember him saying it
- appeared there was a child. 24
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 144

- Wachsmuth home that night at the police station, how
- the officers would line up on the porch?
- A. Yes. 3
- 4 Q. And it was -- was it Chretien that prepared
- this list? 5
- A. No, I think Marissa wrote this list. 6
- Q. I'm sorry, that's right. Marissa prepared 7
- the list. Was it Chretien that determined this order? 8
- A. Yes. 9
- Q. All right. The -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 10
- also contains a numbered list on the front page, it has
- numbers I through 7, and it lists knock door, police, 12
- search warrant, break window, flashbang, et cetera. 13
- 14 Do you remember those items being
- specifically discussed? 15
- A. Yes. 16
- Q. Do you remember them being discussed in that 17
- order? 18
- A. Well, not in that order. I mean, it appears 19
- 20 to me you need to break the window before you deploy
- the flashbang. 21
- Q. Well, I think that's the order that they are 22
- 23 in, though, is to break the window --
- 24 A. It says flashbang and then underneath that it
- 25 says break window.

Page 147

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Q. I'm looking at something different than you 3 4 flashbang. 5

Page 145 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

you -- knock-and-announce means that. You knock, say

- "police department," and then you give them a chance to
- open the door. 3
- 4 Q. Unless it's a no-knock warrant, right?
- Unless it's a no-knock. 5
- Q. And then you would open the door immediately, 6
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. You wouldn't announce.
- You wouldn't announce. 9

All right. So you heard the statement, 10

- "Police, search warrant," at the front of the house, 11
- correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know who made that statement? 14
- A. I know now from reading the report. But at 15 the time, no. 16
- O. Who was it that made that --17
- 18 A. Chapman.
- 19 Q. Chapman.
- All right. And what was your cue for 20
- deploying the diversion device? 21
- 22 A. As soon as I heard the door rammed --
- Q. Okay. 23
- A. -- was my cue to break the window. 24
- 25 Q. Okay. And you don't -- all right. And you

are, then, because I see knock door, Number 1; police, search warrant, Number 2; 3, break window; and 4, A. And 5, wait for noise; 6, break window --6 O. Oh, I see. A. -- seven, door. 7 That's true. 8 Oh, well, that's breaking the window in the q back of the residence, correc? 10 A. I don't know. It just says break window on 11 my -- well, there's like the BA --12 Q. Yeah, it's cut off, I'll g ant you that. 13 But up on Number 4, it says "flashbang, 14 bedroom." And above that it says break window, 15 correct? 16 17 A. It says flashbang. MS. WESTBY: Object to form.

O. Let's do this. Let me ask you, were you

O. Makes sense that that would be what's

aware that there was another distraction method that

was going to be used that evening and that involved

officers at the back of the house breaking a window?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

A. Yes.

BY MR. GOSMAN:

Page 146

- referred to in the item Number 6 in this list that
- we're referring to, wouldn't it? 2
- A. Yes. 3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Deposition 4
- Exhibit 16 again for just a moment. 5
- Do you see that paragraph that's down towards 6 the bottom of the page, it says "The plan was to knock 7
- on the front door"? 8
- A. Yes. 9
- Q. Okay. "The plan was to knock on the front 10
- door and announce, 'Police, search warrant.' If the 11
- door did not open immediately we would use the ram to 12
- force entry." 13

18

- Was that in fact the plan? 14
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 15 question. 16
- MR. THOMPSON: Join. 17
 - MS. WESTBY: Misstates the testimony.
- THE WITNESS: It's 1 of the plan I remember. 19
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 20
- O. It's the plan that's written down in this 21
- 22 report, though, correct?
- A. But I didn't write this report. 23
- Q. All right. What plan did you remember? 24
- 25 A. Well, when you're serving a search warrant,

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 148

- did hear the door ram -- or the door being rammed,
- 2
- A. Yes. 3
- Q. And you broke the window? 4
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And Officer McCaslin, then, did he -- how did 6
- he deploy the diversionary device? 7
- A. Dropped. 8
- Q. Okay. Q
- A. I mean, I'm not sure, you know, where I was 10
- standing. I saw his arm from here. But there was no 11
- throwing motion. It was a drop. 12
- Q. What did you do after you had completed this 13
- task of deploying the diversionary device? 14
 - A. Eventually I went in the house.
- O. How much later? 16
- I don't remember the exact amount of time. 17
- But I wasn't in any hurry to get in. 18
- Q. What did you see when you first walked in the 19 house?
- A. I saw -- to the right of me, I saw Tricia 21
- Waehsmuth sitting on the couch and Sergeant Eckerdt 22
- 23 standing next to her.
- Q. All right. Let's go ahead and do another 24
- 25 diagram.

15

Alan Kent

Tricia Wachsmuth v. November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 151 Page 149 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman (Exhibit 54 marked) MR. THOMPSON: And for the record so that THE WITNESS: You didn't tell me what to we're clear, he's got a TW for Tricia Wachsmuth, and 2 2 draw. then Sergeant Eckerdt's name is spelled out. 3 3 BY MR. GOSMAN: 4 BY MR. GOSMAN: 4 Q. Oh, yes. I want you to draw the interior of 5 Q. All right. Okay. 5 MR. THOMPSON: And I assume that this the house. 6 6 A. The whole house or just the living room? 7 rectangular shape is the couch? 7 Q. Well, actually, so much of the house as you 8 THE WITNESS: The couch. 8 can see coming in the front door. BY MR. GOSMAN: 9 9 MR. THOMPSON: Do you want him to refer to O. Did you see Officer Chretien -- or did you 10 10 Exhibit 10? hear Officer Chretien direct any remarks to Tricia 11 11 BY MR. GOSMAN: 12 Wachsmuth about going into the basement? 12 O. You may. There's a diagram of the interior 13 13 of the home on the second page of Exhibit 10. Q. How long did you stay in the position that 14 14 A. Do you want it labeled, the rooms? you were in when you made these initial observations? 15 15 Q. What I want you to do yes, is label the A. When I walked in and I was right here by the 16 16 rooms. I think we should do that. front door, I looked over and saw Tricia, who was 17 17 A. (Witness complies.) dialing her cell phone. And I told her — or I asked 18 O. Okay. Now, since we haven't completed this 19 her who she was dialing. She said, "My husband." I 19 prior exhibit -- and I want to just put a finishing toId her to hang up the phone. 20 20 touch on it before we leave this document. At the time Q. Okay. All right. And that would be pretty 21 21 that you arrived at the side o 'the house next to the 22 good evidence that her husband wasn't in the house, 22 window, where was the entry team? wouldn't it? 23 23 Put an X with the location of the entry team, A. Well, I guess he could have been in the 24 25 the approximate location. 25 basement. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 150 Page 152 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. (Witness complies.) Q. Okay. All right. So you asked her to hang 1 Q. They were at the porel? up the phone. Did she comply? 2 A. Well, I said I followed them up. So we both A. Yes. 3 3 kind of arrived at our positions at the same time. 4 Q. Was she compliant to all the commands that 4 Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you. you heard being made to Tricia Wachsmuth? 5 5 And then could you put entry team next to That was the only thing that I had heard. 6 6 that X? 7 7 A. (Witness complies.) A. What I said. I didn't hear anybody else ---8 8

- Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to the other q
- exhibit, which is 54, or will be. 10
- And as you walked in the front door, you -- I 11 want you to put, to the best cf your recollection, I 12
- want you to place Tricia Wach muth and all the officers 13
- 14 that you saw.
- 15 A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. And was that it? You didn't see anyone else 16
- in the house? 17
- A. Well, there was officers all over the house. 18
- O. Do you remember where any of them were? 19
- 20 Walking around the whole house.
- Q. All right. So they were moving? 21
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. All right. 23
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 O. And --

- Q. Well, did Sergeant Eckerdt have his gun 9
- pointed at Tricia Wachsmuth? 10
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Did any of the other officers in the house 12
- have their guns pointed at Tricia Wachsmuth at the time 13
- 14 you entered the house?
- 15 A. Not when I entered. Sergeant Eckerdt was the
- only one there 16
- Q. All right. So then what happened? 17
 - A. Then I ended up going into the spare bedroom
- when somebody had asked if the closet in there had been 19
- 20

- Q. So you did participate in the clearing of the 21
- house to that extent? 22
- 23 A. Well, what you do in a situation like that is
- the first team goes in and looks for people quickly to 24
 - diffuse any situation. And then whoever comes in

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 41 of 67

Tricia Wachsmuth v. Alan Kent November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 153 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 155

Page 156

- behind will do a secondary search to make sure that --
- under the beds and closets, that kind of stuff,
- everything is clear. 3
- Q. Was that something that you were assigned to 4
- do? 5
- 6 I wasn't assigned, no.
- Q. Did someone ask you to do that? 7
- A. I just heard somebody say "Has that closet 8
- been cleared?" 9
- 10 O. And you went into the spare bedroom. And how
- long were you there? 11
- I don't know the amount of time. 12
- 13 O. When you came out, what did you see?
- A. When I came out, I believe -- I don't really 14
- remember if I went into the master bedroom or if I went 15
- outside to check on the guys that were searching the 16 17
- Q. When you came out of the bedroom, what did 18 19 you see?
- A. All I remember is if I went into the 20
- master -- I don't remember seeing anything. 21
- Q. Was Tricia Wachsmut 1 still on the couch? 22
- A. I don't know where she was. I didn't see 23
- 24 her.
- Q. Did you go into the master bedroom and then 25

- ALAN KENT November 22, 2010
 - smoldering and there either was a fire or had been a
- fire in the bedroom?
- I didn't see any evidence of a fire. 3
- Q. Did you go into the bathroom? 4
- 5
- б Q. Did you notice the burned out pillow in the
- 7 shower?
- A. There was something in the shower. I didn't 8
- pay attention to what it was. 9
- O. Were you clearing the shower? 10
- A. No. 11
- Q. And --12
- A. That would have been later on when the 13
- 14 searching was taking effect that I saw that.
- Q. Okay. Did you smell smoke? 15
- A. Yes. 16
- Q. Did you hear the smoke alarm? 17
- A. Yes. But a flashbang creates a lot of smoke. 18
- Q. Did you see the bedding in the bedroom? 19
- 20
- O. Did you see that it was burned? 21
- 22 I didn't see any burn marks.
- 23 Q. I take it, then, that you didn't see any
- evidence of a fire? 24
- A. There was a -- behind the bed, there was a

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

- Ieave the house? 1
- A. At some point I went out to the garage, 2
- within a short amount of time. 3
- Q. And how short? I me: n, was it within a 4
- minute? 5

- A. Within several minutes. I don't want to -б
- it wasn't that long. 7
- O. And as you walked out of the door of the 8
- house, you don't remember seeing Tricia Wachsmuth? 9
 - A. No. She wasn't there.
- Q. She wasn't there. 11
- Were any other officers there at that time? 12
- A. I don't remember any. 13
- Q. Do you know where Tricia Wachsmuth was? 14
- A. No. 15
- O. Did you hear Officer Chretien say you're 16
- 17 going first?
- A. No. 18
- O. Did you hear Officer Chretien say anything? 19
- 20
- O. Did you see anyone assembled in the living 21
- 22 room taking Tricia Wachsmuth down the stairs?
- A. No. 23
- O. When you went into the master bedroom, did 24
- you notice that there was -- that the bedding was 25

- Page 154
- ALAN KENT November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman
 - V-shaped scorch mark. 2 Q. A funnel scorch mark.
 - So you observed that but you didn't observe 3
 - that the bedding itself was burned?
 - A. No. 5
 - O. When you were in the house, did you ever see б
 - Sergeant Chretien? 7
 - A. Yes. 8
 - Q. All right. Where was he and when? 9
 - 10 I don't know exactly when and where he was.
 - 11 But at one point, when we determined that Bret was at
 - his dad's house, I talked to Sergeant Chretien and 12
 - Sergeant Eckerdt about going out to Tom's house. 13
 - Q. What was that discussion? 14
 - That they needed to go out and get Bret. 15
 - Q. Did you talk to Tom Wachsmuth? 16
 - No, I didn't. 17
 - Q. Who did? 18
 - A. I would assume that Sergeant Chretien and 19
 - 20 Sergeant Eckerdt did when they arrived at his house.
 - O. You didn't go with them to his house? 21
 - 22
 - 23 Q. But there was a discussion that you had with
 - Sergeant Chretien apparently after -- was this after 24
 - the house had been secured?

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 157 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. Yes. 2 O. Ten, 15, 20 minutes later? A. I don't know the timeframe. It wasn't very 3 4 long. O. Chretien and Eckerdt went out to Wachsmuth's? 5 A. I -- along with Danzer. 6 7 Q. Okay. A. Now, to follow up on an earlier point, there R was some consideration to that because at the time, our q 10 task force officer was in Afghanistan or Iraq or somewhere, so Sergeant Chretien and Sergeant Eckerdt | 11 11 were working at DCI on a monthly rotating basis. So 12 12 13 they had a closer relationship with Tom. So that's one 13 of the reasons I asked them to go out there. 14 Q. So you were the one that asked those two 15 officers to go out to the Wachsmuth residence? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. I want you to describe that conversation to 18 me as completely as you can 19 A. All I remember is asking them to go out to 20 the Wachsmuth residence. 21 Q. You told them that Bret was out there? 22 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. All right. Do you know what kind of diversionary device it was that was dropped into the 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 158 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman window at the Wachsmuth residence? A. The brand name is DEF-TEC. 2 Q. And do you know if the device contains any 3 warning materials? 4 A. There's a piece of paper that comes with it 5 in the box. 6 7 Q. Was it in the box when you took it out of the police station? 8 9 A. I didn't take it out of the police station. Q. Did McCaslin do that? 10 A. I don't know who took it out. I'm assuming 11 he did since he was the one that was to deploy it. 12 O. Okay. Had he removed it from the box by the

November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 159 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman technology DEF-TEC 25. And I'm going to ask you if that is -- if you've seen that document before or something like it? A. Yes. 5 Q. You've seen this document before? That's what comes in the box. 6 Q. All right. And do you see at the top of the 7 label that this device is only to be used by law 8 enforcement, corrections or military personnel who have 9 10 successfully completed a training program in the use of distraction devices? A. Yes. Q. And you knew that when you went out there on 14 the evening of February 24th, 2009, correct? A. I knew that we were trained in the use of 15 16 distraction devices, yes. Q. Officer, what I asked you was: Did you know 17 that this warning label stated that it was only to be 18 used by law enforcement, corrections or military 19 personnel who had successfully completed a training 20 program in the use of distraction devices that night. 21 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection to form. It's been asked and answered. He said he didn't open the box. 23 24 BY MR. GOSMAN: 25 Q. By that night? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 160 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman MR. THOMPSON: What do you mean "by that 1 night"? 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: 3 Q. You knew before you went out there that 4 evening on the 24th of February that this warning 5 device was in the box and that, in fact, it stated that 6 7 it was only to be used by law enforcement personnel who had completed a training program in the use of distraction devices, correct? 9 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 10 11 question. 12 MR. THOMPSON: Join. THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was in that 13 box because I didn't hand it to them. 14 15 BY MR. GOSMAN: 16 material, though, correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 19 Number 4, it states "Throw the device in an underhand 20 manner into an observed area, free of personnel, loose 21 22 debris or ignitable materials," correct?

- Q. Well, you've seen it before and you knew the
- Q. And then under "Instructions For Use," under

- That's what it says, yes. 23
- Q. And you knew that before you went out to the 24

25 Wachsmuth residence that night, correct?

time you got into the car with him?

13

14

Alan Kent

	of Powell, et al. N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 161	ALA	November 22, 2010 N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 163
Dire	ct Examination by Mr. Gosman	Dire	ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	MR. THOMPSON: Of jection as to form.	1	walked out?
2	MS. WESTBY: Join.	2	A. Well, at one point I went out and got my car
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	3	and brought it around because McCaslin's bags and
4	MR. GOSMAN: We can go ahead and get	4	evidence stuff was in my car.
5	Sergeant Eckerdt ready. We'll get started at least.	5	Another point, I was in the garage. So
6	MS. WESTBY: At 4:30?	6	during the course of the evening, I was in and out of
7	MR. GOSMAN: Yeah I think we better.	7	the house quite a few times.
8	(Discussion held off the	8	Q. Well, how much time elapsed between your
9	record.)	9	first your leaving the house after you first went
10	BY BY MR. GOSMAN:	10	into it, which we've documented with Exhibit No 1
11	Q. Were you aware of the danger of a fire from	11	think it's 53 or 4, it's 4 54, until you went back
12	deploying the flashbang device into flammable	12	into the house?
13	materials?	13	A. I have no idea.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Was it more than ten minutes?
15	Q. Is it your testimony that you didn't see any	15	A. I wouldn't think it was that long.
16	of the other officers in the living room area with	16	Q. When you went back in the house, what did you
17	Tricia Wachsmuth and Sergean: Eckcrdt at the time you	17	see?
18	entered the house?	18	A. Officers milling around.
19	A. When I entered the house, he was the only one	19	Q. Had Tricia Wachsmuth been removed from the
20	standing next to her, yes. There may have been	20	home at that time?
21	somebody in the kitchen, but I don't remember who.	21	A. I didn't see her, so I'd assumed she was
22	Q. All right. And you went into the bedroom	22	transported down to the police department.
23	the bedrooms?	23	Q. Did you participate in the search of the
24	A. There was two or three of us went into the	24	house?
25	spare bedroom. There was I know Officer Miner was	25	A. Yes.
		Ì	
ALA Dire	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 162 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALA Dire	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 164
1	in the master bedroom, and you know, when I came out	1	Q. What was your role in the search?
2	of the spare and went into there.	2	A. I didn't have a specific role, other than
3	Q. You went into the spare bedroom with two or	3	searcher.
4	three other persons?	4	Q. What did you do?
5	A. Yeah.	5	A. Searched.
6	O. Who were they?	6	O. Which rooms?

- Who were they?
- A. One was McCaslin, I believe. 7
- Q. He followed you in the house? 8
- A. I don't know if he folk wed me or I followed 9
- him. 10
- Q. Okay. Who else? 11
- A. I don't remember. 12
- O. Did you pick someone up as you went through 13
- the door and into the bedroom from inside the house 14
- that joined you? 15
- A. I think it was more of a spontaneous. When 16
- we decided something needed o be done, we just went 17
- and did it. 18
- Q. As you walked back out of the bedroom and out 19
- of the house, did you see anyone in the living room? 20
- A. No. 21
- 22 Q. Did you go back into the house after that
- before the house had been secured? 23
- A. At this point, the house was secured. 24
- 25 Q. When did you go back in the house after you

- Q. Which rooms?
- A. At the one point, the living room, the
- kitchen, the spare bedroom, the bathroom, the master
- bedroom and downstairs and the garage.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- was officer -- were any of 10
- 11 the officers that were there recording the information
- present with you?
- A. Was the evidence collected documented, is 13
- 14 that --
- 15 Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. 16
- Q. Who was with you documenting the evidence 17
- that you collected? 18
- A. When you collect evidence, you don't collect 19
- it. You don't pick it up. 20
- Q. Right, I understand that. 21
- 22 A. You locate it and then you photo it and then
- it's collected by one of the evidence technicians, 23
- which would have been Officer McCaslin or 24
- Officer Chapman.

Alan Kent

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166		cia vvacnsmuth v. y of Powell, et al.		November 22, 2010
Dreet Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 Q. All right. Did you take: any pictures? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you have a camerr? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you notice any of 'he officers out in front of the house making sign's with their hands for a photograph? 8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 1 A. I was out front for the iast third of the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 1 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 12 long gone, correct? 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 1 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 2 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 2 Q. Would it have been accessive use of the officers being led down the stairs of have been seed as a human shield and led downstairs? A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 1 THE WITNESS: No. 1 BYMR, GOSMAN: 4 Q. That would not be excessive force? 5 A. No. J. Know that the Powell Police Depart wouldn't do that. 7 Q. Would it have been accessive use of to lead Tricia Wachsmuth down the stairs or have lead you down the stairs with your weapons trained how? 1 THE WITNESS: Wasn't was two question, Officer. 1 A. Wesl, Vas. 2 Q. How tall were they? 2 Q. How tall were they? 2 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 2 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 2 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 2 Q. You think they would have flushed down the stairs of the verifice was have been accessive force or the stairs. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led down the stairs as a human shield and that he regetted that? 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: 3 PMR. GOSMAN: 4 Cond in		`	ALAI	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 167
2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you have a camer: ? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you notice any of he officers out in front of the house making sigt s with their hands for a photograph? 8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 10 A. I was out front for the last third of the operation watching the cars whole the operation watching the cars whole the vidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 10 Q. Did course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was load gone, correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Did you find any evide nee in the home, personally? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 16 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything specific. 19 Q. How tall were they? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would tave flushed down the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 prefer Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up thove, so they may have a Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went of downstairs? 11 downstairs? 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a lab human shield and that he regretted that? 15 A. No. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the r.t of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 18 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 19 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own defined the proposed of the p	Dire	ct Examination by Mr. Gosman	1	
3 Q. Did you have a camerr? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you notice any of he officers out in 6 front of the house making sigt s with their hands for a photograph? 5 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 6 Q. That you saw? 6 A. I was out front for the last third of the evidence and there was no body ou there making signs. 7 Q. That you saw? 8 A. I was out front for the last third of the evidence and there was no body out there making signs. 8 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 19 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toller toller? 25 tollet? 26 Very think they would have flushed down the 10 lorder Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 2 A. No. 2 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 2 BY MR. GOSMAN: 3 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to wash that the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to wash that the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Would you agree with me that if 3 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Would you agree with me that if 3 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that? 4 A. No. 6 Q. Would you agree with me that if 3 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Would you agree with me that if 3 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he reg-retted that?		* *		•
4 Å. No. 5 Q. Did you notice any of he officers out in 6 front of the house making signs with their hands for a 7 photograph? 8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 10 A. I was out front for the last third of the 11 operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the 12 evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidt nce in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would nave flushed down the 25 toilet? ALAN KENT-November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 28 suction power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. 29 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 20 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 23 M. TWOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 M. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 26 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a sacompanied by most off the re. of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 20 MS. WESTBY: Join. 21 MS. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 22 MS. WESTBY: Join. 23 MS. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MS. WESTBY: Join. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 26 Mach such that the had led ner down the stairs as a sacompanied by most of the re. of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 26 MS. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MS. THOMPSO				
5 Q. Did you notice any of 'he officers out in 6 front of the house making sign swith their hands for a photograph? 8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 10 A. I was out front for the last third of the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. How tall were they? 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up hove, so they may have 13 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia downstairs? 26 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 10 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 1 downstairs? 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 10 MS. WESTBY: Join. 29 Would in have been an excessive use of 1 clead you down the stairs with your weapons traine her? 21 MS. WESTBY: Join. 22 MR. THOMPSON: Object to the form of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home; 10 miles and 10 mile			-	
fort of the house making signs with their hands for a photograph? 8			-	
7 photograph? 8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 10 A. I was out front for the last third of the operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, personally? 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 18 specific. 19 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 Q. How tall were they? 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? A. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been as described in the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166 Oriect Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up hove, so they may have 1 downstairs? 26 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 27 A. No. 28 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 29 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 20 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 21 M. No. 22 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 22 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MS. WESTBY: Join. 25 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 26 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and Icd downstairs. 27 BY MR. GOSMAN: 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 39 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 41 A. No. 42 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 43 A. No. 44 A. No. 45 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 45 A. No. 46 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 47 A. No. 48 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 49 William was been excessive force to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and the was the proposal to the fact that the had led rer down the stairs as a human shield and that he reg-retted that? 40 Was there a bathroom in the base and the was a accompanied by most of			-	•
8 A. There was no officers out front making signs. 9 Q. That you saw? 1 A. I was out front for the last third of the operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was lad long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidt nee in the home, personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 A. No. Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? A. No. Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? B. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can'd answer whether she was led anywhere. MS. WESTBY: Join. A. No. Did you understand that at some point Tricia wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? B. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can'd answer whether she was led anywhere. MS. WESTBY: Join. MS. WESTBY: Join. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can'd answer whether she was led anywhere. MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. THOMPSON: Course, Whether shad was a companied by most of the re at of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. THOMPSON: Coursel, you're asking question which are hypothetical, they call for a loon. With that. MR. THOMPSON: Coursel, you're asking question which are hypothetical, they call for a loon. With that. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical was a human shield and the down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical was a h			-	
9 Q. That you saw? 10 A. I was out front for the last third of the operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 18 specific. 29 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes, 12 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 29 A. Two feet. 20 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 20 A. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 23 A. No. 24 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 25 A. No. 26 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Would it have been an excessive use of form Ms. WESTBY: Join. 21 Title WITNESS: Yes, it was lead you down the stairs with your weapons trained here? 22 MS. WESTBY: Join. 23 A. Yes, it was. 24 Was destinated anything to would it have been an excessive use of hore. 35 MS. WESTBY: Join. 36 WS. WESTBY: Join. 37 MS. WESTBY: Join. 38 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 39 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 40 A. No. 41 A. No. 42 MS. WESTBY: Join. 43 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 44 A. No. 45 MS. WESTBY: Join. 46 Wachsmuth had been excessive use of force? 47 MS. WESTBY: Join. 48 MS. WESTBY: Join. 49 MR. GOSMAN: 40 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 MS. WESTBY: Join. 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 42 MS. WESTBY: Join. 43 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 44 A. No. 45 MS. WESTBY: Join. 46 MS. WESTBY: Join. 47 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 48 MS. WESTBY: Join. 49 MR. GOSMAN: 40 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 MS. WESTBY: Join. 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 42 MS. WESTBY: Join. 43 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 44 MS. WESTBY: Join. 45 MS. WESTBY: Join. 46 MS. WESTBY: Join. 47 MS. WESTBY: Join. 48 M				
10 Å. I was out front for the ast third of the operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 12 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was a long gone, correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, personally? 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything personally? 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 25 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 26 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia downstairs? 27 A. No. 28 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia downstairs? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 20 MS. WESTBY: Join. 21 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 29 A. Two feet. 20 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia downstairs? 20 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia downstairs? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you understand that at some point She went downstairs? 23 A. Two feet. 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point She went downstairs? 26 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 27 MR. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. GOSMAN: 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 MS. WESTBY: Join. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, it would have bee and excessive use of fixers being into an uncleared area of the home? 22 Machamuth had been used as a human shield and that the regretted that? 23 A. Two feet. 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that at he regretted that? 26 A. No. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. GOSMAN: 29 MR. WESTBY: Join. 20 Would you agree with me that if own the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that the regretted that? 21 MR. WESTBY: Join. 22 MR. WESTBY: Join. 23 MR. THO				
11 operation watching the cars wh le they were loading the 12 evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 25 toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 23 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 4. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 26 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 27 MR. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 4 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 5 MR. GOSMAN: 5 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you have the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 5 MR. THOMPSON: Counsed, you're asking work of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 20 excessive force? 30 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 41 MR. THOMPSON: Counsed, you're asking work of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained to provide the provided that the regretted that? 5 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet. 5 The WiThess's it wasn't there, so I can't answer whether she was led anywhere. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Counsed, you're asking work of the rest of the entr				
12 evidence and there was nobody out there making signs. 13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was 14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evidence in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would nave flushed down the 25 toilet? 25 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 15 action power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. 27 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 28 A. No. 29 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 20 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 20 Mas there a bathroom in the basement? 21 downstairs? 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23 MR. WESTBY: Join. 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led the down the stairs and human shield and that he regretted that? 31 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 12 suction power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. 32 Mas there a bathroom in the basement? 33 A. No. 34 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 15 action. 35 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 36 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 37 MR. WESTBY: Join. 38 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 39 MR. GOSMAN: 30 Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led her down the stairs and he was 15 accompanied by most of the re t of the entry team, and 25 the properties of the re to five the fact that he had led her down the stairs and he was 15 accompanied by most of the re t of the entry team, and 26 they had their weapons trained to provide the properties. 39 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 30 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 31 A. No. 32 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 33 A. No. 34 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking the fac				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13 Q. Of course by that time Tricia Wachsmuth was long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evide nce in the home, 17 personally? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 25 toilet? 26 A. Nell, I know basemen toilets have more 27 suscino power than the ones up above, so they may have. 28 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 3 A. No. 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led per down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the re.t of the entry team, and 20 Did Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 21 Tricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have bee 25 BY MR. GOSMAN: 26 Would you were there, of course. 27 Well, you were there, of course. 28 Well, you were there, of course. 29 Well, you were there, of course. 30 Well, were there, of course. 31 Wy were there, of course. 32 MR. THOMPSON: Coursel, you're asking 33 Wester a bathroom was: Would you agree with 34 down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be 35 MR. THOMPSON: Coursel,		•		-
14 long gone, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evide nce in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 18 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would tave flushed down the 25 toilet? 25 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 26 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 27 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 28 A. No. 29 Did you understand that at some point Tricia 29 Was there a bathroom in the basement? 20 A. No. 21 A. No. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would tave flushed down the stairs and have a been excessive force to have tricked with the plant of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 29 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and testimon may be a first a wind of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 29 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 30 Was there a bathroom toilets have more a suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 31 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 41 A. No. 42 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 43 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 44 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 45 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 46 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 47 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 48 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 49 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 41 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 41 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 41 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 41 MS. WESTBY: Object to the fo				
15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you find any evide nce in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would nave flushed down the 25 toilet? 26 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 28 suction power than the ones up thove, so they may have. 29 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 22 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 26 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 MS. WESTBY: Join. 31 MS. WESTBY: Join. 32 MS. WESTBY: Join. 33 MS. WESTBY: Join. 34 MS. WESTBY: Join. 35 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 36 MS. WESTBY: Join. 37 MS. WESTBY: Join. 38 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 39 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 MS. WESTBY: Join. 31 MS. WESTBY: Join. 32 MS. WESTBY: Join. 33 MS. WESTBY: Join. 34 MS. WESTBY: Join. 35 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 36 MS. WESTBY: Join. 37 MS. WESTBY: Join. 38 MS. WESTBY: Join. 39 MR. GOSMAN: 30 MS. WESTBY: Join. 31 MR. THOMPSON: Course, Whether she was led anywhere. 39 MR. THOMPSON: Course, you're asking to do with that. 30 MS. WESTBY: Join. 31 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking to down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be an excessive see of force? 31 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the re. tof the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of the proprion in this case. He's a fact witness of the proprion in this case. He's a fact witness of the proprion in this case. He's a fact witness of the proprion in this case. He's a fact witness of the proprion in this case. He's a fact witness of the proprion in this case. He's a fac		•		
16 Q. Did you find any evide nce in the home, 17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 25 toilet? 26 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 27 toilet. 28 LAAN KENT - November 22, 2010 29 Did you understand that at some point Tricia 29 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 20 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MR. WeSTBY: Join. 25 Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you hadout the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 31 Q. Would you agree with me that if 16 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and the was 19 accompanied by most of the ret of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force to has MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 A. Two feet. 28 A. Two feet. 29 A. Two feet. 29 A. Two feet. 20 Pout think they would have bee excessive force to have the fricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 21 MR. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Would it have been excessive force to have tricial Wachsmuth in front of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 21 MR. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and textes being tricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 21 MR. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and textes into an uncleared area of the home? 22 Use to manifer the west of the some of the more depth of the west of the some of the some of the more depth of the west of the some of the more of the some of the more of the some of the some of the more of the				
17 personally? 18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would nave flushed down the 25 toilet? 25 Loilet? 26 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 1 Thom post of the re. to fthe entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 20 Mould it have been excessive force to ha 21 Tricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 20 Q. Would in have been excessive force to ha 21 Tricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being into an uncleared area of the home? 21 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg Usestion, Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Well, it was a leg usestion. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Well have been mere a provide well usestion. Ms. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can' answer to th	1			•
18 A. I don't remember if I located anything 19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 25 toilet? 26 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 27 Examination by Mr. Gosman 28 C. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 29 A. No. 20 Did you understand that at some point Tricia (Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you had about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and led human shield and that he regretted that? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking duestions, and he's not been retained to provide a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide excessive force? 20 Ms WestBY: Join. 21 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 22 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 23 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 24 Ms. WestBY: Join. 25 BY MR. GOSMAN: 26 Q. Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 27 But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 28 Mg. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for all conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide excessive force? 28 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own defined that the would be excessive force? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 30 Did Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was a companied by most of the re. t of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 20 Ms. WESTBY: To testify in their own defined the provided that the fact that he had led the form of the question. 29 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 30 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 31 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 32 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 33 Ms. WESTBY: To testify in their own defined the fact withers. 34 Ms. Mestage force? 35 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 36 Ms. WESTBY: Join. 37 Ms. WESTBY				
19 specific. 20 Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the 25 toilet? 25 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs as a 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 24 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 25 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. GOSMAN: 29 MR. GOSMAN: 30 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking 4 down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be 22 excessive force? 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own def 24 There's case law about it. 29 MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. 31 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own def 25 There's case law about it. 32 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical. 33 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical. 34 AAN KENT - November 22, 2010 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical in the officers chief developed into an uncleared area of the home? 34 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical form of the question. Misstates the evidence and testimon of MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical in the officers Chreetien being into an uncleared area of the home? 34 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010		•		
Q. Did you see the marijuana plants? A. Yes. Q. How tall were they? A. Two feet. Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and lcd downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. GOSMAN: My Gosman A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more switch and been used as a human shield and lcd downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. WestBY: Join. MR. GOSMAN: MR. GOSMAN: MR. GOSMAN: MR. GOSMAN: MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: It hink you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: In not arguing that. I ju I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet.		, ,	1	
21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? 25 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg. 26 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MS. WESTBY: Join. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 41 A. No. 42 Mounstairs: 43 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 44 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 45 MS. WESTBY: Join. 46 MS. WESTBY: Join. 47 MS. WESTBY: Join. 48 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 49 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 MS WESTBY: Join. 40 MS WESTBY: Join. 41 My question was: Would you agree with down the stairs as a final bund of that he had led her down the stairs as a final bund had that he regretted that? 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 41 MS. WESTBY: Join. 42 MS WESTBY: Join. 43 MS. WESTBY: Join. 44 MS. WESTBY: Join. 45 MS. WESTBY: Join. 46 MS. WESTBY: Join. 47 MS. WESTBY: Join. 48 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 49 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 41 BUTNESS: I wasn't there, so I can't answer whether she was led anywhere. 49 MS. WESTBY: Join. 40 Well, you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 40 My question was: Would you agree with me that if 40 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? 40 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking down the stairs as a final for a leg. 41 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 42 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 43 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 44 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 45 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition an uncleared area and testimon and uncleared area and testimon. 46 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 47 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition. 48 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 49 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definit		•		
22 Q. How tall were they? 23 A. Two feet. 24 Q. You think they would have flushed down the toilet? ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and Icd downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 1 downstairs. 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a 1 human shield and that he regretted that? 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was a accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 23 MS. WESTBY: Join. 24 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 25 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 29 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 30 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 31 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 32 Into an uncleared area of the home? 4 question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Calls for a leg ustion. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: University Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 24 LAN KENT - November 22, 2010 prect Examination by Mr. Gosman 25 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. THOMPSON: University Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 30 MS. WESTBY: Join. 31 MS. WESTBY: Join. 32 MAN THEWITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can' answer whether she was led anywhere. 34 MS. WESTBY: Join. 35 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 46 MS. WESTBY: Join. 47 MS. WESTBY: Jo			21	Tricia Wachsmuth in front of the officers being led
ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the re.t of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 25 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 MS. WESTBY: Join. 27 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MS. WESTBY: Join. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Mol Westion. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg. 24 question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg. 24 Question. Misstates the evidence and testimon MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a leg. 20 MS. WESTBY: Join. 21 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 22 Conclusion. 23 MS. WESTBY: Join. 24 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 24 Conclusion. 25 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 27 Conclusion. 28 MS. WESTBY: Join. 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MS. WESTBY: Join Learner was led anywhere. 29 BY MR. GOSMAN: 20 Well, you were there, so I can' answer whether she was led anywhere. 39 By MR. GOSMAN: 40 MS. WESTBY: Join Learner was led anywhere. 41 A. No. 41 A. No. 42 MS. WESTBY: Join Learner was led anywhere. 42 A. No. 43 MS. WESTBY: Joi	22	Q. How tall were they?	22	-
ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and lcd 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I ju I want an answer to this question. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Calls for a leg. 26 MR. THOMPSON: Join. 26 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 27 conclusion. 28 MS. WESTBY: Join. 29 MR. GOSMAN: 20 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 30 But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 31 My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be an excessive use of force? 31 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for all conclusion, and he's not been retained to provie expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I ju I want an answer to this question. 32 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet	23		23	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the
ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia (Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. 11 BY MR. GOSMAN: 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 16 BY MR. GOSMAN: 17 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 18 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 19 MS. WESTBY: Join. 20 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 21 By MR. GOSMAN: 22 Well, you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Consel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for all conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 24 MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 25 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deforms and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 28 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 29 MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 29 MS	24	Q. You think they would have flushed down the	24	question. Misstates the evidence and testimony.
Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? A. No. Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and lcd downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went MS. WESTBY: Join. MS. WESTB	25	toilet?	25	MR. THOMPSON: Join. Calls for a legal
Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 A. Well, I know basemen toilets have more 2 suction power than the ones up above, so they may have. 3 Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and Icd 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman 1 conclusion. MS. WESTBY: Join. 1 Conclusion. 1 THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can' 2 answer whether she was led anywhere. 5 BY MR. GOSMAN: 6 Q. Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 8 But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 10 My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for all conclusion, and he's not been retained to provie expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own def There's case law about it. 16 MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own def There's case law about it. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I ju I want an answer to this questio	ALA	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 166		
suction power than the ones up ibove, so they may have. Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? A. No. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. Parameter of the the had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can' answer whether she was led anywhere. BY MR. GOSMAN: Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we he had en en excessive use of force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provie expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own def There's case law about it. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I juent an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet	Dire	ect Examination by Mr. Gosman		
Q. Was there a bathroom in the basement? A. No. Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and lcd downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went that if Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Molificer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Molificer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I can' answer whether she was led anywhere. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be an excessive use of force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a lead of the phothetical that would be an excessive use of force? MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I juth I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypotheter.		·	-	
4 A. No. 5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a 15 human shield and that he regretted that? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be 22 excessive force? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 26 MR. GOSMAN: 27 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 28 BY MR. GOSMAN: 29 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 30 But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 31 My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? 31 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a lead of the proving the course of her being led down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 31 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 32 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 33 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 34 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet				
5 Q. Did you understand that at some point Tricia 6 Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led 7 downstairs? 8 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 9 MS. WESTBY: Join. 10 THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went 11 downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you 14 about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 15 A. No. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 18 BY MR. GOSMAN: 19 Well, you were there, of course. Whether saw it or not, I guess remains to be seen. 10 But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 11 My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? 14 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 19 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 20 MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I ju I want an answer to this question. 21 I want an answer to this question. 22 Well, you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23 I want an answer to this question. 24 Well, you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 26 MR. THOMPSON: University in the provided down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we want in that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we is officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we is officer C	İ			
Wachsmuth had been used as a human shield and led downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. MR. GOSMAN: Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Would you agree with me that if officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I jut and an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet.				•
 downstairs? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Q. Would you agree with me that if Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet 			-	
MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led ner down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. But you were there, and my question had nothing to do with that. My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a lead to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the provided to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the provided to provide expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I jut want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet.				
many most west by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MS. WESTBY: Join. 10				
THE WITNESS: I learned at one point she went downstairs. 12 BY MR. GOSMAN: 13 Q. Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Would you agree with me that if 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 18 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 19 My question was: Would you agree with that if Officer Chretien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be an excessive use of force? 18 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. 19 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deforms are to this question. 20 MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a hypothete with that if Officer Chrctien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would be an excessive use of force? 21 MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness of the provide expert opin		·		
that if Officer Chrctien led her or had her lead down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that we be an excessive use of force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: Thompson: Objection as to form. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I jut I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet			1	5
Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a hypothet. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet.	i	•	i	that if Officer Chrctien led her or had her lead him
Did Officer Chretien ever say anything to you about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the provided excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypotheter.			12	down the stairs into an uncleared area, that that would
about the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a human shield and that he regretted that? A. No. Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking questions which are hypothetical, they call for a conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deform. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the fact that he had led her down the stairs as a manufacture of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical, they call for a light of the provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. I want an answer to this question.			13	be an excessive use of force?
16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 20 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the providence of the p	14		14	MR. THOMPSON: Counsel, you're asking him
16 A. No. 17 Q. Would you agree with me that if 18 Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was 19 accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and 20 they had their weapons trained on her during the course 21 of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? 22 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 23 MS. WESTBY: Join. 26 conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every or your officers as expert witnesses. 20 MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the providence of the p	15	human shield and that he regretted that?	15	questions which are hypothetical, they call for a legal
Q. Would you agree with me that if Officer Chretien led her down the stairs and he was accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deform. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the provided in this case. He's a fact witness of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deform of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deform of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own deform of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just of the provided every of your officers as expert witnesses.		•	16	conclusion, and he's not been retained to provide
 accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own defined the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the provided in the provided in their own defined in the provided in the provide	17	Q. Would you agree with me that if	17	expert opinion in this case. He's a fact witness.
they had their weapons trained on her during the course of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own definition of the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the stairs in their own definition of the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a light of the stairs in their own definition of the stairs in the st	18		18	MR. GOSMAN: I think you listed every one of
of her being led down the stairs, that that would be excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a man answer to this question. MS. WESTBY: Join. There's case law about it. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just a man answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet	19	accompanied by most of the rest of the entry team, and	19	your officers as expert witnesses.
 excessive force? MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I jugar MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I jugar I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet 	20	they had their weapons trained on her during the course	20	MS. WESTBY: To testify in their own defense.
MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. MS. WESTBY: Join. 23 I want an answer to this question. MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet	21	•	21	
MS. WESTBY: Join. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothet	22		22	MR. GOSMAN: I'm not arguing that. I just
	23	•		-
MR. THOMPSON: Messtates the facts and calls 25 MR. GOSMAN: It is a hypothetical.	24			MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's a hypothetical.
	25	MR. THOMPSON: Misstates the facts and calls	25	MR. GOSMAN: It is a hypothetical.

Tricia Wachsmuth v. Alan Kent November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al.

13

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 169

- MS. WESTBY: And we're done with 2 hypotheticals.
- MR. GOSMAN: No, we're not. 3
- MS. WESTBY: Yeah, we are not going to ask 5 him opinions and he's not going to give you expert --
- MR. GOSMAN: I am entitled to know what this 6 officer's perceptions are of excessive force because they do have relevance in term; of what his duties were
- there that evening. 9

7

8

10

11

12

13

MS. WESTBY: No, you've already established that he didn't hear it. He didn't know what was going on. There's nothing -- there's no place to go further

14 MR. THOMPSON: You've got a right to ask him what his personal knowledge is and what his opinions 15 are of the events that he witnessed, but not a 16

- hypothetical question which he's already testified he 17 didn't see any of this. 18
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 19
- Q. Would you agree that i would be an excessive 20 use of force to use an individual who was in a 21 residence being searched as a human shield? 22
- MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 23 question. And, again, this -- he's already testified.
- 25 MR. GOSMAN: Yes or no?

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 171

- I can tell you that. But if I did, he'd be answering
- the question. 2
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 3
- Q. Do you understand that as an officer, you are responsible to ensure that your fellow officers do not 5
- violate the constitutional rights of others in their 7
 - presence?
- 8 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the question. You didn't state that right. You mean in 10
- MR. GOSMAN: I didn't state that right. 11
- 12 MS. WESTBY: -- in your presence?
 - MR. GOSMAN: Yeah, in their presence.
- MS. WESTBY: If you understand the question. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't. 15
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 16
- 17 Q. All right. Do you understand that an officer is responsible to ensure that his fellow officers do
- 18 19 not violate the constitutional rights of others in
- their presence? 20
- A. In whose presence? 21
- 22 Q. That would be the officer observing the
- constitutional rights. 23
- A. Yes. 24
- Q. Was there any damage done to the home 25

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 170

- MS. WESTBY: No, he's already testified that 1 that's not his understanding o his perception of what 2
- happened in this instance. So if you're asking him his
- opinion about whether a hypothetical set of facts 4
- constitute excessive force, that is an expert opinion 5 that you are not entitled to try to elicit from him. 6
 - MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.
- 8 BY MR. GOSMAN:
- Q. All right. Was the -- cr is the use of an Q individual as a human shield in clearing operations 10
- 11 under a dynamic entry an excessive use of force as you
- understand it? 12

7

13

- MS. WESTBY: No.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 14
- 15 Q. As you understand it?
- MS. WESTBY: No, same objection. He --16
- MR. GOSMAN: You made your objections. 17
- MS. WESTBY: He already testified that that 18 was not his understanding of what happened in this 19
- 20 case. So you are not allowed to ask him hypotheticals and then ask his opinion about that, Jeff. 21
- 22 MR. GOSMAN: Are you instructing him not to answer? 23
- MS. WESTBY: Yes, | am. 24
- 25 MR. GOSMAN: I'm not calling the magistrate,

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Page 172

- incidental to this execution of this search warrant?
- A. Damage I saw was to the front door. I 2
- believe there was a hole in the bathroom door. And the 3
- 4 scorch mark on the wall was the only thing I can
- 5 remember.
- Q. Of course, you broke the window? 6
- A. Oh, and the window, yes, I'm sorry. 7
- Q. Right. You didn't see anything else? 8
- A. Not that I remember. 9
- Q. All right. Were you aware that someone 10
- apparently placed a piece of plywood over the window
- and serewed it into the siding of the house? Did you 12
- know about that? 13
- A. I thought Bret's dad did that, Tom. 14
- 15 Q. So you don't know whether -- you don't know
- who did? 16
- A. It wasn't done while I was there, no. 17
- Q. Was there a debriefing of this warrant 18
- service after it was all said and done? 19
- 20 A. I don't remember if there was one that night
- or if there was one the next day or if everybody was 21
- 22 present. I really don't remember.
- 23 Q. You don't remember anything about it?
- 24 A. Not a
 - everybody-get-together-as-a-group-and-debrief, no, 1

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 46 of 67

Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent

November 22, 2010 City of Powell, et al. Page 175 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 173 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman don't think so. Q. Yes or no? A. I wasn't aware, because it didn't happen. Q. Did you get together with anyone after the 2 2 Q. Well, Officer, you told me that you didn't 3 warrant had been served to discuss what happened that 3 night? 4 see anything in the living room. 4 A. Not that night. I did the next day with A. Well, then you just asked me if I was aware. 5 5 Chief Feathers. So, no. 6 6 7 Q. But you didn't see it. You don't know Q. Okay. What did you discuss? 7 A. Serving the warrant. whether it happened or not. 8 8 O. What was said in that conversation? What did A. I know the officers of Powell Police 9 9 10 you say? What did Chief Feathers say? 10 Department. A. I don't remember spec fically. I think I Q. Well, did you know that -- Officer Chretien 11 11 just filled him in on the operation, what happened. 12 well enough to know that he wouldn't take Tricia 12 O. And you weren't aware that Tricia Wachsmuth 13 Wachsmuth down the stairs as a human shield? 13 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. had been used as a human shield that night? 14 14 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 15 MS. WESTBY: Join. 15 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the THE WITNESS: I don't understand what you're 16 16 17 question. 17 asking. BY MR. GOSMAN: THE WITNESS: I was aware that she went 18 18 downstairs. I don't know where human shield came from. Q. Well, you're telling me that you know the 19 officers of the Powell Police Department and you're 20 BY MR. GOSMAN: Q. Okay. And you weren't aware that she was prepared to stand here and certify to their good 21 21 taken downstairs with the of icers pointing their behavior. And I want to know if you knew 22 22 rifles at her, behind her? Officer Chretien well enough to know that he would 23 MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to the form. never take Tricia Wachsmuth down the stairs and use her 24 Misstates the evidence. as a human shield? 25 25 Page 174 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 176

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

MS. WESTBY: Join. 1 2 THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 3

Q. And you weren't aware that the officers, when 4

they entered the house, trained their rifles on Tricia 5

6 Wachsmuth?

MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 7

THE WITNESS: I wasn't there, so I don't

9 know.

R

BY MR. GOSMAN: 10

Q. And you weren't aware that Chretien commanded 11

Tricia Wachsmuth to go down the stairs first? 12

MS. WESTBY: Objection. 13

MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form. 14

THE WITNESS: I think I answered that already 15 and said no. 16

BY MR. GOSMAN: 17

O. And you weren't aware that the officers who 18

went down the stairs with Tricia Wachsmuth had their 19

rifles trained on her from the time she got up from the 20

couch to take them down the stairs? 21

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 22

question. Misstates testimony and evidence. 23

MR. THOMPSON: Join. 24

BY MR. GOSMAN: 25

1

10

19

20

21

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman

MR. THOMPSON: Objection as to form.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't know if he did take her

down the stairs and use her as a human shield, no.

BY MR. GOSMAN: 4

5 Q. You don't know any of this stuff, do you?

MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 6

question. Jeff --7

BY MR. GOSMAN: 8

Q. Any of these last few questions we talked 9

about in terms of officers pointing rifles at Tricia

Wachsmuth and leading her down the stairs, you don't 11

know whether that's true or not? 12

13 A. I didn't see it, no.

Q. Well, I think you did say that officer --14

that the other officers were not training their weapons 15

16 at Tricia Wachsmuth, but you weren't so sure whether

Mike Chretien would use her as a human shield and lead 17

her down the stairs. Is that true? 18

MS. WESTBY: Object --

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say that.

MS. WESTBY: -- to the form of the question.

22 That completely, completely misstates his testimony.

THE WITNESS: I said I saw Sergeant Eckerdt 23

standing next to her and his weapon was not pointed at 24

City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 179 Page 177 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman BY MR. GOSMAN: indicates that he interviewed Bret Wachsmuth and learned from Bret Wachsmuth that the confidential 2 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit -- I 2 think it's 36 -- 35. No, it's not 35, it's 36. I'm 3 informant had put child porn on his computer. 3 4 sorry. 4 Does that ring a bell with you? (Exhibit 36 ider tified) MS. WESTBY: That was his excuse for why 5 5 BY MR. GOSMAN: there was child porn --6 6 Q. Looks like we have some e-mail from Alan MR. GOSMAN: I'm not asking for a narrative 7 7 8 Kent. Interestingly enough, you're the only officer 8 on why this evidence is relevant. that has produced any e-mai, in this case. 9 MS. WESTBY: Don't represent to this witness 9 that he's incorrect in his understanding, because he's Can you tell me what these e-mails are about? 10 10 Let's go ahead and look at Exh bit 36 and take each one 11 11 of these e-mails one by one. 12 MR. GOSMAN: Oh, he's not, okay. 12 A. They were e-mails I got from my sent file. 13 MS. WESTBY: No, he's not. 13 That was the only e-mails I could find that I had when BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 14 Q. All right. So I want you to go to the record I was asked to produce them. 15 15 Q. Okay. The first one there is to Kirk and show me where in any of this material there's any 16 16 Chapman, correct? 17 allegation from the confidential informant that Bret 17 A. Yes. Wachsmuth was putting pornographic material on his 18 18 Q. And was Kirk Chapman on the entry team? 19 computer, child porn. 19 A. Yes. MS. WESTBY: In an effort to save time, the 20 20 Q. And he also was responsible for the evidence probable cause affidavit -- I don't know what... 21 21 report? 22 MR. GOSMAN: That's 13 -- oh, no, that's 15. 22 A. He was part of the evidence collection team, MS. WESTBY: It's the second one is one place 23 23 yes. And he wrote the evidence report, yes. where that's located. 24 24 MR. GOSMAN: I believe that's 15. 25 Q. And you wanted him to get it first thing on 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 178 Page 180 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Monday morning, and that was dated March 1, correct? MS. WESTBY: It's 00089. 1 1 THE WITNESS: 0089? 2 2 Q. On March 16, "Guys, do not throw away, MS. WESTBY: Well, let me make sure. 3 3 dispose of, or return any evidence from the Wachsmuth (Exhibits 14, 15, and 21 4 4 case. It probably will be needed." What was that 5 5 identified) MR. GOSMAN: Let's see. Affidavit of 6 about? 6 probable cause is 137. Okay. Yeah, there are two A. I think we found out we were getting sued. 7 7 Q. Okay. And then on March 5th, this is 2009, affidavits of probable cause. They start at 137 and go 8 8 back in 2009 again, you were communicating with Dave 9 to approximately 49. 9 Brown over the computer, correct? But it's not consecutive. Because there's 10 10 11 A. Yes. 11 three pages. One is Exhibit 15 and one is Exhibit 14. Q. Did you understand that the computer 12 12 Two affidavits of probable cause. contained pornographic images that had been placed And the Brown report is Exhibit 21. And 13 13 there by the confidential informant? 14 14 there's two pages. And it's the second page. 15 A. I understood the confidential informant said 15 And as you'll notice on Exhibit No. 21, the that Bret Wachsmuth was looking at child poin. 16 second page, Brown's report of his interview with Bret 16 Q. The confidential informant said Bret Wachsmuth, he says that -- and this is at the -- I 17 17 Wachsmuth was looking at chi d porn. Is that what you think it's -- it says -- he stated that -- Wachsmuth 18 18 just said? stated that -- had been looking at child porn and doing 19 19 20 A. Yes. 20 meth. He stated that they kicked him out the first Q. All right. Where -- wno told you that? 21 week of February and noticed the porn a week after he 21 A. I believe it was in the priefing. I don't moved out. You see that? 22 22 know. That's why we sent the computer off. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Is there a question pending? 23 Q. Well, Dave Brown has filed a report in this 24 BY MR. GOSMAN: 24

25

Q. Yeah. That's, do you see that?

case and I'll just represent to you that Dave Brown

Page 181

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al.

ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

Page 183

Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman A. Where is that at? unknown. So you wanted to... O. That's in Exhibit 22 -- 21, second page of A. Tim Black was the Assistant County Attorney. 2 2 Exhibit 21. 3 3 A. And the discussion was weighing the plants, A. Yes. 4 4 Q. Okay. Now -and they totaled up to be felony amounts. 5 5 MS. WESTBY: No, there's still a question Q. Okay. Did you want to see the charges 6 6 upgraded to a felony? Is that why you were having this 7 about... 7 discussion? MR. GOSMAN: Do you want to take over the 8 8 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the deposition? 9 9 10 MS. WESTBY: No, I want him to answer your 10 question. MR. THOMPSON: Join. 11 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know in the first place MR. GOSMAN: I do too, and I'm going to ask 12 12 13 why they weren't a felony. That was my question. him again. 13 BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 BY MR. GOSMAN: 14 Q. Okay. At that point you still didn't realize O. I want you to go through this record and tell 15 15 me where in this record there's a statement that the that the marijuana grow was a misdemeanor? 16 16 A. No. And I can't remember the -- seems to me confidential informant had stated that Bret Wachsmuth 17 17 was looking at child porn. the law changed in there somewhere. So, no, I didn't. 18 18 Q. All right. Going to take just a break for a minute while 19 19 A. But the weight would have been because it was you do that. 20 20 almost 400 grams. But let me say that it's probably going to be 21 21 in the affidavits of probable cause, the affidavit for 22 Q. Four hundred grams? 22 A. (Witness nods head.) search warrant, or in Chad Miner's report since he was 23 23 Q. Did that include -- that included the entire the only one that talked to the confidential informant. 24 24 (Recess taken 4:49 to 4:52 25 plant? 25 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 184 ALAN KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 182 Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Direct Examination by Mr. Gosman Less the potting soil and the pot. p.m., November 22, 2010) 1 1 MR. GOSMAN: Officer, have you found 2 2 Q. All right. THE WITNESS: If this is going to go on much anything? 3 3 longer, I'm going to have to take a break, I'm about A. No, not yet. 4 4 O. All right. Well, we're going to go ahead and ready to bust. 5 5 move on. Did you read Miner's report? 6 MR. GOSMAN: And I certainly understand that. 6 A. Yeah, I know I've read it somewhere. I just BY MR. GOSMAN: 7 7 can't find it at the moment. 8 O. All right. One last question. Have you had 8 any conversations with any of the officers about this Q. Okay. Let me turn your attention to the 9 9 e-mail dated March 3rd, 2009. warrant service where the officers expressed regret 10 10 A. What was the number of the e-mails, again, 11 over the way things were handled? 11 I'm sorry? 12 MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the 12 Q. Yes, it's 36. 13 13 question. A. Okay. Which one now? MR. THOMPSON: Join. 14 14 15

- Q. It's dated March 3rd. It's from Alan Kent to 15
- Chad Miner. It's Bates numbered 585. 16
- 17 A. Okav.
- Q. Okay. Tell me about this e-mail. Had you 18
- talked with Tim Feathers about these charges? 19
- A. This e-mail, I believe. is Tim Black. 20
- Q. Alan Kent to Chad Miner, talked -- oh, I see, 21
- 22 Tim. So this is Tim Black?
- A. Yeah. 23
- O. Talked with Tim about why Bret was charged 24
- 25 with a misdemeanor. The reason was the weight was

- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. GOSMAN: 16
- O. Yes? Tell me what those discussions were. 17
- A. There was some concerns about Tricia going 18 downstairs. 19
 - Q. Tricia going down the stairs?
- A. Yeah. 21
- 22 Q. All right. Who did you have that discussion
- with? 23
- A. I talked with Chief Feathers about it. I 24
- talked to Sergeant Eckerdt, Officer Miner, Investigator

	a wacnsmuth v. of Powell, et al.		November 22, 2010
ALAN	KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 185 t Examination by Mr. Gosman	ALA Dire	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 187 ct Examination by Mr. Gosman
1	Brown. Investigator Brown and Officer Miner approached	_	CERTIFICATE
2	me.	2	I, VONNI R. BRAY, Registered Professional
3	Q. So apparently, it was widely known that	3	Reporter, and Notary Public for the State of Montana,
4	Tricia Wachsmuth had been taken down the stairs first	4	do hereby certify that ALAN KENT was by me first duly
5	into an uncleared area of the house?	5	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and
6	MS. WESTBY: Object to the form of the	6	nothing but the truth;
7	question.	7	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of
8	MR. THOMPSON: Join.	8	186 pages, is a true record of the testimony given by
9	MS. WESTBY: Misstates the testimony.	9	said deponent, together with all other proceedings
10	THE WITNESS: It was widely known that she	10	herein contained.
11	went downstairs.	11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
12	BY MR. GOSMAN:	12	hand this 11th day of December, 2010.
13	Q. Did you know that she'd gone downstairs first	13	
14	with the officers behind her	14	
15	A. That night?	15	
16	Q. No, when you had these discussions with	16	
17	Chief Feathers and the other; about these regrets.	17	
18	A. I don't know where she was in line. I don't	18	,
19	remember.	19	
20	Q. All right. Thank you very much.	20	Conint Exal
21	A. Is that it?	21	
22	Q. See you later. You bet.	22	Vonni R. Bray, RPR P. O. Box 125
23	(Proceedings concluded at 4:57	23	Laurel, MT 59044 (406) 670-9533 Cell
24	p.m., 11/22/10.)	24	(888) 277-9372 Fax vonni.bray@yahoo.com
25	•	25	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
ALAN Direc	N KENT - November 22, 2010 Page 186 tt Examination by Mr. Gosman DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE		
2	I, ALAN KENT, do hareby certify, under		
3	penalty of perjury, that I have read the foregoing		
4	transcript of my testimony consisting of 185 pages,		
5	taken on November 22, 2010 and that the same is, with		
6	any changes noted below, a fill, true and correct		
7	record of my deposition.		
8	PAGE LINE CORRECTION REASON FOR CORRECTION		
9			
10		İ	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	<u> </u>		
20			
20			

25

ALAN KENT Date

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 50 of 67 Tricia Wachsmuth v. City of Powell, et al. Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
	137 (2)	178:8,9;182:10	3rd (2)	16:20
0	180:7,8 14 (2)	2010 (4) 19:5;32:11;105:2;	182:10,15	A
00089 (1)	180:4,11	182:1	4	
180:1	15 (17)	21 (5)		abandoned (1)
0089 (1)	32:9;74:8;76:16,20;	180:4,13,15;181:2,3	4 (10)	47:1
180:2	77:6,18;78:4;79:7,21;	22 (5)	68:17;71:22;72:1,18;	ability (3)
06 (4)	114:24;115:12,20;	19:5;32:11;105:2;	120:11;145:3,14;	6:20,24;63:10
25:6,9;33:6;37:2	157:2;179:22,25;180:4,	181:2;182:1	160:20;163:11,11	able (3)
	11	23 (1)	4:30 (1)	101:19;139:4;141:8
09 (2)	16 (5)	30:19	161:6	above (6)
55:18;58:6	90:7,10;108:2,146:5;	24 (1)	4:49 (1)	36:6;57:24;59:12,13;
09-223 (1)	178:3	10:14	181:25	145:15;166:2
57:9	18 (2)	24th (8)	4:52 (1)	absolutely (1)
	111:13;126:25	33:11;50:7;53:4;57:2;	181:25	104:20
1	1814 (1)	61:16;62:4;159:14;	4:57 (1)	academy (2)
	22:17	160:5	185:23	12:22;15:24
1 (4)				
78:15;144:12;145:2;	19 (1)	25 (1)	40 (1)	accompanied (1)
178:1	128:12	159:1	16:20	166:19
1/27 (1)	1975 (2)	250 (1)	400 (1)	accomplished (1)
60:14	8:7,24	6:18	183:21	105:22
1/28 (1)	1982 (1)	25th (1)	49 (1)	according (2)
60:18	15:22	88:12	180:9	86:21;120:15
10 (13)	1990 (1)	26 (3)		accnrate (1)
17:13;90:14,16;94:14;	9:11	55:13,16;56:24	5	94:19
107:17,19;109:25;	1995 (11)	28 (2)		accurately (1)
138:16;143:1,20;	9:19;10:5;40: ':4;	17:23,25	5 (3)	15:17
144:10;149:11,14	41:18;42:9,10,15,24;	29 (4)	55:16;130:14;145:5	accused (1)
10/21 (1)	44:2;48:19;129:16	110:24;111:1;113:17;	5/4/1995 (1)	8:13
22:22	1st (2)	126:23	16:17	acronym (1)
10/5/2005 (1)	9:19;15:20		51 (2)	55:18
16:23		3	10:20;11:1	action (3)
1036 (2)	2		52 (3)	128:15,16,21
19:18,21		3 (3)	25:25;26:1;28:13	active (8)
1038 (2)	2 (2)	67:7;73:4;145:3	53 (3)	37:2,5,20;38:3,12,13;
15:11,17	131:3;145:3	3.3.07 (1)	138:7,8;163:11	40:15,16
1039 (2)	2/18 (1)	35:9	54 (3)	activities (1)
	58:6	3.3.5 (2)	149:1;150:10;163:11	40:22
15:12,17	2/24 (1)	35:4,6	585 (1)	activity (1)
11 (12)	55:18	3.6.09 (2)	182:16	130:24
63:12;76:16,20;77:6,	2/9/1995 (1)	28:9,11	5th (1)	actual (3)
18;78:4;79:7,21;102:23;	16:17	3.6.16 (5)	178:8	40:21;107:5;114:19
114:24;115:12,20	2:00 (1)	25:21,24;26:2,8;29:24	5-year (1)	actually (14)
11/22/10 (1)	53:11	3.7.13 (1)	21:18	10:19;15:13;39:18;
185:24	20 (10)	31:17	21.10	43:19;44:16,21;50:20;
11:43 (1)	12:23;13:2;14:2;	3.7.15 (1)	6	57:8;58:20;68:16;92:18;
19:4	69:21,23;75:23;77:5;	31:14		96:5;100:14;149:8
1161 (1)				
19:22	78:15;88:10;157:2	3.7.23 (5)	6 (6)	add (1)
12 (1)	2001 (1)	30:17;31:6,7,11,16	29:23;31:14;73:9;	125:21
74:8	17:2	3/8/2002 (1)	136:6;145:5;146:1	additional (3)
12/27 (1)	2003 (3)	16:21	6/13/2003 (1)	131:6,8,13
61:5	18:3,15;20:1	3:01 (1)	16:22	address (1)
12:12 (1)	2005 (4)	105:1	6th (1)	6:17
32:10	18:7;20:1;43:10,12	3:11 (1)	32:16	affidavit (24)
1209 (1)	2007 (1)	105:1	_	67:22;68:2,6,18,20;
23:20	61:5	31 (3)	7	71:14,17,20,23;73:1,4;
12-6-101-a (1)	2008 (5)	15:6,8;37:1		74:13,24;75:9,11;77:14,
58:4	18:7,8;73:7;74:25;	35 (4)	7 (4)	19;78:5,16;81:16;82:4;
12-6-101-b (1)	78:17	21:13,15;177:3,3	144:12;158:22,24,25	179:21;180:6;181:22
58:4	2009 (13)	35-7-1031 (1)		affidavits (3)
13 (8)	10:14;11:21;15:20;	57:20	9	180:8,12;181:22
	21:19;50:7;53:4;57:3;	36 (5)		Afghanistan (1)
67:9,12;71:15,25;	61:17;62:5;159:14;	177:3,3,5,11;182:13	9/21/2001 (1)	157:10
72:1;88:2;120:8;179:22		,5,5,11,102.15		

				140veniber 22, 201v
afraid (1)	allegedly (1)	140:1,13	assisting (1)	71:14;74:25;75:5,7;
122:22	90:24	appropriate (4)	105:20	76:18;89:17;91:15;
afternoon (13)	allow (1)	25:3;40:17;95:21;	associated (1)	94:22;113:11;145:10,
53:11:64:15;73:22;	6:3	111:19	24:20	23;150:9;162:19,22,25;
79:14;81:17,20;87:12,	allowed (1)	approval (1)	assume (4)	163:11,16;178:9
13;96:19,23;97:2,14,16	170:20	93:22	22:10;132:13;151:6;	background (1)
again (29)	alludes (1)	approve (1)	156:19	8:20
7:8,11,18;22:19;25:6;	32:5	93:18	assumed (1)	bad (4)
28:23;30:9;31:22;32:19;	almost (2)	approved (3)	163:21	86:1,8;119:5,22
	34:17;183:21	13:8;70:1;77:5	assuming (6)	
35:4;37:25;42:20;58:23;				badgering (1)
61:14;62:3;71:18.23;	along (4)	approves (1)	15:9;24:16;45:14;	
76:1;85:4;92:2;97:24;	13:9,96:7,97:4;157:6	13:9	47:17;143:23;158:11	bags (1)
107:17;121:8;143:20;	alternative (1)	approximate (1)	assumption (2)	163:3
146:5;169:24;178:9;	98:9	149:25	56:16;86:4	bar (1)
181:13:182:11		Approximately (5)	attain (1)	107:8
against (6)	98:7;107:19	17:19;70:7;73:8,9;	93:22	bark (2)
8:17;112:3;124:2,3,5,	always (1)	180:9	attempted (1)	139:7;140:20
12	85:19	area (9)	98:17	barked (1)
agency (2)	amount (7)	9:22;56:6;101:17;	attend (2)	140:14
52:3;130:23	73:14;113:8;121:16;	142:2;160:21;161:16;	9:6;39:16	barking (3)
Agent (3)	130:25;148:1 ';153:12;		attendance (1)	139:18,25;140:7
97:17,18,19	154:3	areas (1)	13:12	based (17)
agent's (1)	amounts (1)	131:6	attended (5)	42:6;49:15;50:16;
95:21	183:5		9:7,8;21:24;22:2;	66:12;74:23;79:8,20,23;
		arguing (2) 114:10;168:22	48:16	
aggression (1)	Ann (1)	1	1	85:16,18;89:9;91:20;
36:6	7:7	argumentative (5)	attention (4)	106:16;108:8,11;
ago (3)	Anna (1)	46:8;69:13;70:20;	24:15:32:19:155:9;	118;15;138:1
70:8;96:1;110:7	12:10	99:20;104:20	182:9	basement (12)
agree (16)	Annex (2)	arm (1)	attorney (2)	70:12;73:9,14;75:19;
22:24;23:6,7;29:16;	51:9;81:5	148:11	81:5;183:2	76:12:89:14;92:10;
56:15,15,22;57:2;60:23;	announce (5)	armed (1)	attorneys (1)	115:16:151:12,25;166:1,
127:6,8,12;129:11;	86:15;139:15 146:11;	104:11	5:10	3
166:17;168:10;169:20	147:8,9	armor (1)	August (1)	bases (1)
agreed (2)	announced (1)	140:22	9:11	29:22
99:2;131:23	141:10	around (6)	authority (1)	basic (7)
agreement (4)	announcement (1)	53:10;138:10;139:6;	12:12	12:21;15:21;16:20,21,
52:2,5,10;106:5	38:11	150:20;163:3,18	available (5)	25;17:5,16
ahead (39)	answered (10)	arrest (2)	38:12,25;108:17,22;	basically (1)
8:2,6,19;15:8;22:13,	48:5;64:5;103:21;	60:3;114:3	131:9	36:11
16;23:16,19;25:14,20,	116:6;117:21-122:7;	arrested (7)	avoid (1)	basis (4)
24;32:8,18;35:14;37:3;	124:24;135:2 159:23;	7:2,15;8:3;59:15,16;	109:17	7:10;48:1;124:15;
53:3;55:15;57:17;58:10,	174:15	61:17;62:6	aware (27)	157:12
12:62:2;63:17;68:16;	apparently (6)	arrests (1)	31:8;47:8;53:5,7,9;	Bates (5)
69:19:80:3;88:10:94:14;	41:10;58:6;61:6;	34:21	61:16;62:4;64:13;67:2;	15:16;18:17;19:14;
105:3;109:9;113:25;	156:24;172:1 ;185:3	arrival (1)	89:20;91:23;95:14;	20:7;182:16
126:22;128:11;130:15;	appear (3)	142:4	106:7;116:8;118:12;	bathroom (4)
			I to the second	
138:5;139:1;148:24;	26:18;60:21;73:16	arrived (9)	136:25;145:21;161:11;	155:4;164:8;166:3;
161:4;177:11;182:5	appeared (3)	96:7;138:12,21,22:	172:10;173:13,18,21;	172:3
aid (1)	142:12,15,24	141:9,11;149:22;150:4;	174:4,11,18;175:2,5	bay (2)
52:5	Appears (3)	156:20	away (3)	109:21,23
ALAN (5)	58:8,21;144:19	arriving (2)	125:24,25;178:3	beanbag (1)
5:1;6:16;177:7;	apply (5)	9:20;91:13	awful (1)	35:20
182:15,21	14:13,13;22:25;23:7;	assaultive (1)	104:20	became (4)
alarm (1)	24:4	36:7		16:4;53:7,8;124:24
155:17	appreciate (3)	assembled (5)	В	become (2)
alerted (1)	5:23;10:22;167:7	49:9;84:9;102:23;	<u> </u>	53:5;120:25
88:21	apprehension (2)	133:5;154:21	B1 (1)	becomes (1)
	52:16;127:11	assigned (4)	130:19	113:6
			BA (1)	becoming (1)
alerting (2)	annroach (3)	1 10.74.141.10.134.4.5	1 42/3 1 4 /	OCCUMBALE (II)
alerting (2) 88:4;90:23	approach (3)	65:24;131:19;153:4,6		
alerting (2) 88:4:90:23 allegation (1)	38:7;103:3;127:5	assist (2)	145:12	46:8
alerting (2) 88:4;90:23 allegation (1) 179:17	38:7;103:3;127:5 approached (1)	assist (2) 63:4;67:15	145:12 back (25)	46:8 bed (3)
alerting (2) 88:4:90:23 allegation (1)	38:7;103:3;127:5	assist (2)	145:12	46:8

City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

1542515519.15664 17.7	City of Powell, et al.	. — — — .			November 22, 2010
158.2 108.10.138.12 138.12 138.12 138.13 138.17 138.13 138.17 138.13 138.	154-25-155-19-156-4	brand (1)	17:7	14-19-53-6-57-9-9-	31:10:153:16
135:23141:2214:51:55			17.7		
1552;33;44;125;19;16;125 168;16;21 172;15;123;34 172;15;123;34 172;15;123;34 172;15;123;34 173;15;13;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;			C		
1512, 181, 151, 101, 151, 151, 151, 151, 151, 15			ļ		
1542,41552,19161222			calculated (3)		
25162:1.3.14.19.1648.8 9 9 10600ms (1) 161:23 61:1.13.63112.862.5.5 1661(2) 161:23 61:1.13.63112.862.5.5 16104241.4413.0.23, 2233.4415.774.8 138:13 150egan (1) 138:13 150egan (1) 138:13 150egan (2) 139:51.459.5.21 150egan (3) 150egan (4) 151:13.1653:10 150ehavior (1) 151:13.1653:11 150ela (1) 151:13.1653:11					
Section Sect			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
161:23					
153:2 5:149:35.111 5:149:37.15 5:149					
15:12 15:12 15:14 17:24 181:15 16:15 181:12					
138:13					
T38:15 bregin (4) 5:56-34:127-15:128-2 Bret (51) 5:95-63-4:127-15:128-2 Bret (51) 5:95-63-4:127-15:128-2 Bret (51) 5:156-34:127-15:128-2 Bret (51) 5:156-34:127-15:128-2 Bret (51) 5:158-2 Bret (51) B					
Signature Sign			called (11)	cell (1)	97:1;98:13,24;104:1;
beginning (3)	begin (4)	139:5;145:9,2 }	51:22;58:20;81:12;	151:18	106:3;108:1;109:8;
Delta Control Contro	5:5;6:4;127:15;128:2	Bret (51)	83:10;84:12,19;85:9;	centered (1)	173:6,10;184:24;185:17
Dehavior (1) 75:12 75:12 75:12 75:12 75:12 75:17 75:12 75:12 75:13 75:12 75:13	beginning (3)	62:13;68:20;69:2;	94:12;95:2;96:2;101:1	17:20	
175:22		70:7,9,11,17;72:3,6;			
		76:11;85:18;86 22;87:7;		I .	1
135:18:1401:51:153:1; 1004:101:18:105:17; 541:18:166:25:167:25 572:173:23:185:14 belt (1) 106:21:164:4; 117:25:119:7.23:24; 121:11,23:127:11,17; 1106:126:17:131:1,14 belts (1) 123:5,101:26:21:43:17; 33:19 156:11; 156:11; 158:22 178:12 179:19:12; 179:10:18:16; 179:19:12; 179:10:18:16; 179:19:12; 179:19:12; 179:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19					
15:25:173:23;185:14 106:21:116-1; 4! 17:25:1197:33.24 17:25:1197:33.24 121:11,23:127:11,17 123:5.0:126:21; 43:17 133:19 156:11,15:17:22 18:16,17:179:12,17 161:17 180:16; 181:17:182:2 178:16,17:179:12,17 161:3 161:17 100:110:12,13 175:21 100:110:12,13 175:21 100:110:12,13 175:21 100:110:12,13 175:21 100:110:12,13 178:22 178:24 100:110:12,13 13:19, 13:19		1			
belt (1)					
179-4 121:11.23:127:11.17; 1106:126:17:153:13,14, 14:3 86:8					
belts (t) 133:19 156:11.151.57:22; 178:16,17:179:1,2.17; 16:17 180:16;181:17;182:24 16:23;192:21:2, 16:33 16:34:17;182:24 16:34:173:19 16:24:173:19 16:32:17:27:23;144:13 16:32:17:27:23;144:13 16:32:17:27:23;144:13 16:34:17:182:24 177:14 178:22 178:22 178:18 178:22 17					
Bessler (1)					
Bessler (1)			1 1		
best (4) best (4) best (4) best (4) best (4) best (4) Bret's (4) 24:13;90:3;105:12; 172:14 briefing (1) briefing (1) 178:22 178:22 178:22 178:22 178:22 178:22 178:22 178:23 1716:40:83:41:25,43:10; 161:7 big (3) 15:9979;22:118:24 Black (3) 182:20,22:183:2 Blackmore (2) 142:12,19 122:6135:6,17 148:4; 177:6 brings (1) 172:613:43 brings (1) 172:613:61-61:7 broke (5) 142:12,19 173:10-11:108:19;138:23; 178:11:11:12:11:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:					
Dest (4)					
24:13;90:3;105:13;					
172:14				, .	
bet (1) 185:22					
178:52			I '		
better (1)					
161:7					
hig (3) bring (1) 74:13.14:90:11:105:21: chance (2) 174:11:175:11,23; 159:97:22:118:24 brings (1) 107:7;108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 107:21:108:19;113:8; 115:23.6,16,17,22.23; Change (4) Chretien's (3) Chretien's (3) 67:19;16:3 117:16:121:1.4,17; 122:8:124:12:149:9; 127:18 CL (8) CL (8) Cl (8) 72:214;73:7;74:21, 23;77:24;120:3,15 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
15:9;97:22:118:24 107:7 107:21;108:19;113:8; 108:14;147:2 176:17 176:17 181:24 176:17		bring (1)		chance (2)	174:11;175:11,23;
182:20,22;183:2 25:1 17:16;121:1,4,17; 59:25;71:6;125:9; 108:3;109:5,6 142:12,19 12:6;135:6,17 148:4; 157:19;161:4;167:7; 12:8:142:12;149:9; 137:10,14 137:10,14 145 157:19;161:4;167:7; 12:8:142:12;149:9; 137:10,14 137:10,14 145 157:19;161:4;167:7; 12:8:142:12;149:9; 137:10,14 12:20,23;183:18 23;77:24;120:3,15 137:10,14 145 157:19;161:4;167:7; 12:20,23;183:18 23;77:24;120:3,15 12:20,23;183:18 23;77:24;120:3,15 12:20,23;183:18 12:20,23;183:18 12:30,15 12:20,23;183:18 12:30,15 12:20,23;183:18 12:30,15 13:10 12:11 15:10 13:1			i 107:21;108:19;113:8;	108:14;147:2	176:17
Blackmore (2)	Black (3)	brings (1)	115:2,3.6,16,17,22.23;	Change (4)	Chretien's (3)
142:12,19	182:20,22;183:2	25:1			
blindly (2)	Blackmore (2)				
137:10,14 brought (2) 67:19;163:3 64:11 127:11 70:6 127:11 70:5 127:11 70:6 127:11 70:6 127:11 70:5 100:12 70:					
body (1) 67:19;163:3 64:11 127:11 70:6 70:25 70:27 70:25 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
A4.5 Brown (7)		_			
book (1) 88:18;178:10,24,25; 136:19;138:23; 143:21;147:18,19; 70:25 15:9 180:13;185:1,1 158:14;163:2,4 164:25;177:17,19 70:25 boss (5) Brown's (1) care (2) character (1) 118:12 97:9:98:22,22;104:1; 180:16 104:19;114:6 64:9 circumstances (11) both (2) 130:24 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 72:3;150:3 building (1) Carolina (1) charged (6) 118:16;121:8,9;137:15. bother (1) 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18,62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citizens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 14:7.9 15:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 15:6,7,13,17;159:6, 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:		The state of the s			L.
18:13;185:1,1			1		
boss (5) Brown's (1) care (2) character (1) 118:12 97:9;98:22,22;104:1; 180:16 104:19;114:6 64:9 circumstances (11) 106:3 budgetary (1) career (1) charge (2) 33:21;34:10;65:23; both (2) 130:24 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 72:3;150:3 building (1) Carolina (1) charged (6) 118:16;121:8,9;137:15. botter (1) 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18;62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citzens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18:22:11.14;72:21; 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19	• •				
97:998:22,22;104:1; 180:16 budgetary (1) career (1) charge (2) 33:21;34:10;65:23; 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18;62:6; 129:0 18:18;22:11,14;72:21; 146:7 box (8) 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 23;160:6,14 boy's (1) 101:16 budgetary (1) care (1) charge (2) 33:21;34:10;65:23; 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 118:16;121:8,9;137:15.					3 -
106:3 budgetary (1) career (1) charge (2) 33:21;34:10;65:23; both (2) 130:24 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 72:3;150:3 building (1) Carolina (1) charged (6) 118:16;121:8,9;137:15. bother (1) 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18;62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citizens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18;22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chase (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18					
both (2) 130:24 106:8 92:7;97:19 102:17;105:12,15; 72:3;150:3 building (1) Carolina (1) charged (6) 118:16;121:8,9;137:15. bother (1) 129:9 79:4 59:11:61:18;62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citzens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18;22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) carry (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18			1		
72:3;150:3 building (1) Carolina (1) charged (6) 118:16;121:8,9;137:15. bother (I) 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18;62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citizens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18;22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) carry (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18					
bother (1) 129:9 79:4 59:11;61:18;62:6; 22 100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citizens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18:22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) carry (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18			I control of the cont	1	
100:4 Bullet (2) carried (3) 71:4;89:8;182:24 citizens (2) bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18:22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) carry (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18					
bottom (5) 22:23;95:13 33:12,13;85:20 charges (5) 11:7,9 18:18;22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chase (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18		II	1		
18:18;22:11.14;72:21; burn (1) carry (1) 57:4,5;58:3;182:19; city (5) 146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18		1 1			
146:7 155:22 33:19 183:6 50:14;51:24;52:2,14, box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18		1	1		\$
box (8) burned (3) carrying (2) chase (1) 21 158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18					
158:6,7,13,17;159:6, 155:6,21;156:4 98:25;125:20 34:19 clarification (1) 23;160:6,14 bust (1) cars (1) chases (1) 5:24 boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18		burned (3)			
boy's (1) 184:5 165:11 34:19 Clark (1) 101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18		155:6,21;156:4		34:19	clarification (1)
101:16 buys (1) case (27) check (2) 6:18	23;160:6,14	bust (1)		chases (1)	5:24
			1	-	
	101:16	buys (1)	case (27)	check (2)	6:18
			J		

City of Powell, et al.

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
class (2)	communicating (2)	74:21	25:13;28:2,3,13;35:22	5,6,10;61:8,10;65:11;
13:12;17:9	50:21;178:9	confuse (1)	copyright (2)	100:8;116:5,13
clear (3)	communication (5)	63:24	18:6,8 corner (2)	crisis (2)
24:5;151:2;153:3	11:4;94:3,23;102:5; 104:1	connection (6) 18:1;19:10;40:21;	94:16;139:6	111:6,11
cleared (3) 5:24;152:20;153:9	communications (3)	61:6;64:16;83:14	1	critical (2) 130:16;131:5
	11:18,20;81:24	consecutive (1)	corrections (2) 159:9,19	
clearing (4) 32:25;152:21;155:10;		180:10	correctly (1)	crushing (1) 120:13
	community (1)		69:17	
170:10	12:10	consider (1)	l .	cue (2)
clearly (1)	compared (1) 39:1	106:4	couch (5)	147:20,24
24:20		consideration (1) 157:9	148:22;151:7,8; 153:22;174:21	curiosity (1) 33:9
closer (1) 157:13	compilation (1) 21:16	considerations (4)		
		39:3;40:17;109:2,11	counsel (5)	curious (1) 100:20
closet (2) 152:19;153:8	completed (5) 148:13;149:10;	considered (4)	5:15;21:1;56:9; 114:10;168:14	current (2)
	159:10,20;160:8	87:14;96:16;105:11;	l ·	6:17;129:12
closets (1) 153:2	completely (6)	136:12	count (1) 14:18	currently (4)
code (1)	101:20,25;11::13;	considering (1)	counter (1)	6:19;75:19;78:4,23
60:17	157:19;176:2::,22	83:7	129:1	cut (1)
Cody (11)	compliant (1)	consisted (1)	countering (1)	145:13
43:5.15,20;52:6;58:8,	152:4	16:25	128:17	145.15
21,23;61:2;89:13,17;	complies (6)	constitute (1)	Countermeasures (8)	D
132:22	138:17:139:3 149:18;	170:5	18:2;43:4,7,8;129:18;	D
collect (2)	150:1.8.15	constitutional (3)	131:16;132:1,21	dad (3)
164:19.19	comply (1)	171:6,19,23	County (10)	100:12,15;172:14
collected (3)	152:2	consult (2)	50:4;51:9,11,18;52:6;	dad's (1)
164:13,18,23	components (1)	50:18;51:4	61:3;81:5;97:22,23;	156:12
collection (1)	32:24	consulted (7)	183:2	damage (2)
177:23	computer (6)	50:7,11,16;63:9;	couple (2)	171:25;172:2
college (5)	61:11;178:10 12,23;	102:16;109:16;110:13	57:13;125:24	danger (4)
9:2,6,7,8,9	179:3,19	consulting (1)	Course (24)	101:18;123:18,25;
column (4)	concern (5)	50:20	16:21,25;17:1,3,5,16,	161:11
25:16;26:7,14;57:25	100:2;114:3,4,7,15	contacted (3)	19;18:1,2,7,14;23:14,17;	Danzer (2)
coma (1)	concerned (1)	88:23;91:7;97:17	42:16;67:21;85:5;124:3;	143:21;157:6
121:21	125:22	contain (2)	132:16;139:11;163:6;	dark (2)
comfortable (1)	concerning (1)	59:2,3	165:13;166:20;168:6;	82:17,19
37:17	126:1	contained (4)	172:6	date (8)
coming (16)	concerns (4)	31:24;39:15;68:17;	courses (5)	22:6;25:22;39:17;
85:25;86:3,9,22;88:5,	91:1,4;105:18;184:18	178:13	14:5;20:1;22:9;40:25;	42:5,7;44:3;78:23,25
24;89:22;101:13;	concluded (1)	contains (3)	41:2	dated (3)
118:23;119:5,5,21;	185:23	138:16;144:11;158:3	court (2)	178:1;182:10,15
125:19;128:18;139:10;	conclusion (3)	continue (3)	56:5,6	dates (1)
149:9	167:1;168:1,16	21:7;32:18;36:25	Court's (4)	15:2
command (1)	conclusions (1)	continuum (8)	56:2,10,11;61:25	Dave (3)
10:18	83:13	111:22;112:16;125:6;	cover (5)	178:9,24,25
commanded (1)	conduct (2)	127:10,13,19,25;128:9	20:9,18,19,23;34:9	day (11)
174:11	91:11;136:12	controlled (4)	covered (1)	51:17;54:16;62:15;
commander (1)	conducting (1)	57:22;120:12,14,17	29:22	82:15,88:14,19,89:21,
50:13	29:6	conversation (4)	covert (1)	116:24;140:23;172:21;
commands (1)	conferring (1)	98:12;110:8;157:18;	17:6	173:5
152:4	84:23	173:9	Cozzens (2)	days (2)
committed (1)	confidential (42)	conversations (5)	11:19,20	17:21;44:7
99:7	26:5;30:1,4,12;53:22,	97:8;114:19;143:16,	creates (1)	DCI (5)
committing (1)	23;54:4,5;56: (2;57:5;	17;184:9	155:18	17:3;62:22:65:24;
122:3	60:6;61:5;62:5;68:11;	convicted (2)	credits (2)	97:20;157:12
common (2)	69:7,8;73:13;78:3,22;	8:9;59:11	14:13,14	DEA (2)
106:18;141:24	79:8;81:6,9,13;82:5;	cooperate (1)	crime (8)	16:21;17:16
commonly (1)	87:7;88:4,22;39:20;	63:3	7:2,15;8 14;59:11;	deal (3)
130:24		cooperated (1)	61:7:71:4;99:7;122:3	35:16;130:22;131:7
	119:17;122:16,22;	64:12	crimes (3)	dealing (2)
communicate (2)		1		
communicate (2) 93:1;94:10	123:11;178:14,15,17;	cops (2)	7:19;8:3,61:18	79:15,16
communicate (2)		1	7:19;8:3,61:18 criminal (13) 54:13;58:11,16;59:3,	

City of Powell, et al.		,		November 22, 2010
30:4;32:20;35:18;40:9	148:7;158:12	91:11	dishonesty (4)	12:6;16:15;27:6;
dealt (4)	deployed (8)	devising (1)	7:19;8:14;61:19;62:7	34:20;77:1;86:2,5,6;
21:22;117:4,7,17	29:15;36:4;103:9;	89:8	displaying (1)	91:7;94:12;95:4,22;
debriefing (1)	131:25;132:1:,15;	diagram (4)	36:6	96:2;101:11;102:23;
172:18	133:11;142:2	138:15,19;148:25;	disposal (1)	103:4;108:4;109:21;
debris (1)	deploying (6)	149:13	35:3	115:3,12;123:1,4;
160:22	36:9;134:6,14,147:21;	dialing (2)	dispose (2)	126:17;135:17;142:1;
December (6)	148:14;161:1′	151:18,19	114:24;178:4	146:6,21;154:22;
73:7;74:25;75:5.7;	deployment (5)	Dick (1)	dispositions (1)	163:22;165:24;166:14,
77:16;78:17	38:7,21,24;39 1;	9:24	59:16	18,21;167;12,13;168:12;
decided (3)	129:21	difference (10)	distraction (17)	174:12,19,21;175:13,24;
106:25;108:13;162:17	deposition (10)	34:14,22,48:20,49:8,	108:18.23;130:3;	176:3,11,18;184:20;
decipher (1)	5:8;6:25;7:6;21:7;	21;59:9;78:14;116:15,	131:20;133:6;134:6,14;	185:4
6:7	56:17,19,20;79 3;146:4;	21;126:7	135:22;137:1,9,19;	Downey (1)
decision (10)	181:9	different (9)	138:4;145:21;159:11,16,	8:23
83:4;97:10;98:21;	depositions (2)	27:14;32:24;34:25;	21;160:9	downstairs (9)
99:23;101:8;104:2;	7:11;121:7	35:1;38:11;53:8;57:21;	distractions (1)	94:25;164:9;166:7,11;
109:3,8;125:13;136:11	depressed (7)	140:23;145:1	128:22	173:19,22;184:19;
dedicated (1)	116:16;117:4,12,17;	differently (1)	Diversion (6)	185:11,13
49:18	118:19,20;125:17	97:6	129:5,7,21,25;130:11;	draw (4)
de-escalate (1)	Depression (1)	diffuse (3)	147:21	10:17;138:14;149:3,5
113:9	117:21	63:10;101:20;152:25	diversionary (15)	drawn (1)
defendants (1)	describe (4)	dinner (1)	34:25;41:18;42:5,11,	83:12
20:14	30:24;36:4,8;157:18	98:11	12,25;43:9,11,23;44:7,	drink (1)
defendant's (1)	described (1)	diploma (2)	15;133:11;148:7,14;	98:11
20:13	36:18	9:1,4	157:25	drinking (1)
defense (2)	describes (1)	DIRECT (5)	document (24)	58:5
158:25;168:20	111:18	5:3;12:9;51:12;81:19; 151:11	15:16;18:18;19:14;	drop (1) 148:12
define (1) 76:15	description (1) 94:16	directed (1)	22:13;27:13;28:12;	Dropped (4)
defined (1)	deserted (1)	20:14	37:16;45:13;58:20;59:2; 60:10;67:13;68:23;	133:20.22;148:8;
78:14	45:6	directly (4)	88:11;90:17,23;111:3,	157:25
defines (1)	designed (1)	11:4,23;33:2,21	12,13;130:14;143:5;	Drove (3)
40:16	129:1	discipline (2)	149:21;159:2,5	10:2;136:19;139:7
DEF-TEC (2)	destroyed (2)	13:13,14	documented (2)	drug (14)
158:2;159:1	114:1,5	disciplined (1)	163:10;164:13	16:20,25;17:5,6;
delivered (1)	destruction (2)	13:20	documenting (2)	60:15,15;65:25;66:2;
70:10	114:18;128:6	disclosed (1)	90:20;164:17	79:15;81:21;95:21;
delivering (1)	determined (2)	56:13	documents (14)	100:19;114:2,16
72:6	144:8;156:11	disclosing (1)	15:11;18:24;19:8,10,	drugs (11)
delivery (9)	developing (1)	54:4	23;20:3,5,6,10,11.12;	57:22;60:16;68:22;
54:16;57:6,12;69:5;	80:18	discovered (3)	22:8;41:13;45:13	69:3,15;70:17,22;71:9;
70:17,23;71:2,5;75:15	development (1)	67:6;73:8;74:19	dog (6)	72:6;120:10;123:15
Department (56)	34:16	discovery (3)	139:7,18.25;140:7,14,	duly (1)
9:13,18,21,24;10:5,8,	device (38)	7:6;20:13,16	20	5:2
19;11:15;12:4,13;13:1;	35:1;36:9;42:25;43:9,	discuss (4)	done (13)	dummy (1)
14:16,22;16:6,7;21:18;	12;44:7,15;103:9;	64:21;85:8;173:3,7	41:5,8;42:3,3,9,14;	132:19
22:5;33:18;41:5,9;42:2,	108:18,23;129 7.21,25;	discussed (18)	56:17;91 10;162:17;	during (12)
13,18;43:24;45:4.17;	130:3,11;131:20,25;	5:10;26:12;65:6;	169:1;171:25;172:17,19	31:10;72:4;73:7;
46:14;47:21,22,25;	133:6,11,22:134:6,14;	91:21;97:1;98:8;100:1;	door (42)	87:12,13;91:8;93:23;
48:12;49:19;50:5,6;	135:22;137:1, 1,20;	106:25;107:3,10,20,22,	38:17;63 12;86:3,6,7,	97:15;131:25;132:25;
51:23;52:23;55:25;57:4;	138:4;142:2;117:21;	24,25;108:1;143:25;	23;98:18.24;99:2,12;	163:6;166:20
58:22,24;59:14;67:20;	148:7,14;157:25;158:3,	144:15,17	102:23;103:4;104:12,	duties (4)
79:12;91:6;108:20;	17;159:8;160:6,20;	discussing (2)	13;107:9;115:1;121:14;	47:12,15;52:12;169:8
111:7,10;126:18;132:11,	161:12	110:3;143:9	124:24;139;14,23;140:1,	dynamic (68)
17;133:3;147:2;163:22;	devices (14)	Discussion (16)	6,14;141:16;144:12;	16:13;17:20;21:23;
167:5;175:10,20	24:19;33:1;41:18;	21:4;65:2;80:23;81:2;	145:2,7;146:8,11,12;	22:9,21;23:7,12,14,22;
Depending (2) 127:7,9	42:5,12,12;43 23; 127:23;129:5; .37:19;	109:20;133:10,13,21; 134:1;136:22;156:14,	147:3,6,22;148:1,1; 149:9;150:11;151:17;	24:4,5,15,18.20:28:18, 19;29:2;30:5,7,13;
depends (2)	159:11,16,21; 60:9	23;161:8;183:4,8;	154:8;162:14;172:2,3	31:24;32:20,21,24;33:3,
120:20;121:16	devise (2)	184:22	doubting (1)	21;34:3;35:16;37:21;
deploy (5)	91:16,24	discussions (2)	74:9	38:4,6;39:19;41:1;42:1;
131:20;133:6;144:20;	devised (1)	184:17;185:16	down (46)	44:24;48:1,22;49:4;

Tricia Wachsmuth v.

Alan Kent
City of Powell, et al.

November 22, 2010

65:14.20;80:24;84:10, 22;85:9,15;92:15,22,24; 96:11;98:18;104:11;
109:9;111:14;113:7,18, 19;124:16,23,25;125:2,
3;126:8;127:21;128:12; 129:4;131:10;136:13;
170:11
F

E

earlier (6) 89:21;96:19,23;97:2; 116:7;157:8 early (1) 82:19

114:24 Eckerdt (18)

easy (1)

11:17:46:20,22;94:23; 95:6;96:1,6;148:22; 152:9,15;156:13,20; 157:5,11;161:5,17; 176:23;184:25

Eckerdt's (2) 126:2;151:3 education (1) 8:25

educational (1) 8:20

effect (1) 155:14 effort (1) 179:20 eight (2)

74:25;78:17 Eighteen (1) 126:25

either (7) 24:18;57:4;91:1; 120:13;155:1;158:16,17

elapsed (2) 141:19;163:8

elected (2) 101:20;102:5

element (1) 44:24 elements (1) 94:11

elicit (1) 170:6

elk (1) 79:2 else (17)

> 8:11;43:14;54:25; 65:6;70:23;88:18; 102:16;103:16;121:13; 122:9;125:12,15;141:1; 150:16;152:8;162:11; 172:8

e-mail (5) 177:7.9:

177:7,9;182:10,18,20 **e-mails (5)**

177:10,12,13,14; 182:11

Emergency (1) 16:22 employ (1)

113:7 empty (1) 44:5

encompasses (1) 57:21

end (5)

31:12,13;92:2**1**; 137:16,22

endangered (1) 29:8

ended (1) 152:18 ending (1)

ending (1) 19:13

enforcement (1.3) 12:17;15:18,22;16:1; 52:3;97:20;102:6,14; 106:7,17;159:9 19;160:7

engage (1) 37:10 engaged (1)

80:11 Enough (4)

> 104:14;175:12,23; 177:8

ensure (2) 171:5,18 enter (4)

38:17;63:12;86:15; 104:10

entered (6)

103:6;152:14,15; 161:18,19;174:5

entering (1) 109:2 entire (1) 183:24

entitled (6)

7:9,19,20,24;169:6; 170:6

entries (4)

23:8,14;49:18 65:20

entry (97)

16:13;17:7,20:21:23; 22:9,21;23:12,22;24:4,5, 15;18,19,20;26 7;28:18, 19;29:2,9,14,16;30:5,7, 13;31:24;32:20,21,24, 25;33:3,22;34 3;35:17; 37:22;38:4,6;29:19; 40:15;41:1;42 1;44:23, 24;45:16;46:24 48:1,22;

40:15;41:1;42 1;44:23, 24;45:16;46:24 48:1,22; 49:4,10;55:18:57:24; 65:15;80:24;82 24;83:4, 22,25;84:2,11 13,22;

85:9,16;89:8;90:20; 91:12,25;92:13.16,22, 23,24;96:11:93:19; 104:11;109:9;113:7,19; 124:16,20,23,25;125:2, 3;126:9;127:21;128:12; 131:10;136:13;139:4; 140:13;146:13;149:23, 24;150:6;166:19; 170:11;177:19

escalate (1) 113:8 established (1)

169:10 et (3) 105:21;127:23;144:13

even (4) 56:6;81:1;86:21;

126:15 evening (25)

50:7,22;53:3;61:16; 82:16;84:8;85:6;91:8; 92:5,18:93:2,23;94:2,24; 96:21,25;97:16,16; 131:10;136:10;145:22;

159:14;160:5;163:6; 169:9 event (3)

33:10;43:19;64:15 events (5)

43:19;44:15;45:13,13; 169:16

eventually (3) 81:9,15;148:15 everybody (3)

92:9;141:3;172:21

everyhody-get-logether-as-a-group-and-debrief (1) 172:25 everyone (1)

141:1 everywhere (1) 91:2

evidence (47)

7:22;65:19;69:1,4,6, 11;70:16;72:5;73:12; 75:8,11;76:3,10;77:18, 23;80:10;99:6;114:1,5, 18;116:24;119:6,24; 121:23;122:11;124:4; 126:12;128:6;151:22; 155:3,24;163:4;164:13, 17,19,23;165:12,16; 167:16,24;173:25;

174:23;177:21,23,24; 178:4;179:8

exact (3) 40:3;82:15;148:17 exactly (3)

exactly (3) 101:7;110:1;156:10 EXAMINATION (1)

5:3 examine (2)

158:16,20 excessive (9)

166:22;167:4.11,20; 168:13:169:7,20;170:5, 11 exclusively (1) 34:17

excuse (3) 33:14;115:7;179:5

execute (3) 65:15,21;121:15

executing (1) 138:13 execution (3)

10:13;93:12;172:1 exercise (1)

exercise (1) 30:16

Exhibit (72)

10:20;11:1;15:6,8; 17:23,25;21:13,15,16; 25:25,25:26:1;28:13; 37:1:55:13,16;56:2,4,5, 18,22,24:67:9,11;69:21, 23;71:15;72:1;75:23; 77:5;88:2 10:90:7,10,14,

23;71:15;72:1;75:23; 77:5;88:2 10;90:7,10,14, 16;94:14;107:17,19; 108:2;109:25;110:24;

111:1;113:17;120:8; 126:23;138:6,7,8,16; 143:1,20:144:10;146:5;

149:1,11.14,20;150:10; 158:22,24,25;163:10; 177:2,5,11;180:11.11,

13,15;181:2,3 Exhibits (1)

180:4 exists (1) 75:10

experience (4) 82:3;108:12;117:5,17

experienced (1) 27:3

expert (4) 168:17,19;169:5;

170:5 expertise (2)

50:12,17 **explained (1)**

93:19 explaining (1) 92:9

expressed (1) 184:10

extensive (1) 54:12

extent (1) 152:22

extra (2) 108:13,15

F

fact (27)

18:14;26 21;77:6; 78:2;88:22;99:14;110:5; 112:17;117:11;119:2, 11;120:10,16;121:11; 122:2;123:6;124:16; 125:4,15,25;134:4; 136:25;143:1;146:14; 160:6;166:14;168:17 facts (2)

166:25;170:4 fair (1)

40:13

fairgrounds (2) 43:4;45:5

fairly (4)

46:8;57:20;82:19;

128:7 false (2)

61:22;62:8

falsely (1) 61:6

familiarize (1) 37:15

family (2) 105:21;130:6

far (4) 47:5;52:14:94:19,21

father (5) 101:16;102:12,13,16; 103:16

favorites (1) 65:13

Feathers (22)

11:9;47:7;64:17,22; 65:6,8,9;80:16;85:4,5,8, 11;91:21,23;93:1;94:10; 97:1;173:6,10;182:19; 184:24;185:17

Feathers' (1) 52:12

February (12) 9:19:10:14;11:21;

33:11;57:3;61:17;62:5; 77:16;82:18;159:14; 160:5;180:21

feel (3) 37:17;86:6;129:9

feet (2) 136:6;165:23 fellow (2)

171:5,18 **felonies (2)**

7:19;62:6 felony (17)

7:5;52:16;54:16; 61:18;66:9,16,16,18; 67:3,8;79:15,17;80:1,7; 183:5,7,13

few (9)

21:7;42:21;64:19; 87:1;131:6;139:17; 140:2;163:7;176:9 figure (1)

figure (1) 27:21 file (3)

13:22;77:3;177:13

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of rowell, et al.				November 22, 2010
77:2;178:24	followed (4)	four (4)	15:7;17:14,18,24;18:19,	group (4)
filing (1)	150:3;162:8,9,9	73:6;108:4,4;183:22	22;19:1,6;20:4,8,17,20,	22:8;48:21;49:3.9
57:4	follows (1)	free (2)	24;21:3,6,14;23:9;24:2,	grow (18)
filled (1)	5:2	6:12;160:21	12,24;25:8,23;26:3,20;	53:9,16;62:15;64:25;
	force (25)	Fremont (1)		
173:12		97:22	28:1,20,24;29:11,12,20;	66:9,14;67:2;68:13;
final (1)	111:22;112:1 8,9,16,		30:8.10,20,21;31:5;32:7,	74:15;76:11;78:13,14;
99:23	23;113:8;125:5;127:13,	frequently (1)	12;33:8;34:2;36:24;	79:15,24,25;80:5,19;
finalized (2)	19,24.25;128:9,24;	70:7	38:1,22;39:13,22;40:6;	183:16
92:25;93:2	146:13;157:10;166:22;	friends (1)	41:19;44:13;45:21;46:1,	
finally (1)	167:4,11,20;158:13;	63:1	10,12;47:6,13;48:17;	57:13;70:12;73:17;
109:8	169:7,21;170 5,11	front (16)	49:5,14;50:3;51:3,10;	74:2;75:14;76:4.6
find (16)	forcing (1)	15:13;139:23;140:6,	52:1;54:7,23;55:8,14;	guess (9)
19:2;27:9;28:25;29:4;	125:5	14;144:11;146:8,10;	56:4,8,14,21,24;57:1,23;	
34:10;74:13,14,14;	forego (3)	147:11;149:9;150:11;	58:17,18;59:1;60:1;	104:18;126:16,17;
90:11;99:2,16;100:4;	101:21;102:5 136:11	151:17;165:6,8,10;	61:4,21;62:1,12;63:19;	151:24;168:7
101:17;165:16;177:14;	forgery (2)	167:21;172:2	64:8;65:22;66:8;67:10,	guilty (2)
182:8	61:19;62:7	full (1)	24:68:4;69:14,22;70:24;	8:10;71:1
fine (5)	form (139)	6:15	72:12,24;73:20;74:4;	gun (7)
56:14;72:13;89:3,4;	14:6;17:11;18:16;	fully (3)	75:3,20;76:2,9,17:77:13;	10
139:1	23:3,24;24:8,.21;25:3;	63:3;64:12;130:21	78:1,10:80:6,15:83:5,20;	12,16;125:4;152:9
finish (2)	26:15;27:23;28:21;	function (1)	86:20;87:3;88:1:90:2,8,	guns (9)
6:3;94:7	29:18;31:2;32:2;33:4,	49:19	15;93:17;94:9;96:14;	85:20;118:20;123:12,
finished (1)	23;36:20;37:23;38:19;	functions (1)	98:4:99:21:100:10,22;	14,16,23;125:11,18;
32:15	39:9,20,24;41 12;44:9;	133:5	102:4,10;103:2;104:3,	152:13
finishing (1)	45:18;46:7;47:2,9;	funnel (1)	22,25;105:3,7,14;	guy (1)
149:20	48:13,24;49:11,23;	156:2	107:16;111:2;112:15,19,	98:25
fire (5)	50:23;51:6,20;54:18;	furnace (4)	22:113:4,15;114:12,14;	
155:1,2,3,24;161:11	55:3,11;56:1; 57:14;	115:16,19,20,24	115:10,18;116:20;117:6,	44:7;119:5,22;153:16;
		further (2)		178:3
firearm (1)	58:15;60:24;61:20:62:9;	1	19;118:5,10,18;119:18;	176.5
34:24	63:14;64:4;65:16;66:4;	54:3;169:12	120:5;122:4,10,24;	TY
firearms (3)	69:12;70:19;77::8;73:23;		124:14,22;125:14,23;	Н
34:9,14.18	75:1,16,24;76:3,13;77:9,	G	126:6,11,21;131:14;	. 10 (0)
first (51)	21;78:7;80:2, 2;82:25;	1	132:7;134:3,11,19;	half (2)
5:2,7;15:23;19:14;	83:15;86:17,24;87:20;	gain (1)	135:5;136:3;137:6,17;	35:13;133:2
20:13,16,20;22:17;	89:23;93:14;96:13;	39:1	138:9;139:24;140:5,12;	Hall (1)
41:17,18;42:15,15;43:6,	99:18;100:6.1 ¹ ;101:23,	garage (6)	142:9,18,25;143:8;	143:21
7,7;53:5,7,8;61:24;	24;102:9,25;103:19;	79:10,11:153:17;	145:19:146:20;149:4,	hand (3)
64:14;67:17;68:19;	104:15;105:9.107:11;	154:2;163:5;164:9	12;151:4,9;158:23;	19:7;158:21;160:14
72:14;80:17;83:21;84:9,	112:10,25;114:8;	gave (1)	159:24;160:3,15;161:4,	handed (1)
18;87:6;96:1,22,24;	115:13;116:13;117:1,	89:9	7,10;166:12;167:3,19;	28:13
98:18;110:5;128:13;	13;118:3,8;119:13;	general (2)	168:5,18 22,25;169:3,6,	handgun (2)
131:2;135:16;138:21,	120:1;122:6,19;123:20;	5:6;54:11	19,25;170:8,14,17,22,	34:6,9
22;148:19:152:24;	124:7,18;125 7;126:4,	generally (3)	25;171:3,11,13,16;	handguns (1)
154:17;158:24;163:9,9;	13;131:11;13.2:2;	17:1;22:25;37:15	173:20;174:3,10,17,25;	91:2
174:12;177:16,25;	133:24;134:7, .5;135:1,	generated (1)	175:18;176:4,8;177:1,6;	handle (3)
180:20;183:12;185:4,13	24;137:3,11;139:19;	15:1	179:7,12,14,22,25;	52:11;65:10,11
five (2)	140:8;142:6,20;143:3;	gets (1)	180:6,24;181:8,12,14;	handled (1)
13:14,21	145:18;146:15;159:22;	82:19	182:2;183:14;184:6,7,	184:11
flammable (1)	160:10;161:1;166:8,23;		16;185:12	hands (2)
161:12	167:15,23;169:23;	5:7,19;71:21;92:7;	grah (1)	71:6;165:6
flash (2)	170:7;171:8;173:15,16,	111:19;112:2	44:7	hands-on (3)
108:17,23	24;174:7,14,2.2;175:14;	•	graduate (1)	40:4,8,21
flashbang (15)	176:1,6,21;183:9;	135:16	12:22	hang (2)
24:19;33:1;34:15,16;	184:12;185:6	goes (6)	graduated (1)	151:20;152:1
103:9;108:18;127:23;	format (1)	13:9;14:17;38:5,8;	8:23	
	27:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		happen (2)
144:13,21,24;145:4,14,		112:4;152:24	grams (2)	84:16;175:2
17;155:18;161:12		good (8)	183:21,22	happened (11)
flush (3)	47:1	37:11;57:11;86:10;	grant (1)	14:19;81:3;103:10,14;
115:3,6,11	forward (1)	134:5,13;150:5;151:22;	145:13	106:22;152:17;170:3,
flushed (1)	103:14	175:21	great (1)	19;173:3,12;175:8
165:24	found (4)	GOSMAN (244)	130:25	harm (2)
follow (1)	28:7;120:11;178:7;	5:4;7:6,12,14,22;8:1,	ground (2)	37:10;113:23
157:8	182:2	8;11:2;13:17,19;14:8,9;	136:6;141:22	harvested (2)

City of Powell, et al.	·	·		November 22, 2010
72:15;73:2	17:7;130:22	identifies (2)	individually (1)	instructions (2)
head (3)	Hot (1)	20:21;38:16	121:10	5:6;160:19
110:17;133:22;183:23	97:22	identify (5)	inference (1)	Interdiction (1)
heading (2)	hour (1)	16:12;19:13;22:12:	121:25	16:22
111:14;129:5	32:9	30:25;138:7	influence (1)	interested (3)
hear (10)	hours (10)	identifying (1)	85:23	63.7;89.1;117:24
139:7;141:13,16;	12:23;13:2,3, 0;14:2;	22:19	informant (39)	Interestingly (1)
148:1;151:11;152:8;	15:4;16:20;41 3;87:2,4	identity (1)	26:5;53:22,23;54:5,6;	177:8
154:16,19;155:17;	house (75)	56:12	56:12;57:6;60:6;61:5;	interfere (1)
169:11	45:6,6;47:1;63:11;	ignitable (1)	62:6;68:12;69:7,9;	6:24
heard (14)	68:13;77:7;78 4;85:20;	160:22	73:13:78:3,22;79:9;	Interior (5)
54:2,9;78:3;79:8;	86:3;91:3;98:10;100:25;	illnesses (I)	81:7,9,13;82:5;87:7;	16:17;41:16;42:16;
111:22;121:6,7;125:16;	101:6,11,14;133:6;	6:23	88:4,23;89:20;90:23;	149:5,13
140:19;147:10,22;152:5,	104:7;106:22 107:7;	images (1)	105:16;118:17;119:17;	Internet (1)
6;153:8	115:21;119:22;123:12,	178:13	122:16,22;123:11;	125:20
held (5)	14,17,24;124:11,17;	Immediately (3) 9:23;146:12;147:6	178:14,15,17;179:3,17; 181:17,24	interrupt (1) 115:9
10:7;21:4;109:21,23;	125:4,11,18:138:14,16; 141:23;142:4145:23;		informants (3)	interview (3)
161:8		impact (2) 35:20;42:4	30:1,4,12	81:15;82:4;180:16
help (2) 63:10;101:20	147:11;148:15.20;149:6, 7,8,22;150:17 18,20;	impair (1)	information (32)	interviewed (2)
	151:22;152:17:14,22;	6:20	53:13,16,19;59:3;	88:18;179:1
helpful (1) 6:5	151:22;152:1,14,22,	impeach (1)	60:4,10;73:21;74:7;	into (50)
	21,25;161:18, 9;162.8,	7:23	80:18;85:16,18,21;	12:6;27:19;35:22;
helping (2) 82:4;103:18	14,20,22,23,24 25;163:7,	important (4)	87:15.18;89:7,9;90:1;	54:3;56:6;72:14;82:24;
	9,12,16,24;165:6;	87:14,18;99:15;104:5	91:20:93:8;107:5;108:9,	83:22;84:22;85:16;
helps (1) 39:11	172:12;174:5:185:5	impression (1)	11;118:16,25;119:10,16;	89:14;91:12,25;92:15;
Hermann (4)	huh (1)	119:8	120:3,7;122:17;123:11;	103:9;108:6;109:3,17;
97:9,17,18,25	70:13	inches (1)	142:5;164:11	113:6;118:24;127:21;
high (12)	huh-uh (1)	73:9	informed (4)	129:6;130:4,20;137:10;
8:21,23;9:1,3;27:17;	6:8	incident (4)	64:23;81:25;88:23;	142:15;151:12;152:18;
45:9,10.10;46:25,25;	human (10)	53:7,9;61:9;88:14	95:10	153:10,15,20,25;154:24;
98:25:141:22	166:6,15;169:22;	incidental (1)	in-house (1)	155:4;157:25;158:14;
higher (1)	170:10;173:14,19;	172:1	131:18	160:21;161:12,22,24;
113:6	175:13,25;17(:3,17	incidents (2)	initial (2)	162:2,3,14,22;163:10,
high-risk (8)	hundred (1)	130:16;131:5	74:7;151:15	12;167:22;168:12;
25:10;27:16;30:18,24;	183:22	inclined (2)	initiating (1)	172:12;185:5
31:1,19.25:32:16	hunting (1)	121:3,4	30:24	introducing (2)
himself (2)	79:2	include (3)	inject (1)	108:17,23
34:11:126:18	hurry (2)	14:4;66:23;183:24	127:21	introduction (3)
Hispanics (1)	140:16;148:18	included (4)	injecting (1)	41:18;111:16,18
117:8	hurt (1)	47:15;108:15;125:15;	120:14	investigated (2)
history (13)	108:14	183:24	input (3)	54:15,21
15:18;59:6,10;61:9,	husband (2)	includes (2)	131:22;133:17,18	investigating (2)
10;64:10;99:16;100:5,8;	151:19,22	12:8;66:25	in-service (17)	55:1,7
116:5,9,12.13	hypothetical (5)	including (2)	12:25;14:4,15,21;	investigation (7)
hold (3)	168:15,24,25;169:17;	13:14;17:6	21:17;22 20;26:13,22,	13:25;16:20,25;17:6;
9:22;10:10;76:18	170:4	inconsistent (1)	25;29:24;32:15,17;34:8;	52:16;81:21;83:14
hole (1)	hypotheticals (2)	27:3	37:1;39:17;40:9;41:10	Investigator (2)
172:3	169:2;170:20	incorrect (1)	inside (6)	184:25;185:1
home (25)	_	179:10	51:24;98 25;133:14,	invited (2)
50:14,19;51:2,25;	I	increases (1)	19;142:1.162:14	51:11;82:6
63:12;71:9;79:22;82:12,		112:5	instance (9)	inviting (1)
24;83:23;84:22;85:16;	idea (10)	index (2)	34:15;41 15;107:8;	107:4
86:16;91:12;92:15;	22:1;54:25;55.6;	27:9,20	112:2;114:2;122:13;	involve (6)
104:10;109:18;115:20;	80:22;104:16;106:23;	indicate (2)	125:25;130:3;170:3	24:5;41:25;65:3;71:8;
	110:6;140:10;143:15;	76:5;137:19	instances (1)	101:10;105:20
121:13;144:1;149:14;		1 11 A 1 (3)	123:5	involved (29)
121:13;144:1;149:14; 163:20;165:16;167:22;	163:13	indicated (2)	123.5	
	163:13 identified (19)	29:25;121:12	Institute (2)	11:15;13:11;16:19;
163:20:165:16;167:22; 171:25 Horn (1)	163:13 identified (19) 11:1;15:6,11;17:23;		I .	11:15;13:11;16:19; 17:10;33:10,16;34:9;
163:20:165:16;167:22; 171:25 Horn (1) 97:22	163:13 identified (19) 11:1;15:6,11;17:23; 21:13;26:1,13,30:17;	29:25;121:12 indicates (2) 120:17;179:1	Institute (2) 18:2;132:1 instructed (2)	17:10;33:10,16;34:9; 43:8;51:25;52:25;55:10;
163:20:165:16;167:22; 171:25 Horn (1)	163:13 identified (19) 11:1;15:6,11;17:23;	29:25;121:12 indicates (2) 120:17;179:1 individual (4)	Institute (2) 18:2;132:1 instructed (2) 5:15;91:16	17:10;33:10,16;34:9; 43:8;51:25;52:25;55:10; 65:1;81:15;83:12;95:6,
163:20:165:16;167:22; 171:25 Horn (1) 97:22	163:13 identified (19) 11:1;15:6,11;17:23; 21:13;26:1,13,30:17;	29:25;121:12 indicates (2) 120:17;179:1	Institute (2) 18:2;132:1 instructed (2)	17:10;33:10,16;34:9; 43:8;51:25;52:25;55:10;

Alan Kent November 22, 2010

involves (1) 40:3 involving (6) 8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6	79:14,16 (uly (4) 9:11;33:6;46:19,21 (une (4)	122:15;123:9;126:1; 169:15 known (4)	94:16 legal (3)	39:2;129:10 living (8)
132:18;145:22 involves (1) 40:3 involving (6) 8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6	(uly (4) 9:11;33:6;46:19,21	169:15	legal (3)	
involves (1) 40:3 involving (6) 8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6	9:11;33:6;46:19,21			iiving (a)
40:3 involving (6) 8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6		KDOWD (4)		70.11.120.0.140.7.
involving (6) 8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6	une (4)		167:1,25;168:15	70:11;130:9;149:7;
8:14;33:11;61:18; 62:7;108:21;110:6		86:22;121:11;185:3,	Less (10)	154:21;161:16;162:20;
62:7;108:21;110:6	25:6,9;29:23;32:16	10	16:2;17:13;33:6,9,16;	164:7;175:4
	unior (1)	_	35:8;36:1,3,17;184:1	loaded (7)
	9:7	L	lesson (1)	91:2;103:6;123:10,12,
	ustify (3)		13:7	14,16,23
157:10	125:4;126:8:130:23	label (4)	lethal (7)	loading (1)
Israeli (1)		149:16;158:25;159:8,	33:6,10,16;35:8;36:1,	165:11
24:19	K	18	3,17	lobby (1)
issued (3)		labeled (1)	lethargic (1)	110:2
33:18;58:7;78:24 k	(eep (5)	149:15	121:20	local (1)
issues (2)	46:10;48:7;101:13;	labels (1)	letter (2)	97:20
99:1;100:1	114:12;130:4	158:17	29:5;59:18	locate (1)
	eeping (5)	laid (2)	level (3)	164:22
146:1	44:12;46:5,23.47:15;	93:5,7	36:6;112 1;125:5	located (6)
items (4)	81:25	Lara (3)	levels (1)	138:18;142:5;143:14,
		99:25;126:1;143:16	111:19	15;165:18;179:24
23.2,3,128.13,144.14	teeps (3)			
Ţ	45:25;46:15,1"	large (3)	LG (1)	location (2)
K	XENT (8)	57:20;75:12;119:25	143:24	149:24,25
T 40 (4)	5:1;6:16;8:20;32:14;	last (19)	LG1 (1)	locker (1)
Jeff (4)	104:23;177:8;132:15,21	7:10,11,20;13:14,21;	143:21	44:8
. ,	Kent-Training (3)	19:21;21:11;42:21,22,	LG2 (1)	log (1)
176:7	18:25;20:2,4	22,24;55:18;62:16;73:6;	143:21	74:15
_	Kent-Training-number (1)	80:21;105:4;165:10;	LG3 (1)	logical (1)
63:24,24	20:7	176:9;184:8	143:21	46:4
	tept (2)	later (9)	LG4 (1)	logs (1)
9:22	21:17;109:24	40:8;66:14;82:16;	143:21	74:19
	cicked (1)	84:8;109:19;148:16;	LGLP(1)	long (11)
23:5;24:10;25:5;	180:20	155:13;157:2;185:22	19:20	15:25;35:12:80:21.22:
	kind (7)	law (20)	liable (1)	140:11;151:14;153:11;
25;36:22;37:24;39:10;	34:17;62:24;100:16;	12:17;15:18,22,25;	23:11	154:7;157:4;163:15;
40:1;41:14;44:10;45:20;	105:19;150:4;153:2;	52:3;78:14;102:6,14;	lieu (1)	165:14
47:4;48:14;49:1,13,25;	157:24	104:16,18;106:7,17;	102:22	longer (1)
	kinds (2)	112:14,15,19:159:8,19;	life (5)	184:4
57:16;61:1,23;62:10;	85:11:115:5	160:7;168:21:183:18	99:8,17;113:21;116:1;	
63:16;64:6:65:18:70:21; K	Kirk (2)	lead (5)	129:10	17:25;18:5,9,13,21;
72:10;73:25;75:2,17,25;	177:16,19	75:14;167:12,13;	lights (2)	25:21;27:18,20;30:2,16;
	citchen (2)	168:11;176:17	130:8,9	35:14;55:16;67:11;68:9,
83:2,17;86:25;87:22;	161:21;164:8	leader (I)	limit (1)	14;69:19;75:10;90:9,16;
89:24;93:15;100:7,18; k	(new (23)	97:20	7:23	94:14;109:25;120:8;
102:1;103:20,23;	80:14;81:14;82:9;	leading (2)	limits (1)	126:22;128:11;131:4;
105:10;107:13;112:12;	85:24;92:16,23,24;	114:19;176:11	51:24	135:11;137:20;141:25;
113:2;117:3,15;120:2;	101:16;103:16.105:12;	Lean (1)	line (5)	142:1;143:19;146:4;
122:20;123:22;124:19;	118:15,22;125 16,19;	24:19	27:6,7;143:24;144:2;	177:2,11
125:10;126:14;131:12;	136:24;139:10 143:17;	learn (4)	185:18	looked (5)
132:4;133:25;134:9,17;	159:13,15;160:4,16,24;	53:12;84:9;87:6;92:8	list (15)	32:1;119:7,19;135:14;
135:3,25;137:5,13;	175:22	learned (2)	15:4;22:22;24:14,24;	151:17
	knock (13)	166:10;179:2	26:10:40:12:94:15,19;	looking (16)
146:17;160:12;161:2;	86:15;98:15,25;99:2,	least (6)	130:15;143:19;144:5,6,	18:6;27:12;28:2;
166:9,24;167:17,25;	13;104:13;114 25;	19:9;46:4,15;74:23;	8,11;146:1	31:12,15;85:19;113:17;
168:2;174:1,24;175:15;	139:14;144:12.145:2;	82:23;161:5	listed (7)	119:4,21;133:21;134:2;
183:11;184:14;185:8	146:7,10;147:1	leave (3)	11:3;18:25;25:7;	145:1;178:16,18;
	knock-and-announce (4)	16:3;149:21;154:1	57:19;75:18;128:14;	180:19;181:18
•			168:18	Looks (5)
162:15 James (1)	86:11,14;139:11;	leaving (2)		
Jones (1)	147:1	143:25;163:9	lists (3)	60:14;119:2;120:21;
	(nocked (2)	led (8)	24:22;113:18;144:12	152:24;177:7
Josh (1)	102:23;103:4	64:10;166:6,14,18,21;	little (2)	loose (1)
	knocking (3)	167:21;168:4,11	30:16;46:9	160:21
Judge (4)	104:12;106:20 107:9	left (3)	live (3)	lot (5)
	knowledge (7)	136:10,14,16	44:4;132:19;133:8	115:2,22;121:18;
judgment (2)	64:10;90:12;108:12;	left-hand (1)	lives (2)	138:11;155:18

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
Y avail (1)	mark (6)	meeting (7)	minute (14)	85:22
Lovell (1)	19:8;25:24,25 156:1,	64:17:80:21;84:7;	10:17;18:5,9;19:1,2;	motion (1)
65:25		93:25;94:11;107:22,25		148:12
low (4)	2;172:4	meetings (1)	22:7;33:15;37:13;58:9;	
112:8,9,23,24	marked (1)	D	91:15;96:1;110:7;154:5;	move (10)
Lt (12)	149:1	48:9	181:19	8:5;9:10,15;27:6,7;
50:21;51:5.9;81:11,	marks (1)	meets (1)	minutes (6)	113:6;127:10,19;128:9;
24;82:3,14,20,23;83:10;		47:18	21:7;32:9;64:19;	182:6
109:15;110:5	master (6)	members (1)	154:6;157:2;163:14	moved (2)
lunch (5)	153:15,21,25; 54:24;	105:21	misdemeanor (6)	72:14;180:22
21:7;30:15;31:10;	162:1;164:8	mental (2)	65:15;66 2,7,15;	movement (1)
32:8,16	material (3)	99:1;100:1	182:25;183:16	128:22
Lynn (1)	160:17;179:16,18	mention (2)	misdemeanors (1)	moving (2)
6:16	materials (9)	36:13;109:12	80:5	127:24;150:21
	26:12;113:16; .37:19,	mentioned (9)	missed (1)	much (7)
M	24;138:2,4;153:4;	40:20;41:2,16;42:14;	25:12	17:19;141:19;148:16;
	160:22;161:13	43:14;59:19,20;61:6;	missing (2)	149:8;163:8;184:3;
M16 (1)	matter (5)	143:10	31:9:41:24	185:20
95:12	64:16;115:3,1.4;	met (9)	Misstates (19)	mud (1)
M4 (1)	120:10;140:2	13:4;80:16;81:4,12;	41:13;54:19;65:19;	43:21
95:12	matters (1)	87:12;92:18;94:24,25;	83:16;101:25;103:21;	multiple (3)
magistrate (1)	5:24	122:14	107:12;112:13,19;	35:2;108:16,21
170:25	mature (1)	metb (3)	125:8;132:3;146:18;	municipal (1)
mail (1)	74:16	57:12:59:13:180:20	166:25;167:16,24;	60:17
118:22	may (18)	methamphetamine (3)	173:25;1?4:23;176:22;	munitions (9)
maintain (1)	7:22;27:6;29:7,8;31:9;	54:17;57:7;121:2	185:9	33:7,10,16;35:8;36:2,
12:23	39:14;42:14;5":18;	method (1)	misstating (1)	3,3,18;42:4
Makes (1)	98:25;99:1;114.1;124:4;	145:21	104:18	must (1)
145:25	133:23;137:15.21;	methodical (1)	misunderstanding (1)	128:7
making (6)	149:13;161:20,166:2	127:4	79:25	mutual (2)
23:11;34:21;95:13;	Maybe (4)	middle (1)	Modesto (5)	52:5,10
165:6,8,12	20:24;31:10;75:14;	135:23	8:24;9:7,8;16:5,6	myself (2)
man (1)	98:9	might (7)	moment (13)	108:1;120:11
99:13	McCaslin (11)	6:24;34:10;53:6;86:8;	16:11:17:25;19:12;	100.1,120.11
manager (1)	131:20,24;132 6,23;	101:19;121:3,14	21:21:54:22;94:15;	N
10:3	134:20,21;136 21;	Mike (2)	107:18;110:25;111:14;	
manner (1)	148:6;158:10;162:7;	11:16;176:17	130:15;138:23;146:5;	name (10)
160:21	164:24	military (4)	182:8	6:15;54:4,6.9;62:13,
man's (1)	McCaslin's (2)	95:12;125:21;159:9,	moments' (1)	16;97:24;139:1;151:3;
58:11	139:2;163:3	19	87:2	158:2
	mean (40)	milling (1)	Monday (1)	
manual (12) 18:1,10,13;25:14,18;	11:8;33:12;35:2;37:8;	163:18	178:1	name-training-documents (1)
26:24;27:6;28:3,14;	38:6,8;40:23;47:5;44:2;	mind (6)	Money (1)	21:12 narcotic (4)
	1			
31:12;129:22,23	55:21;59:5,6,13,24;	20:17;46:11;96:10;	71:6	108:12:121:4;122:12;
manuals (1)	61:10,14;65:12;78:13;	103:13;114:13;126:8	month (3)	123:6
19:25	81:20;82:15,18;84:17;	Miner (45)	42:22,24;70:7	Narcotics (9)
manufacture (4)	98:3;100:12;1(5:24;	12:8;18:22;50:22;	monthly (1)	16:21;17:16;52:15;
54:17;57:6,12;59:13	110:21;111:25.117:12;	51:4,8,15;53:14;62:14,	157:12	55:10;57:21;118:21;
many (9)	119:3,16:125:21;126:16,	19;64:14,19;67:18;	months (2)	121:17;122:8;125:19
48:18;71:16,19;76:18;	17,19;138:22;144:19;	68:12;74:12,24;75:9;	42:21;56:6	narrative (1)
108:16,19,22;130:22;	148:10;154:4; 60:1;	76:22,24;77:4,24;79:6,6,	more (14)	179:7
132:5	171:9	21;80:17,18;81:4,12,17;	37:17;44:20,25;67:7;	national (1)
March (5)	means (9)	82:23;83:12,22;84:5;	121:3,4;132:12,14;	61:11
178:1,3,8;182:10,15	6:8,8;37:6,7,9;55:19;	87:9,9;88:3,11,23;89:12,	133:7;140:2,19;162:16;	Nature (1)
marijuana (29)	60:13;130:25;147:1	13;105:16;161:25;	163:14;166:1	57:25
57:13;62:15;66:9;	measures (2)	182:16,21;184:25;185:1	morning (1)	near (1)
67:2;68:12,21;69:2;	23:1;98:17	Miner's (8)	178:1	15:12
70:6,14,25;71:2,19;72:3,	medical (1)	18:23;69:18;75:21;	morphine (3)	nearly (1)
15;73:1,8,14,17:75:13;	6:23	76:4;79:2;83:3;181:23;	120:22,25;121:19	48:11
76:4,11;78:4;80:7,11.19;	medication (4)	182:6	most (2)	necessarily (4)
114:25;115:20;165:20;	6:19;119:25;121:24;	minimize (1)	85:24;166:19	55:12;78:9,12;101:10
183:16	122:12	108:14	mostly (1)	necessary (1)
Marissa (3)	meet (3)	minimum (2)	120:21	38:24
107:23:144:6,7	14:2;98:11;112:24	12:18.24	mother-in-law (1)	need (5)
	l			

Alan Kent aber 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al.			· ——	November 22, 2010
7:21;22:12;32:21;	Number (27)	24;140:4;142:6;143:3;	108:3;109:3,5;114:15;	ongoing (5)
94:7;144:20	15:17;19:21:22:10,14;	159:22;161:1;166:8.23;	121:7;126:1;127:5;	40:24;44:2;94:2;
needed (5)	25:23;28:8;31:4,15,16,	167:15;170:7,16;173:15,	131:20,24;132:6,12;	96:21,24
84:25;117:25;156:15;	16;38:7;71:21 23;73:6;	24;174:7,13,14;175:14;	134:4;142:12,19;	only (17)
162:17;178:5	75:12,18;76:2 .;77:1 t,	176:1	143:16;148:6;151:10,	10:22;70:16;82:20;
needles (1)	14;78:21;108:25;145:2,	objections (1)	11;154:16,19;157:10;	113:5;131:24;133:7;
120:15	3,14;146:1;160:20;	170:17	159:17;161:25;164:10,	138:3;152:6,16;159:8,
needs (2)	182:11	objective (6)	24,25;166:13,18;167:9;	18;160:7;161:19;172:4;
56:20;104:24	numbered (3)	76:10;99:6;123:17;	168:11;171:4,17,22;	177:8.14;181:24
networking (1)	22:17;144:11; .82:16	127:5,11,18	175:3,11.23;176:14;	open (4)
95:11	numbering (1)	observations (1)	177:8;182:2;184:25;	146:12;147:3,6;
Nevertheless (1) 40:14	27:22 numbers (6)	151:15 observe (1)	185:1 officers (63)	159:23 opened (1)
new (2)	18:17;19:13;2 <i>1</i> :6;	156:3	12:1.5,12;23:1;33:18:	86:23
45:10;46:25	143:22,23;144:12	observed (3)	48:12,21;49:3;52:19,23;	operation (20)
next (15)	numerous (3)	48:11;156:3;160:21	53:1;63:13;84:8;91:6;	51:12;53:9,16;62:15;
26:7;40:15;55:24;	72:4;108:12;132:16	observing (1)	94:1,12,15;96:8;102:23;	64:25;66:10,14;67:3;
64:15;72:18;81:3:92:3;		171:22	103:24:104:4,11;107:6;	68:13;71:8;74:6;76:11;
134:22;148:23;149:22;	O	obtained (1)	108:17,22,118:7;120:19,	78:13,14;79:15,24;80:1,
150:6;161:20;172:21;		53:6	25;121:14;122:1;	19;165:11;173:12
173:5;176:24	oatb (2)	obtaining (3)	123:18,25,127:22;128:5,	operations (6)
NFDD (1)	5:19;44:17	61:22;62:7;87:19	8;131:9;141:9;144:2;	47:23;49:20,21;50:2;
108:18	Object (87)	occasionally (1)	145:23;150:13,18;	80:5;170:10
night (19)	14:6;17:11;18 16;	26:22	152:12;154:12;157:16;	opinion (5)
33:17;36:19;49:9;	23:3,24;24:8,21;25:3;	occasions (5)	161:16;163:18;164:11;	23:15;168:17;170:4,5,
96:7;98:8;103:14;	26:15;27:23;28:21;	34:25;35:1,2;44:20;	165:5,8;167:21;168:19;	21
109:22;136:23;144:1;	29:18;32:2;33:23;36:20;	72:4	171:5,18;173:22;174:4,	opinions (2)
158:20;159:21,25;160:2, 25;172:20;173:4,5,14;	39:20,24;41:17;45:18; 46:7;47:2;48:24;49:11,	occupants (2) 104:7,9	18;175:9,20;176:10,15; 184:9,10;185:14	169:5,15 opposed (1)
185:15	23;51:6;54:18:55:3;	occurred (5)	officer's (2)	104:12
nobody (4)	56:1;57:14;58:15;60:24;	8:4;15:1;44:16,19;	21:11;169:7	option (1)
124:24;132:11;133:6;	63:14;64:4;65:16;66:4;	64:15	offset (1)	105:13
165:12	69:12;70:19;72 8;73:19,	off (10)	128:4	options (9)
nods (1)	23;77:9;82:25:33:15;	21:2,4;92:4;106:14;	old (2)	38:25;85:12;96:15;
183:23	86:17;87:20;8 ^c :23;	119:1;136:6;141:22;	45:10;46:25	97:4;105:11;106:24;
noise (4)	99:18;102:25;104:14;	145:13;161:8;178:23	once (4)	107:2,10;136:12
108:17,23;128:22;	105:9;107:11; 12:10,	offenses (3)	44:25;131:25;132:19;	order (10)
145:5	25;114:8;115:13;	58:5;66:1,3	133:8	8:17;60:19;61:25;
no-knock (3)	116:18:117:1.13;118:3,	offer (1)	one (76)	109:17;124:5;128:8;
23:22;147:4,5 none (1)	8;119:13;123:20;124:7; 125:7;126:10;132:2;	133:18 offered (2)	6:5;7:4;10:22;18:12, 19,20;19:16;22:17;	144:8,18,19,22 others (7)
36:23	134:7,15;137:3,11;	13:1;22:5	25:12;28:5;30:16;31:8;	29:7;33:1;69:5;
Nope (1)	139:19;140:8;142:17,	office (6)	36:5;40:23;41:22;42:7;	113:23;171:6,19;185:17
13:18	20;145:18;146 15;	28:6;68:7;81:12;85:7;	43:5,10,19;48:15,22;	ounces (3)
normal (1)	160:10;167:23:169:23;	97:21,21	50:5;52:11;59:12,13;	66:21,22;67:7
104:10	171:8;173:16;174:22;	Officer (124)	61:2;68:25;70:7,25;	ours (1)
normally (1)	176:6,19;183:9 184:12;	5:5;6:15;8:20;10:9;	72:25;73:2;82:20;84:17;	21:10
141:6	185:6	12:8,9,10,17;13:6,24;	85:13;87:12;91:4;95:10;	out (72)
North (3)	objecting (1)	14:4;15:18;16:10;19:7;	106:19,20,24;108:4,8;	8:5;9:16;15:3;20:10,
6:18;45:6;79:4	112:17	21:11;32:13;34:10;	118:24;121:9;123:4;	11;21:8;26:23;27:21;
Northwest (2)	objection (63)	45:15,16,22,24;47:20;	132:13,15;133:5,6,8;	33:9;44:8,8;57:12;
9:9;97:20	7:18;17:17;29:3;31:2;	48:16;50:15,22;51:4,8, 15;53:14;62:14,19;	135:6;152:16;156:11;	58:23;60:3,11;63:11;
notes (2) 90:18,19	33:4;37:23;38:19;39:9; 44:9;47:9,10;48:13;	64:14,19;65:6;67:18;	157:13,15;158:12; 161:19;162:7;163:2;	77:4;81:4;85:19;92:13; 93:5,7;98:10;99:16;
notice (7)	50:23;51:20;55:11;	68:12;69:18;74:6,12,24;	164:7,23;166:10;	100:4;101:17;106:22;
25:16;68:11;87:2;	61:20;62:9;75:1,16,24;	76:3,21,22,24;77:4;79:2,	168:18;172:20,21;	107:4.7;119:3,4,7,19;
154:25;155:6;165:5;	76:8,13;77:21;78:7;	13;80:17;81:4;82:7,9,13,	177:11,12,12,16;179:23,	123:6;125:17;135:6;
180:15	80:2,12;86:24;93:14;	23;83:3,12,22;84:5,10;	23;180:11,11;181:24;	138:23;140:24;142:16;
noticed (3)	96:13;100:6,17:101:23,	87:9,9;88:3,18,23;89:12,	182:14;184:8	151:3;153:13,14,18;
15:21;72:15;180:21	24;102:9;103:13;	13,19;91:5,16,24;95:6;	ones (12)	154:2.8;155:6;156:13,
November (8)	118:14;120:1;122:6,19;	99:13,25;100:20;101:1,	7:5;21:24;22:1;27:14,	15;157:5,14,16,20,22;
19:5;32:11;37:2;50:7;	124:18;126:4,13;	17;102:7,14,17;104:23;	17;40:12;44:4,4,20;	158:7,9,11;159:13;
53:4;62:5;105:2;182:1	131:11;133:24;135:1,	105:16.20;106:8,17;	132:19,19,166:2	160:4.24;162:1,19,19;

City of Powell, et al.		, —. —	<u> </u>	November 22, 2010
163:1,2,6;165:5,8,10,12;	50:4;51:9,11,18;52:5;	48:1,22;49:4,9	20:25;78:16;150:13;	14:3,16,22;16:6,7,10;
178:7;180:20,22	61:3;71:1;97:1	performed (2)	169:12;179:23;183:12	21:18;23:1;34:19;37:6;
outline (1)	part (12)	48:23:64:3	placed (6)	42:2,13,17;43:24;45:4,
138:16	17:9;43:16;50 11;	perhaps (2)	8:17;133 14,19;	17;46:14;47:21,22,25;
outside (2)	56:18;57:18;85:24;	109:16;138:12	135:23;172:11;178:13	48:11;49:19;52:23;
19:3;153:16	110:11;111:10,128:23;	perimeter (1)	plaintiff's (2)	54:11;55:24;57:4;58:21,
outstanding (1)	131:2;137:7:1 '7:23	138:10	20:13;144:10	24;59:14;63:13;67:19;
60:22		period (2)		79:12;91:6,6,7;92:19;
over (15)	participate (5) 51:12,18;82:7:152:21;	21:19;48:18	plan (26) 13:7;84:10,12.22,25;	93:25;94:12,24;96:3,7;
	163:23	periodically (1)	86:22;89:9;90:20;91:11,	100:20;101:1;102:17,
11:7;12:12;46:9,21; 48:18;93:12;121:7,8;	participated (1)	81:18	14,16,24;92:7,8,11;93:9,	23;105:19;108:20;
	21:22	person (18)		
132:16;150:18;151:17;	participation (1)	46:4;58:19,20,22;	13,18;94:11;143:10; 146:7,10.14,19.21,24	109:22;111:7,10;120:18, 25;121:14;122:1;
172:11;178:10;181:8;	50:12	70:25;71:1,1;85:6;	planned (2)	123:18.25;126:18:
184:11 overall (2)	particular (3)	116:16,16,22,22,25:	125:3;130:10	132:17;141:13;144:1,
128:5,8	128:10;129:16 130:3	118:1,6;119:2;121:25;	planning (8)	12;145:2;146:11;147:2.
	party (3)	123:8	56:19;82:24;92:15,16,	11;158:8,9;163:22;
oversees (1) 97:21	55:22,23;57:10	personal (3)	22,23;95:7;108:15	167:5;175:9,20
		28:3;73:17;169:15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	policies (3)
overwhelming (2)	pass (1)		plans (2) 92:24;93:2	
127:24;128:23	10:21	personally (4)		30:24;40:5;111:11
own (3)	passes (1)	21:24;22:1;53:24;	plant (4)	policy (51)
129:9;136:19;168:20	70:25	165:17	66:25;72:16;73:1;	25:13,18.23;26:8,19,
oxycodone (3)	past (1)	personnel (7)	183:25	23,23,24;27:6,10;28:3,8,
120:22.24:121:18	122:13 D 4 145	13:22;29:5;131:7;	plants (27)	11,14,16,25;29:5,14,24,
n	Patrol (5)	159:9,20;160:7,21	57:13;71:16,19;73:8;	25;30:3,6,11,17,23;
PP	16:22,23;131:6	persons (3)	74:8,17,25;75:13,19;	31:11,16,19.25;35:4,5,7,
1 (1)	140:24;141:6	69:16;72:7;162:4	76:4,16,18,20;77:6,12,	24;36:1,14,16,18;37:4,
package (1) 118:25	Patterson (15)	persons' (1) 129:10	14,18;78:4,18,22;79:7, 22;114:25;115:12,20;	21;38:2,5,11,16;39:3,15, 18,23;40:10,14,16;
	50:21;51:5,9;81:11, 24;82:3,7,9,13.1-,20,23;	pertains (2)	165:20;183:4	18,23,40.10,14,10,
page (37)	83:10;109:15;110:5	35:25;36:1	play (2)	porch (3)
19:13,14;20:20;22:11,	pay (1)	pertinent (2)	65:13;94:18	141:9;144:2;150:2
15;25:17;26:8;27:4;	155:9	77:19;78:5	pleading (2)	porn (8)
29:4,24;31:15,16;35:4,5,	PD (3)	petty (2)	20:19,23	178:16,18;179:3,6,19;
13;55:16;68:17,17; 71:22;72:1,18;73:4;	52:6;58:8;61:2	8:7,15	Please (7)	180:19,21;181:18
111:13;120:11;126:23,	peace (2)	phone (7)	56:7;76:1;104:21;	pornographic (2)
24;128:12;130:14,18;	13:24;101:17	51:1;82:11;84:18;	105:4;108:7;115:8;	178:13;179:18
143:20;144:11;146:7;	peeped (2)	85:6;151:18,20;152:2	129:6	posed (2)
149:14;158:24;180:14,	123:6;125:17	photo (2)	pled (1)	100:16;101:18
16;181:2	peeper (5)	96:6;164:22	8:10	poses (1)
pages (3)	99:1;118:21;114:9,11,	photograph (1)	plus (3)	123:17
15:16;180:11,14	19	165:7	74:16;85:23;131:18	position (8)
paper (3)	pen (1)	photographs (1)	plywood (1)	10:7,10;16:5,9;46:15;
10:18;138:6;158:5	10:21	126:3	172:11	63:6;141:8;151:14
paragraph (12)	pending (2)	pick (2)	pm (4)	positions (2)
72:20,21;73:6,6;	6:13;180:23	162:13;164:20	32:10;105:2;182:1;	94:16;150:4
108:5;113:17;114:1;	people (8)	picture (3)	185:24	possession (8)
127:1;129:6;130:18;	106:15;115:22;117:5,	95:11;125:19;138:14	point (22)	54:16;57:6,12;60:15;
131:3;146:6	17;119:21;120:24;	pictures (1)	36:5;40:7;65:5;85:2;	66:2,16,18;67:8
paragraphs (1)	130:4;152:24	165:1	96:11:97:15;127:15,17,	possibility (3)
108:4	per (1)	piece (4)	18;133:9;137:18;	80:24;97:2;101:21
paranoid (5)	13:6	10:18;138:6;158:5;	138:20;154:2;156:11;	possible (1)
85:19;99:1,15;116:22;	percent (1)	172:11	157:8;162:24;163:2,5;	29:7
125:17	17:13	pieces (1)	164:7;166:5,10;183:15	POST (14)
paraphernalia (1)	percentage (1)	126:12	pointed (3)	13:8,9;14:5,17,23;
60:16	17:10	P1ER (3)	152:10,13;176:24	15:3,4,10,18;41:2,9,16,
Pardon (1)	perception (1)	52:21,24;129:19	pointing (3)	20,24
75:6	170:2	pillow (1)	124:9;173:22;176:10	pot (1)
parents (2)	perceptions (1)	155:6	points (1)	184:1
106:1,14	169:7	pills (4)	87:12	potential (1)
Paris (1)	perfect (1)	85:21;120:13;121:12.	Police (83)	29:6
12:10	106:5	16	9:12,18,21,23;10:4,8,	potentially (1)
Park (8)	perform (4)	place (6)	0.10:11:15:12:1.4:13:1:	

Park (8)

perform (4)

place (6)

39:1

9,19;11:15;12:1,4:13:1;

City of Powell, et al.	ı· — — — — —			November 22, 2010
potting (2)	problems (2)		134:5,14;182:25	58:14;146:2
66:24;184:1	5:22;6:23	Q	reasonable (2)	refers (3)
Powell (49)	procedure (2)	¥	38:25;98:16	25:17;26:8;30:7
6:18;9:9,10,12,15,18,	5:11;103:13	qualifies (1)	reasonably (1)	reflect (1)
20,21,22,23;10:4.8,18;	procedures (6)	13:2	129:8	15:17
11:7,10,15,25;12:4;13:1;		quantities (1)	reasons (3)	reflected (3)
14:16,22;21:18;42:2,13,		119:25	102:11;113:18;157:14	13:22;41:9,10
17;43:24;45:17;46:14;	proceed (1)	quantity (1)	recall (6)	reflection (1)
47:20,22,25;48:11;52:2,	93:10	76:5	82:8,18;93:5;107:14;	18:14
14.21,23;53:17;55:24;	Proceedings (1)	quick (1)	123:2;136:8	refrain (1)
57:3,59:14;91:6;108:20;	185:23	90:16	receive (1)	104:21
111:7,10;132:17;	procured (1)	quickly (3)	9:3	regard (6)
141:24;167:5;175:9.20	45:6	140:18,19;152:24	received (8)	17:16;43:23;47:8;
power (2)	produce (1)	quite (1)	9:1;15:21;18:1;38:9;	48:9;54:10;57:5
93:12;166:2	177:15	163:7	42:1;93:8;108:9,11	regarding (8)
precautions (2)	produced (5)	quote (1)	receiving (2)	30:11;35:7;38:3,11;
108:13,15	18:24;19:10;2(:12;	47:23	39:16,18	73:13;93:2;111:11;
prefer (1)	56:5;177:9		Recess (4)	126:3
120:6	professional (1)	R	19:4;32:10;105:1;	regret (1)
preparation (1)	56:7		181:25	184:10
67:15	program (7)	raid (2)	recognize (1)	regrets (1)
prepare (1)	34:15,16;43:7.8;	140:25;141:1	62:13	185:17
92:7	159:10,21;160 8	ram (2)	recognized (5)	regretted (1)
prepared (5)	programs (2)	146:12;148:1	14:5,17,22;15:5;62:16	166:15
88:11;92:8:144:4,7;	21:21;47:16	rammed (4)	recollect (1) 74:11	regular (1) 48:1
175:21	progress (1) 81:21	98:18;141:17;147:22;	recollection (2)	related (4)
prescription (2) 119:25;121:24	property (2)	148:1	90:3;150:12	22:9,21;62:17;105:16
presence (8)	61:22;62:8	range (6)	record (29)	relates (4)
29:7;86:15;141:10;	prosecutor (1)	42:4;43:5,20,21;	6:7;16:12;20:11;21:5;	29:25;30:12;37:21;
171:7,12,13,20,21	81:13	57:21;132:21	35:23;41:16.20;44:6.21;	38:4
present (4)	protection (1)	rapid (5)	54:13;56:9:58:11,16,19,	relating (1)
130:24;133:2;164:12;	60:19	38:7,20,24;39:1;	20,22;75:10;105:5;	30:3
172:22	protective (1)	128:21	108:6;113 12;120:7;	relation (1)
pretend (1)	140:22	rather (1)	129:6;130:20;151:1;	84:1
24:24	protocol (1)	101:15	161:9:167:8;179:15;	relationship (4)
pretense (1)	106:7	react (1) 139:9	181:15,16	23:13;62:24;63:1;
98:10	provide (4)	read (23)	recording (1)	157:13
pretenses (2)	52:3;60:10;107 4;	35:22;37:13,14;38:3;	164:11	relative (1)
61:22;62:8	168:16	69:24,24;70:2;72:2;	recordkeeping (3)	16:13
pretty (3)	provided (6)	104:16;105:3,5:108:6;	13:8,11:57:19	relatives (2)
98:16;141:23;151:21	60:22;69:4,15;70:10;	109:19;110:8;113:11,	records (17)	105:21,106:14
preview (1)	73:13;120:4	12;128:13;129:6;	15:11;21:17;32:15;	relevance (3)
30:22	provides (1)	130:19;131:2;158:19;	37:1;41:9,25;44:12;	7:10;126:5;169:8
previously (1)	70:22	182:6,7	45:25;46:5,16,17,23;	relevant (6)
41:1	providing (1)	reading (2)	47:15;48:3.6,7;54:19	18:24;33:3,21;39:14;
primary (2)	60:4	37:17;147:15	rectangular (1)	41:1;179:8
127:5,10	public (1)	ready (2)	151:7	reliability (1)
Principles (1)	105:20	161:5;184:5	refer (3)	63:10
128:12	pull (2)	real (2)	41:25;54:5:149:10	reliable (1)
prior (4)	26:23;56:10	100:14;104:13	reference (13)	103:17
116:5;142:4;143:25;	pulled (2)	realistic (1)	27:10;57:3:60:16;	remain (1)
149:20	20:10,11	128:17	68:20;70:4;72:25;78:21;	14:3
probable (5)	pursuant (2)	realize (2)	90:11,22,25;107:19;	remains (2)
179:21;180:7,8,12;	20:12;103:4	66:14;183:15	109:1;120:9	121:22;168:7
181:22	put (15)	really (11)	referenced (3)	remarks (1)
Probably (11)	49:3;63:6;77:8,11,14;	6:7;34:24;63:6;69:8;	37:4;71:16,19	151:11
17:13;23:20;68:17;	84:10,25;137:1,138:10;	89:1;104:19;115:4;	references (1)	remember (50)
95:20;117:7;118:7;	139:1;149:20,24; 50:6,	117:11;141:23;153:14;	28:12	23:17;40:2,11;43:10;
121:21;132:13,23;	12;179:3	172:22	referred (2)	69:17;75:9;79:5;82:21;
178:5;181:21	putting (1)	reason (11)	40:15;146:1	89:2,3,5,6;94:19,21;
problem (1)	179:18	63:2,8,18;71:11,13;	referring (5)	95:13,23;98:23;109:20;
6:5		100:14;104:13;125:12;	33:2;47:19;57:4;	110:3,10;123:1;138:3;
		·	_	

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
142.22.142.0 14 16.	Formost (1)	141-11-143-1 10-144-7	rules (1)	172:12
142:23;143:9,14,16; 144:14,17;146:19,24;	respect (1) 20:1	141:11;143:1,19;144:7, 10;146:24;147:4,10,20,	rules (1) 129:20	se (1)
	respond (4)		ruling (3)	13:6
148:17;150:19;153:15, 20,21;154:9,13;157:20;	38:13,18;39:7.12	25;148:21,24;150:21,23; 151:5,16,21;152:1,7,17;	56:2,5,11	seal (1)
	Response (11)		run (2)	56:21
158:15;161:21;162:12; 165:18;172:5,9,20,22,	16:22,23;37:2 21;	156:9;157:24;159:7; 161:22;164:21;165:1;	59:4;115:17	sealed (1)
23;173:11;183:17;	38:3;40:15,16 52:22:	169:14;170:9;171:9,11,	running (4)	56:25
185:19	111:6,11,19	17;172:8,10;178:21;	34:20;100:8;110:16;	sealing (1)
removed (2)	responses (3)	179:15;182:5;183:19;	140:17	56:19
158:13;163:19	20:13,15;121:5	184:2,8,22;185:20	ruse (1)	search (61)
report (35)	responsibilities (1)	right-hand (2)	107:7	10:13;23:20;25:6,10;
11:9,11,23;12:5;	47:8	25:16;26:7	107.7	26:8;27:10,10,15,16,20;
55:17;59:3,5;61:9;	responsible (6)	rights (3)	S	28:10,12,17,25;29:6,7,8,
69:18,25;75:21,22;76:4;	44:12;46:5,23 171:5,	171:6,19,23		23;32:17;37:1;65:15,21,
77:5,12;81:19;90:10,11;	18;177:21	ring (1)	SA (1)	25;68:2,5;74:15,20;
108:3;109:5,6,12.19;	rest (1)	179:4	59:15	75:12;77:15,19;78:6;
110:8;123:2;146:22,23;	166:19	ripped (1)	safe (1)	84:24;87:19,23;91:9;
147:15;177:22,24;	restraining (1)	135:17	38:7	95:7;99:24;101:5;
178:24;180:13,16;	8:17	rising (1)	safely (1)	102:20;103:4;108:13;
181:23;182:6	retained (1)	125:5	105:22	121:15;123:24;124:12,
reported (3)	168:16	risk (2)	safer (1)	20,25;128:10;136:14,16;
53:9;68:12;99:15	return (1)	27:17;38:25	103:13	141:13;143:10;144:13;
reporter (3)	178:4	risks (3)	safest (1)	145:3;146:11,25;
6:7;25:22;113:10	returned (2)	127:16;128:3,4	103:3	147:11;153:1;163:23;
Reporting (6)	68:6;89:13	Riverton (2)	Safety (9)	164:1;172:1;181:23
55:22,23;57:10;61:7;	review (3)	65:25;97:21	29:5;103:24;104:4,7,	Searched (2)
81:17,20	26:24;39:17;40:5	rode (1)	9;121:13;127:5;128:5,8	164:5;169:22
reports (4)	reviewed (1)	81:8	sale (4)	searcher (1)
11:25;77:1,3;88:8	74:19	role (2)	71:9;75:15;76:6,7	164:3
represent (5)	reviewing (1)	164:1,2	same (15)	searching (2)
19:9;120:18;143:22;	39:23	roles (1)	17:15,17;29:3;34:6;	153:16;155:14
178:25;179:9	rifles (5)	94:17	40:5;43:17;47:10;59:2;	second (14)
represented (1)	103:7;173:23;174:5,	roll (1)	75:21;91:14;118:14;	29:4;72:20,21;73:5;
121:25	20;176:10	22:22	132:23;141:11;150:4;	76:19;94:22;99:5;
reputable (1)	right (160)	rolled (1)	170:16	113:25;143:20;149:14;
101:17	8:13,16;11:14;12:3,8,	118:24	save (1)	179:23;180:14.16;181:2
request (1)	14,17;13:20;14:25;21:6;	room (22)	179:20	secondary (1)
20:14	22:24;23:13,16:24:3;	24:18;32:25,25;38:17;	saving (1)	153:1
requested (2)	25:20;26:14;27:2,8,19;	44:8,23;45:16;46:24;	39:2	seconds (4)
105:6;113:13	28:8;29:13,21;30:19;	130:4,5,7,9;133:14,19,	saw (15)	115:3,12;139:18;
require (2)	31:18,21;32:7;36:8,12,	23;137:10;149:7;	68:5;95:19;135:16,16;	140:3
29:9;130:25	25;39:6;40:20;42 11,17;	154:22;161:16;162:20;	142:15;148:11,21,21;	section (6)
required (6)	43:6,11,22;44:2.;45:8,	164:7;175:4	150:14;151:17;155:14;	25:15,17;31:13;36:15,
5:14,18;12:21;13:3;	12;46:2,10,22;53:10,12;	rooms (3)	165:9;168:7;172:2;	18;37:4
14:2;66:18	55:15;56:14,22; 57:11;	149:15,17;164:6	176:23	secured (3)
requirements (3)	59:12,13;63:7;6::1,21;	roots (3) 66:23,25;67:1	saying (2)	156:25;162:23,24
12:18;13:4,5	65:14;67:21;68:::;69:1; 70:4;71:7,14;72 13;		142:23;143:14	seedlings (1) 74:16
rescue (1) 130:22	73:12,16;74:18; '5:8;	roster (2) 13:12;15:1	scare (1) 86:1	seeing (4)
residence (18)	79:1,13,20;80:16;81:3,	rotating (1)	scared (1)	106:21;138:3:153:21;
48:23;72:4,15;73:10;	23;83:21;85:2;9(:9,22;	157:12	85:24	154:9
74:20;75:13;78:19;79:7;	91:10,15,19;92:(,14;	roughly (1)	scenario (1)	seems (1)
91:25;136:18;138:11;	93:7;95:18,25;9(:5;	91:13	126:8	183:17
142:16;145:10;157:16,	97:1,13;99:4;101:15;	rounds (2)	scenarios (4)	seizure (1)
21;158:1;160:25;169:22	102:13,22;104:4; 06:5;	35:18,20	17:8,10;23:2;110:16	68:6
residents (2)	107:2;108:2;109.1;	routes (1)	school (11)	selection (1)
103:25;128:5	112:4,7;113:5,10,17;	38:7	8:22,23;9:1.3;45:9,9,	52:25
resistance (3)	114:12;116:1;11 1:24;	Roy (1)	10,11,11;46:25,25	self-defense (1)
29:6,8;112:2	119:2,23;120:24;121:6;	11:16	Schwan's (1)	22:25
resistant (1)	122:11;123:3;124:15;	RP (2)	10:3	self-employed (1)
	,,,,			
	126:22:128:23:1:-3:4	55:19.19	scorch (3)	10:4
95:13	126:22;128:23;1:3:4, 10:134:22;135:10;	55:19,19 rule (4)	scorch (3) 156:1.2:172:4	16:4 self-protection (1)
	126:22;128:23;11:3:4, 10;134:22;135:10; 137:18;138:5;131:12;	55:19,19 rule (4) 105:19,24.25;106:4	scorch (3) 156:1,2;172:4 screwed (1)	self-protection (1) 23:1

spoken (2) 137:1 sell (2) 153:7;162:13;172:10 seven (1) 85:3;89:20 74:3:80:7 145:7 simulated (1) sometime (3) spontaneous (1) 53:10;91:8;93:23 selling (1) Several (4) 44:4 66:13:87:2,4:154:6 simultaneously (2) somewhere (6) 162:16 80:11 108:16.21 97:16:122:22:137:1: Springs (1) semiautomatic (2) shade (2) Simunitions (1) 157:11:182:7:183:18 97:22 103:7;127:23 135:16.17 Shall (1) 42:3 son (7) squad (1) sending (1) site (1) 63:23,25 65:1;95:21; 12:8 20:21 16:14 95:11 100:16;101:2;107:4 squads (1) sends (1) shape (1) 15:3 151:7 sitting (1) Sonora (2) 12:6 148:22 Sr (1) sense (3) sheaf (1) 16:7,7 107:4 106:18;126:20;145:25 19:7 situation (15) son's (2) Sheridan (1) 34:17;39:7;63:11; 100:21;109:18 stairs (18) sent (3) 98:24:177:13:178:23 17:5 101:20;104:10,11; soon (2) 115:17;154:22; sentence (2) sheriff's (3) 111:20:112:8:113:6: 138:23;147:22 166:14,18,21;167:12,13; 50:5,6;51:23 118:24;127:7,9,22; sorry (12) 168:12;174:12,19,21; 72:18;73:7 shield (10) 152:23,25 10:23;27:12;32:14; 175:13,24;176:3,11,18; sentences (1) 184:20;185:4 166:6,15:169:22; situations (4) 65:9;67:25;71:24;72:20; 108:6 17:7;34:18;39:12; stamp (1) separate (2) 170:10;173:14 19; 98:5;144:7;172:7;177:4; 19:14 36:15;40:7 175:13,25;176 3,17 108:20 182:12 stamped (1) shift (1) six (6) sort (1) September (2) 29:5;73:8;74:25; 32:15 20:7 15:20:17:2 53:10 78:17,18,18 sound (1) stand (1) Sergeant (45) shooter (1) 175:21 38:18 Six-foot (1) 98:16 10:11,12;11:16,16; 32:14;46:2,13,20,20,22; short (3) 135:10 Southside (1) standard (2) 48:4;72:22;81:21;84:12, 108:5;154:3,4 size (1) 45:11 110:15,18 74:5 standing (6) 19;85:3;88:21;89:14,25; shortly (1) spare (6) skilled (1) 13:24;134:22;148:11, 91:11;94:23;96:1,6; 80:17 152:18;153:10; 23:161:20:176:24 161:25;162:2,3;164:8 shot (1) 131:6 108:3;109:5,10;126:2; stands (1) sloppy (1) 131:19;133:16;148:22; 23:11 speak (4) 43:21 143:24 151:3:152:9.15:156:7. shotgun (5) 69:8;82:13;89:12;92:2 35:18,19,25;36 2,3 slow (1) special (9) start (5) 12,13,19,20,24;157:11, 29:9,14,16;47:23; 8:19:16:14:58:12: 11;161:5,17;176:23; show (6) 127:4 59:10;74:10;84 7; small (3) 49:20,21;50:1;52:17; 139:25;180:8 184:25 sergeants (4) 127:24;128:23;179:16 6:5;76:11,16 118:11 started (10) 10:8;40:24:41:17; 11:3,14:12:5,15 shower (3) smell (1) specialized (1) 155:7,8,10 155:15 49:16 42:16;48:19;96:22,24; sergeant's (2) showing (1) smoke (3) 139:18;140:7;161:5 47:12,14 specially (2) starts (1) serve (14) 20:17 155:15,17,18 52:15,18 49:17;65:4;80:24; shown (1) smoked (3) specific (14) 108:8 61:8 70:6,13:72:3 22:6;30:25;31:23; State (6) 84:24;100:25;101:5; smoldering (1) 102:20;103:18;110:19; sic (1) 32:5;37:20:38:14;44:3; 14:14;57:20;66:15; 71:5;171:9,11 124:20,25;136:14,16; 67:22 155:1 45:24;119:24;122:13, sick (1) snorting (1) 17;123:4;164:2;165:19 stated (8) 143:10 22:4 specifically (25) 72:2,14;159:18;160:6; served (5) 120:13 21:22;22:9,21;23:21; 180:18,19.20;181:17 side (2) social (1) 65:24;74:15;91:8; 25:17;149:22 24:1,14;28:17;29:1; 95:11 statement (10) 93:24;173:3 siding (1) 30:4,12;32:20:33:2; 40:13;43:7;73:5; service (18) **soil** (2) 12:10;25:11;30:18,25; 172:12 66:24;184:1 35:16;38:4,16;41:25; 79:21,23:122:21: sign (1) sold (2) 46:24;47:15;89:6;93:5, 130:19;147:10,14; 31:1.19.25:32:16:33:17: 36:19;51:19;53:1;64:3; 67:22 68:21;69:2 18;110:4;114:21; 181:16 144:15:173 11 94:17;114:20;116:2; signed (5) sole (1) statements (1) 67:18;68:2,2;69:25; 49:19 specifics (1) 73:15 172:19;184:10 states (1) 92:13 75:22 somebody (15) serving (12) speculate (1) 160:20 significant (2) 37:9;55:9;59:10; 63:4;106:10,11,13,15; 126:16 95:16;128:7 70:22,23;74:2;98:10,24; statewide (1) 107:15;114:16;123:18, 101:1;115:1;124:9,11; speed (1) 24;124:12;146:25;173:8 signs (3) 61:11 session (1) 165:6,8,12 152:19;153:8;161:21 128:15 station (14) 133:1 sill (1) somebody's (1) spelled (1) 91:7;92:19;94:12,24; sessions (1) 142:1 86:3 151:3 95:4:96:3.7:109:22: 136:10,14,16;144:1; 48:10 simply (7) someone (9) spoke (5) 39:23;40:9;101:21; 54:25;102:16;103:16; 64:14;82:20,22;89:14; 158:8.9 set (2) 102:6;103:15;106:20; 108:14;120:17;125:4; 92:4 status (1) 138:24;170:4

Case 1:10-cv-00041-ABJ Document 65-5 Filed 01/10/11 Page 65 of 67 Tricia Wachsmuth v.
City of Powell, et al. Alan Kent November 22, 2010

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
107:5	113:23;118:12	84:3;85:3,5,11;95:19;	5:2;126:1;169:17,24;	172:14
statute (2)	summary (1)	96:1,17;99:25;100:15;	170:1,18	thoughts (2)
57:20;71:5	15:3	103:25;156:12;176:9;	testify (1)	106:19,21
statutes (1)	supervisor (7)	181:24;182:19,21,24;	168:20	tbreat (26)
67:5	11:4,18,21;12 9;	184:24,25	testimony (18)	37:2,5,20;38:3,12,13;
stay (2)	45:25;98:1,6	talking (20)	54:19;60 22;83:16;	40:15,16;100:16;107:5;
72:4;151:14	supplied (1)	19:25;20:22;24:3,4;	101:25;103:22;107:12;	112:4,8,23,24;113:5;
	14:15	38:10,13;48:10;59:12;	112:20;115:11;125:8,9;	114:17;118:7;120:18;
stays (1) 110:2	support (2)	85:15;92:17;96:22;	126:3;132:3;146:18;	121:13;122:1;124:6;
Steve (2)	52:4;121:24	98:23;99:11;104:17;	161:15;167:24;174:23;	128:8,17,17;129:1;
97:9,25	suppose (2)	110:11;112:15,16;	176:22;185:9	130:12
still (5)	47:7;121:16	123:4;127:12;137:25	THC (1)	threatened (4)
	,		67:1	104:10;113:21;116:1;
51:17;85:7;153:22;	sure (22)	talks (2) 38:6,20		123:7
181:6;183:15	14:11;24:11;29:21;		theft (2)	
Stop (2)	34:12;35:5;45:23;68:14;	tall (3)	8:7,15	threats (1)
22:23;99:4	71:10;101:4;102:2;	73:9;135:9;165:22	third (2)	112:2
stove (3)	104:25;119:15:127:20;	taser (5)	26:13;165:10	three (13)
115:21,22,24	129:8,8;133:22;142:8;	33:20;34:3;35:1;	Thirteen (1) 71:24	9:24;11:3;66:21,22;
stoves (1)	143:24;148:10 153:1;	36:13,16		74:16;108:4;128:13;
115:23	176:16;180:3	tasers (1)	Thirty-seven (1)	132:12,14;133:7;
straightened (1)	Surprise (1)	33:19	18:22	161:24;162:4;180:11
21:8	128:15	task (2) 148:14;157:10	Thomas (1)	threw (2) 43:5,11
strange (1)	surprised (1)		8:23	
100:23	141:21	taught (1)	THOMPSON (128)	throw (11)
Street (3)	surveillance (1)	17:3	21:1;23:5;24:10;25:5;	44:5;115:16,19,19,22,
6:18;34:21;138:11	17:6	team (48)	26:17;31:2;32:4;33:4,	23;137:9,14,20;160:20;
strike (2)	Suspect (7)	29:9,10,14,16;41:6;	25;36:22;37:23;38:19;	178:3
14:8;76:19	58:2;59:14,22;60:2;	45:24;47:18,18,20,23;	39:10,20;40:1;41:14;	throwing (1)
studying (1)	127:11;128:18; 29:2	48:1,21;49:2,6,8,16,18,	44:9;45:20;47:4,9;	148:12
40:9	suspected (1)	20,22,22;50:2,4,12,13;	48:13;49:1,13,25;50:25;	thrown (5)
stuff (6)	64:25	51:11,18;52:22;82:7;	51:20;54:20;55:5,11;	132:11,19,19;133:6,7
41:5,8;115:5;153:2;	suspects (2)	83:11,13;97:20;109:17;	56:9,23;57:14,17;61:1,	Tim (6)
163:4;176:5	34:20;38:8	130:22,23;133:4,5;	20,24;62:9;63:16;64:6;	182:19,20,22,22,24;
style (2)	SWAT (20)	139:4,23;140:1,6,13;	65:18;67:23;70:21;	183:2
125:21;141:23	48:20;49:2,6,8,16,22;	149:23,24;150:6;	72:10,23;73:25;75:1,16,	timeframe (1)
subdue (1)	50:4,12,13;51:11,18; 52:3,9;82:7;83:11,13;	152:24;166:19;177:19. 23	24;76:8,13 77:21;78:7;	157:3
36:5			80:2,12;83:2,17;86:24;	times (10)
subject (5)	109:17;130:21,23,25	teams (1)	87:22;89:24;93:14;	33:19;40:4;91:14;
36:5;59:15;110:9,11;	SWAT-type (4)	130:25	96:13;98:3;99:20;100:6,	108:19;132:5,12,14,16;
129:8	82:24;83:4,22,24	Technical (1)	17;101:23;102:1,9;	133:7;163:7
submit (1)	sworn (1)	18:2	103:19,21;104:24;	tip (1)
13:7	5:2	technicians (1)	105:9;107:13;112:12;	106:14
submitted (4)	synonymous (2)	164:23	113:2;114:10;117:3,15;	tiptoes (1)
18:12;20:6,8,16	117:21,23	technology (1)	120:1;122:6,19;123:22;	141:25
subparagraph (2)	system (1) 57:19	159:1 telling (11)	124:18;125:10;126:4,10,	title (2) 24:17;32:22
114:2;126:23	37.19	44:19;72:5;75:10;	13;131:11;132:4;	-
substance (1) 120:17	T	89:2,3,5:92:21;95:23;	133:24;134:9,17;135:1, 24;137:5,13;139:21;	today (4) 5:5,11;6:21;112:20
substances (3)	<u> </u>	101:4,15;175:19	142:6,22;143:3;146:17;	together (11)
57:22;120:13.14	Tactical (3)	ten (3)	149:10;151:1,6;159:22;	41:6,8;45:23;48:21;
substantive (1)	16:23;84:25;132:1	7:20;157:2;163:14	160:1,12;161:1;166:8,	49:4;58:13:81:8;84:10,
126:7	Tactics (6)	ten-year-old (1)	23,25;167:15,25;168:14,	25;125:22;173:2
successfully (2)	16:17;29:15;41:17;	143:2	24;169:14;170:7;	toilet (3)
159:10,20	42:16;111:6;129 4	Teri (2)	173:15,24;174:7,14,24;	115:3,12;165:25
suction (1)	talk (12)	11:19,20	175:13,24,174.7,14,24,	toilets (1)
166:2	33:15;40:8;53:3;	term (2)	183:11;184:14;185:8	166:1
sued (1)	83:21;84:1;98:15,15,23;		though (12)	told (42)
178:7	100:12;110:22;113:25;	terminology (1)	28:5;50:21;60:17;	40:2;44:17;50:13;
sufficient (1)	156:16	37:6	63:8;66:3,24;80:7;	51:2;62:14,19;64:19;
76:5	talked (31)	terms (5)	109:13;134:12;144:23;	65:10;74:11,21,23;
suggested (1)	27:14;40:25;41:20,22;	29:6;63:9;101:11;	146:22;160:17	76:22,22,24;77:6,24;
109:15	44:20;46:24;51:1,15,23;	169:8;176:10	thought (4)	78:22;79:6,21;83:11;
Suicide (2)	80:17;82:11;83:13,24;	testified (6)	66:9;132:15:137:22;	84:23;87:9,10;88:24,25;
Saleide (2)	30.17,02.11,03.17,24,	(0)	30.7,132.13.137.122,	31123,0117,10,00124,23,

89:2,6,21,25;90:1,5;
95:25;97:5,11,15;
119:11;132:15;151:18,
20;157:22;175:3;178:21
Tom (28)
62:17,20,25;63:2;
64:2;65:3;95:20;96:17;
97:2,10;98:3,5,6,20;
99:25;101:16,22;102:6;
103:15;109:16,20;110:2,
6,9;143:17;156:16;
157:13;172:14
Tom's (5)
65:1;97:9;104:1;
106:3;156:13
took (10)
17:1;18:15;46:20;
79:2;121:2,17,18;
129:16;158:7,11
tools (1)
35:2
top (4)
16:14;22:22;46:9;
159:7
Torczon (1)
107:23
toss (1)
44:8
total (3)
14:18;35:13;132:12
totaled (1)
183:5
totality (2)
118:16;121:8
touch (1)
149:21
tough (2)
99:1;100:12
77.1.100.12
towards (7)
towards (7)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15,
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15,
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20,
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18:20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18:20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18:20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124)
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6; 14:3,4,5,10,14,15,21,23;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6; 14:3,4,5,10,14,15,21,23; 15:1,3,10,17,19,22;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6; 14:3,4,5,10,14,15,21,23; 15:1,3,10,17,19,22;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18:20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6; 14:3,4,5,10,14,15,21,23; 15:1,3,10,17,19,22; 16:12,19,21;17:21;
towards (7) 14:18;15:12,13;38:5; 72:21;120:25;146:6 track (1) 46:15 tracking (1) 123:4 traditional (1) 127:4 traffic (3) 7:3,16;8:4 train (3) 14:12;45:23;47:25 trained (25) 42:9,12,25;44:3,4,25; 45:4,5,5,9,10,11;52:15, 18,20,22;100:19;108:20, 24;130:21;159:15; 166:20;167:13;174:5,20 training (124) 12:18,23,25;13:2,2,6; 14:3,4,5,10,14,15,21,23; 15:1,3,10,17,19,22;

J-CV-00041-ABJ D
22:5,20,25;24:5;26:13, 25;27:22;28:17 19;29:1, 15,24;30:5,7,13,25; 31:24;32:15,1 ¹¹ ,20,25; 33:3;34:8,9,15,37:21; 38:4,5,9,14;39 7,11,14, 16,18;40:3,4,8.9,11,21, 23,24;41:11;42:1,3,4; 43:4,16,17,23;-14:6,15; 45:7,15,16,22,2;4,25; 46:6;47:16;48:3,6,10; 52:24;106:16;108:12; 111:6,12:113:16;129:12, 15,16,18,19,22 23; 130:25;131:6,8,13,16, 18,25;132:18,21;133:1; 137:7,19,24;138:2,4; 159:10,20;160:8;176:15 trainings (4) 26:22;46:23;48 12,16 transported (1) 163:22
treated (1)
97:6 Tricia (37)
68:21;69:2;70:€,9,17; 72:3,6;143:18;148:21; 150:13;151:2,1,17; 152:5,10,13;15::22; 154:9,14,22;161:17; 163:19;165:13;166:5; 167:12,21;173:13;174:5, 12,19;175:12,24; 76:10, 16;184:18,20;185:4 trigger (1) 121:5 truck (1)
10:2 Trucking (1)
9:24 true (12) 12:14;75:21,22;78:11; 112:7;121:3;122:18; 124:5;138:1;145-8; 176:12,18 trusted (1)
103:17 truth (1)
7:5
truthful (2) 6:20,24 truthfully (1) 5:19
try (4) 6:8;114:12;141:25;
170:6 trying (3) 65:3;105:23;115:8
turn (7) 15:8;21:15;25:14
37:3;88:10;110:24; 182:9

turned (2)

90:24;126:17 TW (1) 151:2 two (19) 15:16;17:21;27:14; 43:19;53:8;60:21;108:4, 5;126:12;132:12,14; 133:7;157:15;161:24; 162:3;165:23:180:7,12, 14 type (2) 95:12;115:24 types (3) 38:8;130:23;131:4 typical (2) 115:20;140:23
unable (1) 6:7 uncleared (3) 167:22:168:12;185:5 under (17) 5:19;13:25;20:8;29:5, 23;44:17;57:25;85:23; 113:17;114:2;129:4; 130:18;136:1;153:2; 160:19,19;170:11 underage (1) 58:5 underhand (1) 160:20 underneath (4) 11:4;135:20;136:5; 144:24
understood (2) 71:7;178:15 unit (5) 49:16;52:15,17,18,19 unknown (1) 183:1 unless (5) 5:14;104:12;118:11; 147:4,5 unquote (1) 47:23 unreasonably (1) 104:20 up (41)
5:24;6:6:25:2;27:7; 32:15;46:19;56:10;61:8; 70:7;76:18;84:7;94:22; 96:10;110:6,10;112:4; 114:19;115:17;127:10. 19;24;128:9;133:9; 137:16;22;138:24; 139:7;140:16;143:24; 144:2;145:14;150:3; 151:20;152:2,18;157:8;

27:5
upgrade (1)
66:18
upgraded (1)
183:7
upper (1)
94:15
use (39)
6:8;14:14,15;23:22;
29:9,14;34:3,6;36:19;
43:9,20;58:16;73:18;
83:13,22;85:9;109:17;
65.15,22,65.9,109.17,
112:2;123 6,15;124:12;
129:25;130:2,10;
146:12;159:10,15,21;
160:8,19;167:11;
168:13;169:21,21;170:9,
11;175:24;176:3,17
used (27)
7:23;33:12,20;34:16,
18,19,20,20,25;35:1,2;
36:23;43:3;65:14,20;
124:2,3,5;125:18;129:7;
130:3;145:22;159:8,19;
160:7:166 6;173:14
using (8)
5:11;42:25;80:24;
107:7;108:16,21;
119:25;120:10
usually (1)
usually (1)
45 14
45:14
utilize (1)
utilize (1) 113:19
utilize (1)
utilize (1) 113:19 V
utilize (1) 113:19 V vacation (1)
vacation (1) 22:4
utilize (1) 113:19 V vacation (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20;
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19 violates (1)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19 violates (1) 56:2
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19 violates (1) 56:2 violation (6)
vacation (1) 22:4 ventured (1) 56:6 verbal (1) 13:14 Vest (5) 22:23;95:13;125:20; 140:23,25 vests (1) 141:2 veto (1) 93:12 video (1) 22:23 viewed (1) 138:2 violate (3) 56:5;171:6,19 violates (1) 56:2

violence (12)

129:14,17 updates (1)

27:5

99:7,16;100:5;116:9,
12;117:22;123:7;124:6
128:15,16,17,21
violent (24)
100:21;101:2;116:16,
22,25;117:5,8,9,12,18
118:1.6;119:3;120:18,
25;121:3,5,17,19,25;
122:9,13,17;123:7
visit (5)
5:6;93:21;96:5;99:13;
103:17
volunteer (1)
99:2
V-shaped (1)
156:1
W

Wachsmuth (119) 10:13:19:20:33:11: 36:19;48:23;49:10;53:1, 6;57:9;60:4,23;62:13,18, 20,25;63:3,11;64:2; 68:21;69:2,15;70:18; 72:6;73:17;74:20;75:13; 79:7;80:10,18:82:24; 83:23;84:22;85:16; 86:22;87:8;88:4,17,24; 89:21;90:24;91:25; 92:15;95:12;97:3;98:3; 99:7,9;100:4;101:16,18, 22;102:6;103:15; 105:17;106:22;107:3; 109:16,21;110:2,6,9; 116:2,4,25;117:25; 119:7,23,24;120:9: 121:11,23;122:12,17; 123:5,10;126:2:136:18; 138:11;144:1;148:22; 150:13;151:2,12;152:5, 10,13;153:22;154:9,14, 22;156:16;157:16,21; 158:1;160:25:161:17; 163:19;165:13;166:6; 167:12,21;173:13;174:6, 12,19;175:13,24;176:11,

18;180:17,18;181:17; 185:4 Wachsmuths (2) 70:14;120:12 Wachsmuths' (1) 71:8 Wachsmuth's (5) 76:11;98:1,5,6;157:5 wait (3) 103:3;115:1;145:5 walk (1)

16;178:4,16,18;179:1,2,

140:19

walked (6) 148:19;150:11;

174:20;183:5

updated (2)

162:13;164:20;166:2;

151:16;154:8;162:19;

City of Powell, et al.				November 22, 2010
162.1	26.7.125.21.176.24	16 19 24.171.9 12 14.	110.15.120.2.122.8.21.	
163:1	36:7;125:21;176:24	16,18,24;171:8,12,14;	119:15;120:3;122:8,21;	
walking (2)	weapons (8)	173:16:174:1,13,22;	123:23;124:9,20;	
140:17;150:20	29:9;35:20;38 8;	175:15;176:6,19,21;	125:11;126:15;131:13;	
wall (1)	127:23;130:13;166:20;	179:5,9,13,20,23;180:1,	132:5;134:1,10,18;	
172:4	167:13;176:15	3;181:6,10;183:9;	135:4;136:1;137:14;	
walls (1)	wear (2)	184:12;185:6,9	138:17;139:3,22;	
135:18	140:23;141:5	what's (7)	140:10;142:8,23;143:5,	
Walters (1)	wearing (6)	19:21;49:8;97:24;	6;146:19:149:2,18;	
67:21	95:13;125:20; 40:22,	101:4,13;143:6;145:25	150:1,8,15;151:8;	
warehouse (1)	25;141:1,3	whatsoever (1)	160:13;161:3;166:10;	
10:3	website (2)	116:5	167:2,18;168:3,17;	
waruing (5)	95:19,21	whenever (1)	171:15;173:18;174:2,8,	
158:4,16,25;159:18;	week (2)	25:1	15;175:16;176:2,20,23;	
160:5	180:21,21	whereas (1)	179:9;180:2;183:12,23;	
W-A-R-R (1)	week-long (1)	34:17	184:3,15;185:10	
60:13	17:5	whole (13)	witnessed (1)	
warrant (82)	weighing (2)	35:24;36:1;38:5;	169:16	
10:13;25:10;27:16;	109:7;183:4	57:21;85:6;92:4;94:2;	witnesses (2)	
29:8;30:18,24;31:1,19,	weight (5)	96:21,25;118:24;130:6;	104:21;168:19	
25;32:16;33:11,17;	66:13,16,17;182:25;	149:7;150:20	wood (4)	
36:19;51:19;53:1,6,8;	183:20	whose (1)	115:21,22,23,24	
58:7,8;60:14,18;63:4;	weren't (15)	171:21	word (5)	
65:4,15;68:3,6;74:15;	51:24,63:1,66: ;,67:2;	widely (2)	37:15,15,17,18;58:16	
75:12;77:15,19;78:6,23;	79:1;98:17;100 13;	185:3,10	words (3)	
80:25;81:1;84:24;86:11,	140:17;173:13,21;174:4,	willing (1)	32:21;38:15;86:9	
14;87:19,24;91:9;93:24;		56:16	work (7)	
94:17;95:7;99:24;	WESTBY (183)	window (41)	9:12,18,21;15:25;	
100:25;101:5;102:21;	7:4,8,18;8:6;13 16,18;	85:20;103:10;119:3,4,	16:14;54:11;56:16	
103:5,18;106:10,11,13,	14:6;17:11,17;18:16,20,	20;133:20;134:2,5,10,	worked (6)	
15;107:15;110:20;	24;20:2,6,15,19,22;	13,20,25;135:6,11,14,	9:17,24;10:2,4;17:8;	
114:16,20;116:2;	21:10;23:3,24;24:8,21;	20;136:2,5,6;139:5;	92:13	
121:15;123:19,24;	25:1;26:15;27:27;28:19,	141:9,21;142:1,1;	working (4)	
124:12,21;125:1;	21;29:3,18;30:6,19;	144:13,20,23,25;145:3,	62:14;63:1;91:14;	
128:10;136:15,17;	31:3;32:2;33:5,.23;	5,9,11,15,23;147:24;	157:12	
138:13;139:12;141:14;	36:20;37:24;39 9,24;	148:4;149:23;158:1;	works (1)	
143:11;144:13;145:3;	41:12;44:10;45:18;46:7;	172:6,7,11	62:22	
146:25;147:4,11;172:1,	47:2,10;48:14,24 49:11,	windows (3)	worried (2)	
18;173:3,8;181:23;	23;50:23;51:6,21;54:3,	119:7;123:7;125:17	99:14;139:10	
184:10	18;55:3;56:1,7,18;	within (8)	worry (1)	
warrant' (1)	57:16;58:15,23;60:24;	7:20;13:14,21;42:21;	126:19	
146:11	61:23;62:10;63:14;64:4;	64:19;154:3,4,6	write (4)	
warrants (22)	65:16,19;66:4:69:12;	without (3)	77:1;82:4;87:23;	
23:20,22;25:7,10;	7 0:19;72:8;73:19,23;	36:7;63:11;126:12	146:23	
26:8;27:10,11,15,20;	75:2,17,25;76:1-;77:9,	witness (134)	writing (3)	
28:10,12,17;29:1,23;	22;78:8;80:13;8 2:25;	7:23;8:7;17:13;18:25;	52:10,13;81:16	
32:17;49:17;60:3,11,21;	83:15;86:17,25;37:20;	23:6;24:1,11;25:6;26:2,	written (3)	
65:21,25;108:13	89:23;93:15;94:7 99:18;	18;28:23;29:4;30:9;	123:1;143:6;146:21	
Washakie (1)	100:7,18;101:24.	31:4;32:5;33:6;34:1;	wrong (3)	
97:22	102:25;103:20,23;	36:23;37:25;38:20;	20:25;27:12;101:4	
waste (1)	104:14;105:10;107:11;	39:11;40:2;41:15;44:11;	wrote (2)	
123:3	112:10,13,17,25;114:8;	47:5,11;48:15;49:2;	144:6;177:24	
watching (1)	115:7,13;116:18;117:1,	50:1;51:1,8,22;54:21;	Wyoming (3)	
165:11	13;118:3,8,14;119:13;	55:6,12;57:18;59:21;	66:15;67:3;115:23	
Waters (4)	120:2;122:2,20;1.23:20;	61:2,14,15;62:11;63:18;		
67:19,23,25;68:1	124:7,19;125:7;1 26:14;	64:7;65:20;66:6;68:1;	Y	
Waters' (1)	131:12;132:2;133:25;	70:22;72:11;74:1;75:18;		
68:7	134:7,15;135:3,25;	76:1,15;77:11,23;78:9;	year (8)	
way (10)	137:3,11:139:19;140:4,	80:4,14;83:3,18;86:19;	12:24;13:3;14:3,10,	
8:5;16:14;23:17;	8;142:7,17,20;143:4;	87:1,23;89:25;93:16;	18;16:2;46:19,21	
35:17;37:14;57:18;	145:18;146:15,1};	100:8,19;102:2;103:24;	years (6)	
69:24;101:12;106:25;	160:10;161:2,6;156:9,	105:11;107:14;112:21;	7:20;9:25;13:15,21;	
184:11	24;167:17,23;168 2,20;	113:3,14;114:11;115:7,	48:18;132:16	
weapon (3)	169:1,4,10,23;170 1,13,	15;117:4,16;118:15;		
	<u>i</u>			<u> </u>